










PARETO OPTIMAL IN A TWO-PERSON TWO-GOODS ECONOMY


gkaplanoglu publin 2024-2025 9






How do the individual's get to the contract line from point g ?


Edgeworth box




Edgeworth box




Edgeworth box










Edgeworth box



Edgeworth box









Conditions for competitive equilibrium

- $MRS^{AD} = MRS^E$ (Pareto efficient allocation)
- Quantity demanded equals quantity supplied in all markets-- auction prices lead to market clearing







What process assures that consumers achieve a Pareto optimum in exchange ?


- Its the market pricing process that leads consumers to Pareto optimum.
- The prices convey *correct* information and consumers equate their subjective evaluations to the objective reality or possibilities reflected in market prices.
- Flexible prices also lead to market clearing; that is a *pure* state where no surpluses or shortages exist.







Production side and constrained bliss







◦ Optimal use of society's scarce resources in the production of goods.



How do the producers get to the contract line from point H ?

















Intuitive interpretation of the $MRT_{A,F}$






- Suppose it takes 2 labor hours to produce one more unit of A. Then we might say that the MC_A is equal to 2. If it takes 1 hour of labor to produce an extra F, MC_F is equal to 1.
- What is the $MRT_{A,F}$ in this case ?
- For $\Delta A = 1$, $MRT_{A,F} = (\Delta F / \Delta A) = 2/1$ or $\Delta F = 2 \Delta A$ and if $\Delta A = 1$, $\Delta F = 2(1) = 2$

Intuitive interpretation of the $MRT_{A,F}$

- Two units of F must be foregone to provide enough labor to increase A by one unit. Hence the $MRT_{A,F}$ is equal to the ratio of the marginal cost of the two goods.
- $MRT_{A,F} = (\Delta F / \Delta A) = (MC_A / MC_F)$







Requirements for welfare maximization

- *Marginal rate of substitution* between every pair of goods must be the same for all consumers. In a pure market setting, this occurs when consumers equate the MRS's to the common market determined price ratio.

gkaplanoglou publin 2024-2025 72

Requirements for welfare maximization

- **Marginal rate of technical substitution** between every pair of inputs must be the same for all producers . In a pure market setting, this occurs when producers maximize profit by equating MRTS's to the common market determined input price ratio.

Requirements for welfare maximization

- **Marginal rate of transformation** must be equal to the marginal rate of substitution in consumption for each pair of goods. In a pure market setting, this condition occurs when producers set marginal cost (MC) equal to the output price.


The First Fundamental Theorem of Welfare Economics

A competitive economy can achieve a Pareto optimal allocation of resources

Necessary conditions for a Pareto optimum:

1. **Consumption:** Marginal rates of substitution between X & Y must be equal for 1 & 2
2. **Production:** Marginal rates of technical substitution between K & L must be equal for production of X & Y
3. **Consumption-production:** Marginal rates of substitution between X & Y must also equal Marginal rates of transformation between X & Y

Every point on the Utility possibilities frontier is Pareto efficient



Efficiency and equity

- In the above diagram the distribution of utility is very unequal.
- If society is interested in a more equal distribution of utility can this be achieved through the free markets mechanism?
- The answer is given by the second fundamental theorem of welfare economics

The Second Fundamental Theorem of Welfare Economics

- Second welfare theorem says that a new Pareto optimal outcome can be achieved given existing resources, without government intervention.
- Any point on the UPF can be achieved through the functioning of decentralized markets, by an appropriate initial distribution of resources.

Review Questions

- What will happen in our two goods, two-person world if **prices** do not reflect true marginal benefits and all increment costs to society are not included in **marginal costs** ?
- The market will still generate an equilibrium but it will not be Pareto optimal.
- True marginal benefits will not equal marginal costs or vice versa.
- When the market or price system gets the wrong signals we say that there has been a **market failure**.

gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

79

Market failures

- Imperfect competition
- Public goods
- Externalities
- Incomplete markets
- Imperfect information
- Unemployment, inflation and other macroeconomic disturbances

gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

80

Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality

- Now that we have described the necessary conditions for Pareto efficiency, we may ask whether a given economy will achieve this apparently desirable state.
- It depends on what assumptions we make about the operations of that economy. Assume that:
 1. All producers and consumers act as perfect competitors; that is, no one has any market power;
 2. A market exists for each and every commodity.

gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

81


Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality

- Under these assumptions, the so-called *First Fundamental Theorem of Welfare Economics* states that a Pareto efficient allocation of resources emerges.
- However, if properly functioning competitive markets allocate resources efficiently, what role does the government have to play in the economy? Only a very small government would appear to be appropriate.
 - Its main function would be to protect property rights so that markets can work. Government provides law and order, a court system, and national defense. Anything more is superfluous.
- But in reality things are much more complicated. For one thing, it has implicitly been assumed that efficiency is the only criterion for deciding if a given allocation of resources is good. It is not obvious, however, that Pareto efficiency by itself is desirable.

gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

82

Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality



gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

83

Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality

- Now that we have described the necessary conditions for Pareto efficiency, we may ask whether a given economy will achieve this apparently desirable state.
- It depends on what assumptions we make about the operations of that economy. Assume that:
 1. All producers and consumers act as perfect competitors; that is, no one has any market power;
 2. A market exists for each and every commodity.

gkapanoglou publin 2024-2025

84

Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality

- If markets lead to a point such as B that is not desirable by the society, does the government have to intervene directly in markets in order to move the economy to a point such A, C or D? For example, does it have to impose ceilings on the prices of commodities consumed by the poor?
- The answer is no. According to the *Second Fundamental Theorem of Welfare Economics*, society can attain any Pareto efficient allocation of resources by making a suitable assignment of initial endowments and then letting people freely trade with each other

Prerequisites for Pareto Optimality

- However, in addition to distributional issues, there is another reason why the First Welfare Theorem need not imply a minimal government.
- This relates to the fact that the certain conditions required for its validity may not be satisfied by real-world markets.
- As we now show, when these conditions are absent, the free-market allocation of resources may be inefficient as well as unfair.

Markets failures

- It is quite likely that the assumptions on which the first and second fundamental theorems are based do not hold in reality. In other words
- There may not be perfect competition in all markets, and
- In many instances markets may not exist for certain commodities and services.
- In such cases how can we achieve maximization of social welfare?

Markets failures: Market power

- The First Welfare Theorem holds only if all consumers and firms are price takers.
- If some individuals or firms are price makers (they have the power to affect prices) then the allocation of resources is generally inefficient.
- Why?
- A firm with market power may be able to raise price above marginal cost by supplying less output than a competitor would.
- Thus, efficiency conditions are violated, and there is no maximization of social welfare.

Markets failures: Market power

- Price-making behavior can arise in several contexts.
- An extreme case is a **monopoly**, where there is only one firm in the market, and entry is blocked.
- Even in the less extreme case of oligopoly (a few sellers), the firms in an industry may be able to increase price above marginal cost.
- Finally, some industries have many firms, but each firm has some market power because the firms produce differentiated products.
- For example, a lot of firms produce running shoes, yet many consumers view Reeboks, Nikes, and Adidas as distinct commodities.

Markets failures: Nonexistence of Markets

- The proof behind the First Welfare Theorem assumes a market exists for every commodity.
- After all, if a market for a commodity does not exist, then we can hardly expect the market to allocate it efficiently.
- In reality, markets for certain commodities may fail to emerge.
- Consider, for instance, insurance, a very important commodity in a world of uncertainty. Despite the existence of many and large insurance firms, there are certain events for which insurance simply cannot be purchased on the private market.

Markets failures: Nonexistence of Markets

- For example, suppose you wanted to purchase insurance against the possibility of becoming poor.
- Would a firm in a competitive market ever find it profitable to supply "poverty insurance"?
- The answer is no, because if you purchased such insurance, you might decide not to work very hard.
- To discourage such behavior, the insurance firm would have to monitor your behavior to determine whether your low income was due to bad luck or to goofing off.
- However, to perform such monitoring would be very difficult or impossible.
- Hence, there is no market for poverty insurance—it simply cannot be purchased.

Markets failures: asymmetric information

- Basically, the problem here is **asymmetric information**
- It means that one party in a transaction has information that is not available to another.
- One rationalization for governmental income support programs is that they provide poverty insurance that is unavailable privately.
- The premium on this "insurance policy" is the taxes you pay when you are able to earn income. In the event of poverty, your benefit comes in the form of welfare payments.

Markets failures: Nonexistence of Markets

- Another type of inefficiency that may arise due to the nonexistence of a market is an **externality**
- **Externality** is a situation in which one person's behavior affects the welfare of another in a way that is outside existing markets.
- For example, suppose your roommate begins smoking large cigars, polluting the air and making you worse off.
- The most characteristic example is pollution.

Markets failures: Nonexistence of Markets

- Closely related to an externality is a **public good**, a commodity that is *nonrival and nonexcludable in consumption*.
- *Nonrival* means that the fact that one person consumes it does not prevent anyone else from doing so as well.
- *Nonexcludable* means that it is either very expensive or impossible to prevent anyone from consuming it.
- The classic example of a public good is a lighthouse. When the lighthouse turns on its beacon, all ships in the vicinity benefit.
- The fact that one person takes advantage of the lighthouse's services does not keep anyone else from doing so simultaneously, and it is very difficult to prevent others from using the lighthouse.