

# Uniqueness of translation invariant measures

joint work by The Class

Let  $G$  be an abelian group equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$  such that<sup>1</sup> for all  $g \in G$  the map  $\lambda_g : G \rightarrow G : h \rightarrow g + h$  is measurable. Suppose  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are two  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $\mathcal{S}$  which are **left invariant**, that is  $\mu(\lambda_g(E)) = \mu(E)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ , and similarly for  $\nu$ . Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\nu = c\mu$ .

**Proof** By  $\sigma$ -finiteness, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\nu(B)$  and  $\mu(B)$  are both nonzero and finite. Let  $c = \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)}$ . Replacing  $\mu$  by the measure  $\mu'(E) = c\mu(E)$ , we may assume that  $\mu(B) = \nu(B)$  and we will show that  $\mu = \nu$ .

**Claim** Given any pair  $E, F$  of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we claim that

$$\int \chi_E(x - y)\chi_F(x)d\mu(x) = \int \chi_E(x)\chi_F(y + x)d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all } y \in G$$

**Proof of the Claim** Observe first that if  $f : G \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is measurable, then

$$\int_G f(x + g)d\mu(x) = \int_G f(x)d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all } g \in G$$

and similarly for  $\nu$ . Indeed, if  $f = \chi_E$ , note that  $f(x + g) = \chi_{E_g}(x)$ , where  $E_g = \lambda_g^{-1}(E)$ , because  $f(x + g) = f(\lambda_g(x)) = 1$  iff  $\lambda_g(x) \in E$  iff  $x \in \lambda_g^{-1}(E)$ . But  $\mu(E_g) = \mu(E)$  and thus

$$\int f(x + g)d\mu(x) = \int \chi_{E_g}(x)d\mu(x) = \mu(E_g) = \mu(E) = \int f d\mu.$$

By linearity of the integral the equality is valid whenever  $f$  is a nonnegative measurable simple function. For general  $f$  let  $s_n$  be an increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable simple functions such that  $s_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise, observe that  $s_n \circ \lambda_g \rightarrow f \circ \lambda_g$  and apply the monotone convergence theorem.

If  $y \in G$ , applying this to the function  $f_y$  given by  $f_y(x) = \chi_E(x)\chi_F(x + y)$  gives

$$\int \chi_E(x - y)\chi_F(x)d\mu(x) = \int f_y(x - y)d\mu(x) = \int f_y(x)d\mu(x) = \int \chi_E(x)\chi_F(y + x)d\mu(x)$$

which proves the Claim.

It follows that

$$\int \left( \int \chi_E(x - y)\chi_F(x)d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y) = \int \left( \int \chi_E(x)\chi_F(y + x)d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>haar, 5 feb 09

Since the integrands are both non-negative and  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$  measurable functions on  $\times G$ , using Tonelli's Theorem, we get

$$\int \left( \int \chi_E(x-y) \chi_F(x) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int \left( \int \chi_E(x) \chi_F(y+x) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x).$$

Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left( \int \chi_E(x) \chi_F(y+x) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) &= \int \left( \chi_E(x) \int \chi_{\lambda_{-x}(F)}(y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \chi_E(x) \nu(\lambda_{-x}(F)) d\mu(x) = \int \chi_E(x) \nu(F) d\mu(x) \\ &= \mu(E) \nu(F) \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\nu(\lambda_{-x}(F)) = \nu(F)$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left( \int \chi_E(x-y) \chi_F(x) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) &= \int \left( \chi_F(x) \int \chi_{-E}(y-x) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \left( \chi_F(x) \int \chi_{\lambda_x(-E)}(y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \chi_F(x) \nu(\lambda_x(-E)) d\mu(x) = \int \chi_F(x) \nu(-E) d\mu(x) \\ &= \nu(-E) \mu(F) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mu(E) \nu(F) = \nu(-E) \mu(F) \quad \text{for all } E, F \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (1)$$

Set  $F = B$  in (1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) \nu(B) &= \nu(-E) \mu(B) = \nu(-E) \nu(B) \\ \text{and so } \mu(E) &= \nu(-E) \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{S} \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 < \nu(B) < +\infty$ . Applying the last equality to  $B$  we obtain  $\mu(B) = \nu(-B)$  and now (1) for  $E = B$  gives

$$\mu(B) \nu(F) = \nu(-B) \mu(F)$$

and so finally

$$\nu(F) = \mu(F) \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathcal{S}. \quad \square$$

**Remarks [A.K.]** Note that we have actually shown that  $\mu(E) = \mu(-E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ : thus any translation invariant measure is automatically reflection invariant. This is not true in general for non-abelian groups.

For the case  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B}_G$ , the above shows that any translation invariant Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a multiple of Lebesgue measure.

It is known that any (not necessarily abelian) locally compact (Hausdorff) group  $G$  admits a (left-) translation invariant regular Borel measure  $\mu$ , called **Haar measure**. The proof is non-trivial.

Any other left translation invariant regular Borel measure on  $G$  is a positive multiple of Haar measure. The proof in the non-abelian case also uses Tonelli's theorem.