

# Notes on the von Neumann algebra of a group

Ioannis Emmanouil

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The strong and weak operator topologies on <math>\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})</math></b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The von Neumann algebra of a group</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The center of <math>\mathcal{N}G</math></b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The center-valued trace on <math>\mathcal{N}G</math></b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Exercises</b>	<b>19</b>

# 1 The strong and weak operator topologies on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Besides the operator norm topology, the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  can be also endowed with the strong operator topology (SOT). The latter is the locally convex topology which is induced by the family of semi-norms  $(Q_\xi)_{\xi \in \mathcal{H}}$ , where

$$Q_\xi(a) = \|a(\xi)\|$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence, a net of operators  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is SOT-convergent to 0 if and only if  $\lim_\lambda a_\lambda(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . The weak operator topology (WOT) on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is the locally convex topology which is induced by the family of semi-norms  $(P_{\xi,\eta})_{\xi,\eta \in \mathcal{H}}$ , where

$$P_{\xi,\eta}(a) = |\langle a(\xi), \eta \rangle|$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . In other words, a net of operators  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is WOT-convergent to 0 if and only if  $\lim_\lambda \langle a_\lambda(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Remarks 1.1** (i) Let  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  be a net of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, we have

$$\|\cdot\| - \lim_\lambda a_\lambda = 0 \implies \text{SOT} - \lim_\lambda a_\lambda = 0 \implies \text{WOT} - \lim_\lambda a_\lambda = 0.$$

If the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is not finite dimensional, none of the implications above can be reversed (cf. Exercise 5.1).

(ii) For any  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we consider the left (resp. right) multiplication operator

$$L_a : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (\text{resp. } R_a : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})),$$

which is defined by letting  $L_a(b) = ab$  (resp.  $R_a(b) = ba$ ) for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . It is easily seen that the operators  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  are WOT-continuous. On the other hand, if the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is not finite dimensional, then the multiplication in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is not (jointly) WOT-continuous (cf. Exercise 5.1).

(iii) The adjoint operator

$$(-)^* : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

which is defined by  $a \mapsto a^*$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , is WOT-continuous.

**Proposition 1.2** Let  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  be a bounded net of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\text{WOT} - \lim_\lambda a_\lambda = 0$ .
- (ii) There is an orthonormal basis  $(e_i)_i$  of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that for all  $i, j$  we have  $\lim_\lambda \langle a_\lambda(e_i), e_j \rangle = 0$ .
- (iii) There is a subset  $B \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , whose closed linear span is  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that for all  $\xi, \eta \in B$  we have  $\lim_\lambda \langle a_\lambda(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$ .
- (iv) There is a dense subset  $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\lim_\lambda \langle a_\lambda(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in X$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii), whereas the implication (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv) follows by letting  $X$  be the (algebraic) linear span of  $B$ . It only remains to show that (iv)  $\rightarrow$  (i). To that end, assume that  $M > 0$  is such that  $\|a_\lambda\| \leq M$  for all  $\lambda$  and consider two vectors  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ . For any positive  $\epsilon$  we may choose two vectors  $\xi', \eta' \in X$ , such that  $\|\xi - \xi'\| < \epsilon$  and  $\|\eta - \eta'\| < \epsilon$ . Since

$$\langle a_\lambda(\xi), \eta \rangle - \langle a_\lambda(\xi'), \eta' \rangle = \langle a_\lambda(\xi - \xi'), \eta \rangle + \langle a_\lambda(\xi'), \eta - \eta' \rangle,$$



*Proof.* For any operator  $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we consider the linear endomorphisms  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , which are given by left and right multiplication with  $x$  respectively. Then,  $X' = \bigcap_{x \in X} \ker(L_x - R_x)$  and hence the result follows from Remark 1.1(ii).  $\square$

If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  a set of operators, we shall consider the set  $X \cdot I_n = \{xI_n : x \in X\} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ . Then, the following two properties are easily verified (cf. Exercise 5.2):

(i) The commutant  $(X \cdot I_n)'$  of  $X \cdot I_n$  in  $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^n)$  is the set  $\mathbf{M}_n(X')$  of matrices with entries in the commutant  $X'$  of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(ii) The bicommutant  $(X \cdot I_n)''$  of  $X \cdot I_n$  in  $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^n)$  is the set  $X'' \cdot I_n$ , where  $X''$  is the bicommutant of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Lemma 1.5** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a closed  $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant subspace. Then:*

(i) *The orthogonal complement  $V^\perp$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant.*

(ii) *If  $p$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $V$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{A}'$ .*

(iii) *The subspace  $V$  is  $\mathcal{A}''$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\xi \in V^\perp$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, for any vector  $\eta \in V$  we have  $a^*(\eta) \in \mathcal{A}V \subseteq V$  and hence  $\langle a(\xi), \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, a^*(\eta) \rangle = 0$ . Therefore, it follows that  $a(\xi) \in V^\perp$ .

(ii) We fix an operator  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and note that the subspaces  $V$  and  $V^\perp$  are  $a$ -invariant, in view of our assumption and (i) above. It follows easily from this that the operators  $ap$  and  $pa$  coincide on both  $V$  and  $V^\perp$ . Hence,  $ap = pa$ .

(iii) Let  $\xi \in V$ ,  $a'' \in \mathcal{A}''$  and consider the orthogonal projection  $p$  onto  $V$ . In view of (ii) above, we have  $a''p = pa''$  and hence  $a''(\xi) = a''p(\xi) = pa''(\xi) \in V$ , as needed.  $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove von Neumann's theorem.

**Theorem 1.6** (*von Neumann bicommutant theorem*) *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra containing the identity operator. Then,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{SOT} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{WOT} = \mathcal{A}''$ , where we denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{SOT}$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{WOT}$ ) the SOT-closure (resp. WOT-closure) of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{SOT} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{WOT}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}''$ , it follows from Lemma 1.4 that  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{WOT} \subseteq \mathcal{A}''$ . Hence, it only remains to show that  $\mathcal{A}'' \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{SOT}$ . In order to verify this, we consider an operator  $a'' \in \mathcal{A}''$ , a positive real number  $\epsilon$ , a positive integer  $n$  and vectors  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . We have to show that the SOT-neighborhood

$$\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n}(a'') = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \|(a - a'')\xi_i\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

of  $a''$  intersects  $\mathcal{A}$  non-trivially. To that end, we consider the self-adjoint subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \cdot I_n \subseteq \mathbf{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^n$  by left multiplication and the closed subspace

$$V = \overline{\{(a(\xi_1), \dots, a(\xi_n)) : a \in \mathcal{A}\}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^n.$$

It is clear that  $V$  is  $\mathcal{A} \cdot I_n$ -invariant. Invoking Lemma 1.5(iii) and the discussion preceding it, we conclude that the subspace  $V$  is left invariant under the action of the operator  $a''I_n \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Since  $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in V$  and hence  $(a''(\xi_1), \dots, a''(\xi_n)) \in V$ . Therefore, there is an operator  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that

$$\|(a''(\xi_1), \dots, a''(\xi_n)) - (a(\xi_1), \dots, a(\xi_n))\| < \epsilon.$$





We note that the linear functional  $r_1 : \mathbf{C}G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , which maps an element  $a \in \mathbf{C}G$  onto the coefficient of  $1 \in G$  in  $a$ , extends to a linear functional

$$\tau : \mathcal{N}G \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

by letting  $\tau(a) = \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_1 \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ .

**Remark 2.4** Let  $G$  be a group and  $\tau$  the linear functional defined above. Then, the assertion of Lemma 2.3(i) implies that  $\tau(a) = \langle a(\delta_g), \delta_g \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$  and  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $G$  be a group and  $\tau$  the linear functional defined above. Then:

(i)  $\tau$  is a WOT-continuous trace.

(ii)  $\tau$  is positive and faithful, i.e.  $\tau(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ , whereas  $\tau(a^*a) = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$ .

(iii)  $\tau$  is normalized, i.e.  $\tau(1) = 1$ , where  $1 \in \mathcal{N}G$  is the identity operator.

The trace  $\tau$  will be referred to as the canonical trace on the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$ .

*Proof.* (i) It is clear that  $\tau$  is WOT-continuous. In order to show that  $\tau$  is a trace, we fix an operator  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$  and note that for any  $g \in G$  we have

$$\langle aL_g(\delta_{g^{-1}}), \delta_{g^{-1}} \rangle = \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_{g^{-1}} \rangle = \langle a(\delta_1), L_g^*(\delta_1) \rangle = \langle L_g a(\delta_1), \delta_1 \rangle,$$

where the second equality follows since  $L_g^* = L_{g^{-1}}$ . Invoking Remark 2.4, we conclude that  $\tau(aL_g) = \tau(L_g a)$ . This being the case for all  $g \in G$ , it follows that  $\tau(aa') = \tau(a'a)$  for all  $a' \in L(\mathbf{C}G)$ . Since multiplication in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  is separately WOT-continuous (cf. Remark 1.1(ii)), the WOT-continuity of  $\tau$  implies that  $\tau(aa') = \tau(a'a)$  for all  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$ .

(ii) For any  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$  we have

$$\tau(a^*a) = \langle a^*a(\delta_1), \delta_1 \rangle = \langle a(\delta_1), a(\delta_1) \rangle = \|a(\delta_1)\|^2 \geq 0.$$

In particular,  $\tau(a^*a) = 0$  if and only if  $a(\delta_1) = 0$ ; this proves the final assertion, in view of Lemma 2.3(iii).

(iii) We compute  $\tau(1) = \langle \delta_1, \delta_1 \rangle = \|\delta_1\|^2 = 1$ . □

### 3 The center of $\mathcal{N}G$

Let us consider the subset  $G_f \subseteq G$ , which consists of all elements  $g \in G$  that have finitely many conjugates. Since the cardinality of the conjugacy class  $[g]$  of any element  $g \in G$  is equal to the index of the centralizer  $C_g$  of  $g$  in  $G$ , it follows that  $G_f = \{g \in G : [G : C_g] < \infty\}$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  the set of conjugacy classes of the elements of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{C}_f(G)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  that consists of those conjugacy classes  $[g]$ , for which  $g \in G_f$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $G_f$  and  $\mathcal{C}_f(G)$  be the sets defined above. Then:

(i)  $G_f$  is a characteristic (and hence normal) subgroup of  $G$ .

(ii) For any commutative ring  $k$  the center  $Z(kG)$  of the group algebra  $kG$  is a free  $k$ -module with basis consisting of the elements  $\zeta_{[g]} = \sum\{x : x \in [g]\}$ ,  $[g] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) It is clear that  $G_f$  is non-empty, since  $1 \in G_f$ . We note that for any two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  the intersection  $C_{g_1} \cap C_{g_2}$  is contained in the centralizer of the product  $g_1 g_2$ . In particular, if  $g_1, g_2 \in G_f$  then

$$[G : C_{g_1 g_2}] \leq [G : C_{g_1} \cap C_{g_2}] \leq [G : C_{g_1}] [G : C_{g_2}] < \infty$$





On the other hand, for any  $g \in G$  the operator  $L_g$  commutes with  $a$  (since  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$ ) and  $L_{\zeta[x]}$  for any  $x \in G_f$  (since the  $L_{\zeta[x]}$ 's are central in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ ; cf. Lemma 3.1(ii)). Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\delta_g) &= aL_g(\delta_1) \\
&= L_g a(\delta_1) \\
&= \sum_{[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle L_g L_{\zeta[x]}(\delta_1) \\
&= \sum_{[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle L_{\zeta[x]} L_g(\delta_1) \\
&= \sum_{[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle L_{\zeta[x]}(\delta_g).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above chain of equalities, the third one follows from Eq.(1), in view of the continuity of  $L_g$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$  be an operator in the center of  $\mathcal{N}G$  and  $b \in (Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))'$  an operator in the commutant of  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$ . Then, for any two elements  $g, h \in G$  the family of complex numbers  $(\langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle)_{x \in G}$  is summable and*

$$\sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle = \langle ba(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle.$$

*Proof.* In view of the continuity of  $b$ , Lemma 3.2(iii) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
ba(\delta_g) &= \sum_{[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle bL_{\zeta[x]}(\delta_g) \\
&= \sum_{[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle L_{\zeta[x]} b(\delta_g) \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle L_x b(\delta_g).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above chain of equalities, the second one follows since  $b$  commutes with  $L_{\zeta[x]} \in Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  for all  $[x] \in \mathcal{C}_f(G)$  (cf. Lemma 3.1(ii)), whereas the last one is a consequence of Lemma 3.2(ii). Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle ba(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle &= \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle L_x b(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), L_x^*(\delta_h) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), L_{x^{-1}}(\delta_h) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the continuity of the inner product  $\langle \_, \delta_h \rangle$  and the third one from the equalities  $L_x^* = L_{x^{-1}}$ ,  $x \in G$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following result, describing the center of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$ .

**Proposition 3.4** *The center  $\mathcal{Z}G$  of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$  is the WOT-closure in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  of the center  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  of the algebra  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ .*

*Proof.* As we have already noted, the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{Z}G$  contains the WOT-closure of  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ . On the other hand, the WOT-closure of the  $*$ -algebra  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  coincides with its bicommutant in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  (cf. Theorem 1.6). Hence, it only remains to show that  $\mathcal{Z}G \subseteq (Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))''$ , i.e. that any  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$  commutes with any  $b \in (Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))'$ . Let us fix such a pair of operators  $a, b$ . Since  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G \subseteq \mathcal{N}G$ , we have

$$\langle a(\xi), \delta_h \rangle = \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle \xi, \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle$$

for all  $\xi \in \ell^2 G$  and  $h \in G$  (cf. Lemma 2.3(ii)). In particular, we have

$$\langle ab(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle = \sum_{x \in G} \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle$$

for all  $g, h \in G$ . Therefore, Corollary 3.3 implies that

$$\langle ab(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle = \langle ba(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle$$

for all  $g, h \in G$  and hence  $ab = ba$ , as needed.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  a unital self-adjoint subalgebra and  $\mathcal{N}$  its WOT-closure. Even though the center  $Z(\mathcal{N})$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  always contains the WOT-closure of the center  $Z(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the inclusion  $\overline{Z(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{WOT}} \subseteq Z(\mathcal{N})$  may be proper (in contrast to the situation described in Proposition 3.4); cf. Exercise 5.4.

## 4 The center-valued trace on $\mathcal{N}G$

Our goal is to construct a trace

$$t = t_G : \mathcal{N}G \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}G,$$

which is WOT-continuous on bounded sets, maps  $\mathcal{Z}G$  identically onto itself and is closely related to the canonical trace  $\tau$ .

I. THE TRACE ON  $\mathbf{C}G$ . We shall begin by defining  $t$  on the group algebra  $\mathbf{C}G$ . More precisely, we define the linear map

$$t_0 : \mathbf{C}G \longrightarrow Z(\mathbf{C}G),$$

by letting  $t_0(g) = 0$  if  $g \notin G_f$  and  $t_0(g) = \frac{1}{[G:C_g]} \zeta_{[g]}$  if  $g \in G_f$ .<sup>2</sup>

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $t_0 : \mathbf{C}G \longrightarrow Z(\mathbf{C}G)$  be the  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear map defined above. Then:*

- (i)  $t_0$  is a trace with values in  $Z(\mathbf{C}G)$ ,
- (ii)  $t_0(a) = a$  for all  $a \in Z(\mathbf{C}G)$ ,
- (iii)  $t_0(aa') = at_0(a')$  for all  $a \in Z(\mathbf{C}G)$  and  $a' \in \mathbf{C}G$  (i.e.  $t_0$  is  $Z(\mathbf{C}G)$ -linear),
- (iv)  $t_0(a^*) = t_0(a)^*$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{C}G$  and
- (v) the trace functional  $r_1$  on  $\mathbf{C}G$  factors as the composition

$$\mathbf{C}G \xrightarrow{t_0} Z(\mathbf{C}G) \xrightarrow{r_1'} \mathbf{C},$$

where  $r_1'$  is the restriction of  $r_1$  to the center  $Z(\mathbf{C}G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $t_0$  is  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear, it suffices to show that  $t_0(g) = t_0(g')$  whenever  $[g] = [g'] \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ . But this is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $t_0$ .

(ii) We consider an element  $g \in G_f$  with  $[G : C_g] = n$  and let  $[g] = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ . Then,  $t_0(g_i) = t_0(g)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and hence

$$t_0(\zeta_{[g]}) = t_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_0(g_i) = nt_0(g) = \zeta_{[g]}.$$

<sup>2</sup>This definition is imposed by the requirement that  $t_0$  extends to a trace on the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$  with values in  $\mathcal{Z}G$ , which is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and maps  $\mathcal{Z}G$  identically onto itself; cf. Exercise 5.5.

Since  $t_0$  is  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear, the proof is finished by invoking Lemma 3.1(ii).

(iii) We consider an element  $g \in G_f$  with  $[G : C_g] = n$  and let  $[g] = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ ; then,  $g_i \in G_f$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $g' \in G$  is an element with  $g' \notin G_f$ , then ( $G_f$  being a subgroup of  $G$ , in view of Lemma 3.1(i))  $g_i g' \notin G_f$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,

$$t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g') = t_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i g'\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_0(g_i g') = 0 = \zeta_{[g]}t_0(g').$$

We now assume that  $g' \in G_f$  and consider the conjugacy class  $[g'] = \{g'_1, \dots, g'_m\}$ , where  $m = [G : C_{g'}]$ . Then, for any  $j = 1, \dots, m$  there exists an element  $x_j \in G$ , such that  $g'_j = x_j g' x_j^{-1}$ . Since  $\zeta_{[g]}$  is central in  $\mathbf{C}G$ , we have  $\zeta_{[g]}g'_j = x_j \zeta_{[g]}g' x_j^{-1}$  and hence ( $t_0$  being a trace, in view of (i) above)  $t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g'_j) = t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g')$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . It follows that

$$\zeta_{[g]}\zeta_{[g']} = t_0(\zeta_{[g]}\zeta_{[g']}) = t_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \zeta_{[g]}g'_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g'_j) = m t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g'),$$

where the first equality is a consequence of (ii) above, since the element  $\zeta_{[g]}\zeta_{[g']}$  is central in  $\mathbf{C}G$ . We conclude that

$$t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g') = \frac{1}{m}\zeta_{[g]}\zeta_{[g']} = \zeta_{[g]}t_0(g')$$

in this case as well. Therefore, we have proved that  $t_0(\zeta_{[g]}g') = \zeta_{[g]}t_0(g')$  for all  $g' \in G$ . Since this is the case for any  $g \in G_f$ , the linearity of  $t_0$ , combined with Lemma 3.1(ii), finishes the proof.

(iv) Since both sides of the equality to be proved are conjugate linear in  $a$ , it suffices to consider the case where  $a = g$ , for some element  $g \in G$ . In that case, we have  $a^* = g^{-1}$ . If  $g \in G_f$  and  $[g] = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ , then  $g^{-1} \in G_f$  and  $[g^{-1}] = \{g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_n^{-1}\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$t_0(a^*) = t_0(g^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i\right)^* = t_0(g)^* = t_0(a)^*.$$

If  $g$  is not contained in  $G_f$ , which is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $g^{-1}$  is not contained in  $G_f$  either and hence both  $t_0(a)^* = t_0(g)^*$  and  $t_0(a^*) = t_0(g^{-1})$  vanish.

(v) It suffices to verify that the linear functionals  $r'_1 \circ t_0$  and  $r_1$  have the same value on  $g$  for all  $g \in G$ . But this follows immediately from the definitions.  $\square$

II. A FACTORIZATION OF THE TRACE ON  $\mathbf{C}G$ . In order to extend the trace  $t_0$  defined above to the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$ , we shall consider the linear maps

$$\Delta : \mathbf{C}G \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}G_f \quad \text{and} \quad c : \mathbf{C}G_f \longrightarrow Z(\mathbf{C}G),$$

which are defined by letting  $\Delta$  map any group element  $g \in G$  onto  $g$  (resp. onto 0) if  $g \in G_f$  (resp. if  $g \notin G_f$ ) and  $c$  map any  $g \in G_f$  onto  $\frac{1}{[G:C_g]}\zeta_{[g]}$ . Then,  $t_0$  can be expressed as the composition

$$\mathbf{C}G \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbf{C}G_f \xrightarrow{c} Z(\mathbf{C}G).$$

Viewing the algebras above as algebras of operators acting on  $\ell^2 G$  by left translations, we shall study the continuity properties of  $\Delta$  and  $c$  and show that both of them extend to the respective WOT-closures.

III. THE MAP  $\Delta$ . We begin by considering a (possibly infinite) family  $(\mathcal{H}_s)_{s \in S}$  of Hilbert spaces and define  $\mathcal{H}$  to be the corresponding Hilbert space direct sum. Then,  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$

consists of those elements  $\xi = (\xi_s)_s \in \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$ , for which the series  $\sum_{s \in S} \|\xi_s\|_s^2$  is convergent. (Here, we denote for any  $s \in S$  by  $\|\cdot\|_s$  the norm of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_s$ .) The inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined by letting  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{s \in S} \langle \xi_s, \eta_s \rangle_s$  for any two vectors  $\xi = (\xi_s)_s$  and  $\eta = (\eta_s)_s$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$  denotes the inner product of  $\mathcal{H}_s$  for all  $s \in S$ . The Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , admit isometric embeddings as closed orthogonal subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  by means of the operators  $\iota_s : \mathcal{H}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , which map an element  $\xi_s \in \mathcal{H}_s$  onto the element  $\iota_s(\xi_s) = (\eta_{s'})_{s'} \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\eta_s = \xi_s$  and  $\eta_{s'} = 0$  for  $s' \neq s$ . Then, the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is the closed linear span of the subspaces  $\iota_s(\mathcal{H}_s)$ ,  $s \in S$ . For any index  $s \in S$  we shall also consider the projection  $P_s : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s$ , which maps an element  $\xi = (\xi_{s'})_{s'} \in \mathcal{H}$  onto  $\xi_s \in \mathcal{H}_s$ . It is clear that  $P_s$  is a continuous linear map with  $\|P_s\| \leq 1$  for all  $s \in S$ . Moreover, for any vectors  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\eta_s \in \mathcal{H}_s$  we have  $\langle P_s(\xi), \eta_s \rangle_s = \langle \xi, \iota_s(\eta_s) \rangle$ ; therefore,  $P_s = \iota_s^*$  is the adjoint of  $\iota_s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

Let us consider a bounded operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and a vector  $\xi = (\xi_s)_s \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then, the family  $(P_s a \iota_s(\xi_s))_s \in \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$  is also a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S} \|P_s a \iota_s(\xi_s)\|_s^2 &\leq \sum_{s \in S} \|a \iota_s(\xi_s)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|a\|^2 \sum_{s \in S} \|\iota_s(\xi_s)\|^2 \\ &= \|a\|^2 \sum_{s \in S} \|\xi_s\|_s^2 \\ &= \|a\|^2 \|\xi\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This is the case for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and hence we may consider the map

$$\Delta(a) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

which maps an element  $\xi = (\xi_s)_s \in \mathcal{H}$  onto  $\Delta(a)(\xi) = (P_s a \iota_s(\xi_s))_s \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is clear that the map  $\Delta(a)$  is linear. Moreover, it follows from (2) that  $\Delta(a)$  is a bounded operator; in fact, we have  $\|\Delta(a)\| \leq \|a\|$ . Therefore, we may consider the map

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

which is given by  $a \mapsto \Delta(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . The map  $\Delta$  is linear and continuous with respect to the operator norm topology on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ; in fact,  $\|\Delta\| \leq 1$ .<sup>3</sup> It is easily seen that

$$\Delta(a) \iota_s = \iota_s P_s a \iota_s \tag{3}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and all indices  $s \in S$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a contraction, it induces by restriction to the  $r$ -ball a map

$$\Delta_r : (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_r \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_r$$

for any radius  $r$ . Of course,  $\Delta_r$  is continuous with respect to the operator norm topology on  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_r$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *The map  $\Delta_r$  defined above is WOT-continuous for any  $r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  be a bounded net of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , which is WOT-convergent to 0. In order to show that the net  $(\Delta(a_\lambda))_\lambda$  of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is WOT-convergent to 0 as well, it suffices, in view of Proposition 1.2, to show that  $\lim_\lambda \langle \Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$ , whenever

<sup>3</sup>The decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$  identifies the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with a certain algebra of  $S \times S$  matrices whose  $(s, s')$ -entry consists of bounded operators from  $\mathcal{H}_{s'}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_s$  for all  $s, s' \in S$ . Under this identification, the linear map  $\Delta$  maps any  $a = (a_{ss'})_{s, s' \in S}$  onto the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}\{a_{ss} : s \in S\}$ .

there are two indices  $s, s' \in S$  and vectors  $\xi_s \in \mathcal{H}_s$  and  $\eta_{s'} \in \mathcal{H}_{s'}$ , such that  $\xi = \iota_s(\xi_s)$  and  $\eta = \iota_{s'}(\eta_{s'})$ . Since

$$\Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi) = \Delta(a_\lambda)\iota_s(\xi_s) = \iota_s P_s a_\lambda \iota_s(\xi_s)$$

(cf. Eq.(3)), the inner product  $\langle \Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi), \eta \rangle = \langle \Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi), \iota_{s'}(\eta_{s'}) \rangle$  vanishes if  $s \neq s'$ . On the other hand, if  $s = s'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi), \eta \rangle &= \langle \iota_s P_s a_\lambda \iota_s(\xi_s), \iota_s(\eta_s) \rangle \\ &= \langle P_s a_\lambda \iota_s(\xi_s), \eta_s \rangle_s \\ &= \langle a_\lambda \iota_s(\xi_s), \iota_s(\eta_s) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since  $P_s = \iota_s^*$ . Since  $\text{WOT-}\lim_\lambda a_\lambda = 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_\lambda \langle \Delta(a_\lambda)(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$  in this case as well.  $\square$

In order to apply the conclusion of Lemma 4.2, we consider the group  $G$  and a subgroup  $H \leq G$ . If  $S$  is a set of representatives of the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ , then the decomposition of  $G$  into the disjoint union of the cosets  $HS$ ,  $s \in S$ , induces a Hilbert space decomposition  $\ell^2 G = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \ell^2(Hs)$ . We consider the operator

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G),$$

which is associated with that decomposition as above. In particular, let us fix an element  $g \in G$  and try to identify the operator  $\Delta(L_g) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$ . For any  $x \in G$  there is a unique  $s = s(x) \in S$ , such that  $x \in Hs$ . Then,

$$\Delta(L_g)(\delta_x) = \Delta(L_g)\iota_s(\delta_x) = \iota_s P_s L_g \iota_s(\delta_x) = \iota_s P_s L_g(\delta_x) = \iota_s P_s(\delta_{gx}),$$

where the second equality follows from Eq.(3). We note that  $gx \in Hs$  if and only if  $g \in H$  and hence  $\Delta(L_g)(\delta_x)$  is equal to  $\iota_s(\delta_{gx}) = \delta_{gx}$  if  $g \in H$  and vanishes if  $g \notin H$ . Since this is the case for all  $x \in G$ , we conclude that

$$\Delta(L_g) = \begin{cases} L_g & \text{if } g \in H \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin H \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\Delta(L_g)$  is an element of the subalgebra  $L(\mathbf{C}H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$ . (We note that here  $L(\mathbf{C}H)$  is viewed as an algebra of operators acting on  $\ell^2 G$ .) Hence,  $\Delta$  restricts to a linear map

$$\Delta : L(\mathbf{C}G) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G).$$

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and consider the linear operator*

$$\Delta : L(\mathbf{C}G) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G),$$

*which is defined above. Then:*

- (i) *The operator  $\Delta$  is a contraction.*
- (ii) *The map*

$$\Delta_r : (L(\mathbf{C}G))_r \longrightarrow (L(\mathbf{C}H))_r \subseteq (\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G))_r,$$

*induced from  $\Delta$  by restriction to the respective  $r$ -balls, is WOT-continuous for any  $r$ .  $\square$*

IV. THE MAP  $c$ . We shall begin by considering a group  $N$  together with an automorphism  $\phi : N \longrightarrow N$ . Then,  $\phi$  extends by linearity to an automorphism of the complex group algebra  $\mathbf{CN}$ , which will be still denoted (by an obvious abuse of notation) by  $\phi$ . We shall also consider the associated automorphism  $L_\phi$  of the algebra of operators  $L(\mathbf{CN}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$ , which is defined by letting  $L_\phi(L_a) = L_{\phi(a)}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{CN}$ . On the other hand, there is a unitary operator  $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$ , such that  $\Phi(\delta_x) = \delta_{\phi(x)}$  for all  $x \in N$ ; here, we denote by  $(\delta_x)_{x \in N}$  the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2 N$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $N$  be a group and  $\phi$  an automorphism of  $N$ .*

(i) *The associated isometry  $\Phi$  of the Hilbert space  $\ell^2 N$  is such that  $L_{\phi(a)} \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ L_a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{CN}$ .*

(ii) *The automorphism  $L_\phi$  of  $L(\mathbf{CN})$  is norm-preserving and WOT-continuous.*

*Proof.* (i) By linearity, it suffices to verify that  $L_{\phi(x)} \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ L_x$  for all  $x \in N$ . For any element  $y \in N$  we have

$$(L_{\phi(x)} \circ \Phi)(\delta_y) = L_{\phi(x)}(\delta_{\phi(y)}) = \delta_{\phi(x)\phi(y)} = \delta_{\phi(xy)} = \Phi(\delta_{xy}) = (\Phi \circ L_x)(\delta_y).$$

Since the bounded operators  $L_{\phi(x)} \circ \Phi$  and  $\Phi \circ L_x$  agree on the orthonormal basis  $\{\delta_y : y \in N\}$  of the Hilbert space  $\ell^2 N$ , they are equal.

(ii) For any  $a \in \mathbf{CN}$  we have  $L_{\phi(a)} = \Phi \circ L_a \circ \Phi^{-1}$ , in view of (i) above. Since  $\Phi$  is unitary, it follows that  $\|L_{\phi(a)}\| = \|L_a\|$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{CN}$  and hence  $L_\phi$  is norm-preserving. On the other hand, the map  $b \mapsto \Phi \circ b \circ \Phi^{-1}$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$ , is WOT-continuous (cf. Remark 1.1(ii)). Being a restriction of it,  $L_\phi$  is WOT-continuous as well.  $\square$

We now assume that  $N$  is a group on which the group  $G$  acts by automorphisms. Then, for any  $g \in G$  we are given an automorphism  $\phi_g : N \longrightarrow N$ , in such a way that  $\phi_g \circ \phi_{g'} = \phi_{gg'}$  for all  $g, g' \in G$ . There is an induced action of  $G$  by automorphisms  $(\phi_g)_g$  on the complex group algebra  $\mathbf{CN}$  and a corresponding action of  $G$  by automorphisms  $(L_{\phi_g})_g$  on the algebra of operators  $L(\mathbf{CN}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$ . More precisely, for any  $g \in G$  the automorphism  $\phi_g : \mathbf{CN} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CN}$  is the linear extension of  $\phi_g \in \text{Aut}(N)$ , whereas  $L_{\phi_g} : L(\mathbf{CN}) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{CN})$  maps  $L_a$  onto  $L_{\phi_g(a)}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{CN}$ .

If the  $G$ -action on  $N$  is such that all orbits are finite (equivalently, if for any element  $x \in N$  the stabilizer subgroup  $\text{Stab}_x$  has finite index in  $G$ ), then we define the linear map

$$c : L(\mathbf{CN}) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{CN}),$$

as follows: For any  $x \in N$  with  $G$ -orbit  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq N$ , where  $m = m(x) = [G : \text{Stab}_x]$ , we let  $c(L_x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_{x_i} \in L(\mathbf{CN})$ .

**Lemma 4.5** *Assume that  $G$  acts on a group  $N$  by automorphisms, in such a way that all orbits are finite, and consider the linear operator  $c$  on  $L(\mathbf{CN})$  defined above.*

(i) *Let  $x$  be an element of  $N$  and  $H \leq G$  a subgroup of finite index with  $H \subseteq \text{Stab}_x$ . If  $[G : H] = k$  and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  is a set of representatives of the right  $H$ -cosets  $\{gH : g \in G\}$ , then  $c(L_x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k L_{\phi_{g_i}(x)}$ .*

(ii) *The operator  $c$  is a contraction.*

(iii) *The map*

$$c_r : (L(\mathbf{CN}))_r \longrightarrow (L(\mathbf{CN}))_r,$$

*induced from  $c$  by restriction to the  $r$ -balls, is WOT-continuous for any  $r$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $H$  is contained in the stabilizer  $\text{Stab}_x$ , we have  $\phi_g(x) = \phi_{g'}(x) \in N$  if  $gH = g'H$ . Therefore, the right hand side of the equality to be proved doesn't depend upon the choice of the set of representatives of the cosets  $\{gH : g \in G\}$ . Let  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$  be a set of representatives of the cosets  $\{g \text{Stab}_x : g \in G\}$ , where  $m = m(x) = [G : \text{Stab}_x]$ . Then, the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$  is the set  $\{\phi_{s_1}(x), \dots, \phi_{s_m}(x)\}$  and hence

$$c(L_x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_{\phi_{s_i}(x)}.$$

We now let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_l\}$  be a set of representatives of the cosets  $\{gH : g \in \text{Stab}_x\}$ , where  $l = [\text{Stab}_x : H]$ . Then, the set  $\{s_i u_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq l\}$  is a set of representatives of the cosets  $\{gH : g \in G\}$ . In particular,  $k = [G : H] = [G : \text{Stab}_x] \cdot [\text{Stab}_x : H] = ml$ . Since the  $u_j$ 's stabilize  $x$ , we have  $\phi_{s_i u_j}(x) = \phi_{s_i}(x)$  for all  $i, j$  and hence

$$c(L_x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_{\phi_{s_i}(x)} = \frac{l}{k} \sum_{i=1}^m L_{\phi_{s_i}(x)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^l L_{\phi_{s_i u_j}(x)},$$

as needed.

(ii) Let  $a = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i \in \mathbf{CN}$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $x_i \in N$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . We consider the subgroup  $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \text{Stab}_{x_i}$ , which has finite index in  $G$ , and fix a set of representatives  $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  of the cosets  $\{gH : g \in G\}$ . We note that  $L_a = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i L_{x_i}$ , whereas  $L_{\phi_{g_j}(a)} = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i L_{\phi_{g_j}(x_i)}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Hence, it follows from (i) above that

$$c(L_a) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i c(L_{x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k L_{\phi_{g_j}(x_i)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k L_{\phi_{g_j}(a)}.$$

Since  $\|L_{\phi_{g_j}(a)}\| = \|L_a\|$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$  (cf. Lemma 4.4(ii)), we may conclude that  $\|c(L_a)\| \leq \|L_a\|$  and hence  $c$  is a contraction.

(iii) Let  $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$  be a net of elements in the group algebra  $\mathbf{CN}$ , such that the net of operators  $(L_{a_\lambda})_\lambda$  is bounded and WOT-convergent to  $0 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$ . For any index  $\lambda$  we write  $a_\lambda = \sum_{x \in N} a_{\lambda, x} x$ , where the  $a_{\lambda, x}$ 's are complex numbers, and note that

$$\langle L_{a_\lambda}(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle = \langle \sum_{x' \in N} a_{\lambda, x'} \delta_{x'}, \delta_x \rangle = a_{\lambda, x}$$

for all  $x \in N$ ; in particular, it follows that  $\lim_\lambda a_{\lambda, x} = 0$  for all  $x \in N$ . In order to show that the bounded net  $(c(L_{a_\lambda}))_\lambda$  of operators in  $L(\mathbf{CN}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N)$  is WOT-convergent to 0 as well, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_\lambda \langle c(L_{a_\lambda})(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle = 0$$

for all  $y, z \in N$  (cf. Proposition 1.2). For any pair of elements  $x, x' \in N$  we write  $x \sim x'$  if and only if  $x$  and  $x'$  are in the same orbit under the  $G$ -action, whereas  $m(x)$  denotes the cardinality of the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c(L_{a_\lambda}) &= \sum_{x \in N} a_{\lambda, x} c(L_x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in N} a_{\lambda, x} \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum \{L_{x'} : x' \sim x\} \\ &= \sum_{x' \in N} \sum \{a_{\lambda, x} \frac{1}{m(x)} : x \sim x'\} L_{x'} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\langle c(L_{a_\lambda})(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle = \sum \{a_{\lambda, x} \frac{1}{m(x)} : x \sim zy^{-1}\}.$$

Since  $\lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda,x} = 0$  for each one of the finitely many  $x$ 's in the  $G$ -orbit of  $zy^{-1}$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{\lambda} \langle c(L_{a_{\lambda}})(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $S$  a non-empty index set and  $\mathcal{H}^{(S)}$  the Hilbert space direct sum of the constant family of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathcal{H}_s)_{s \in S}$  with  $\mathcal{H}_s = \mathcal{H}$  for all  $s \in S$ . For any bounded operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  there is an associated linear operator  $a^{(S)} : \mathcal{H}^{(S)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(S)}$ , which maps an element  $(\xi_s)_s \in \mathcal{H}^{(S)}$  onto  $(a(\xi_s))_s$ . The map  $a^{(S)}$  is well-defined, since for any  $(\xi_s)_s \in \mathcal{H}^{(S)}$  we have

$$\sum_{s \in S} \|a(\xi_s)\|^2 \leq \sum_{s \in S} \|a\|^2 \|\xi_s\|^2 = \|a\|^2 \sum_{s \in S} \|\xi_s\|^2 < \infty.$$

It follows that the operator  $a^{(S)}$  is bounded and  $\|a^{(S)}\| \leq \|a\|$ . In fact, we may fix an index  $s \in S$  and consider the restriction of  $a^{(S)}$  on the subspace  $\iota_s(\mathcal{H})$ , in order to conclude that  $\|a^{(S)}\| = \|a\|$ . Hence, the linear map

$$\nu : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(S)}),$$

which is given by  $a \mapsto a^{(S)}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , is an isometry and we may consider its restriction to the  $r$ -balls

$$\nu_r : (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_r \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(S)}))_r.$$

Then, a net  $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$  in  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_r$  is WOT-convergent to 0 if and only if this is the case for the associated net  $(a_{\lambda}^{(S)})_{\lambda}$  of operators on  $\mathcal{H}^{(S)}$ . Indeed, if  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}^{(S)} = 0$ , then we may consider the restriction of the  $a_{\lambda}^{(S)}$ 's on the subspace  $\iota_s(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{(S)}$ , for some index  $s \in S$ , in order to conclude that  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} = 0$ . Conversely, assume that the bounded net  $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is WOT-convergent to 0. Then, for any pair of indices  $s, s' \in S$  and any vectors  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\langle a_{\lambda}^{(S)} \iota_s(\xi), \iota_{s'}(\xi') \rangle = \langle \iota_s a_{\lambda}(\xi), \iota_{s'}(\xi') \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle a_{\lambda}(\xi), \xi' \rangle & \text{if } s = s' \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq s' \end{cases}$$

where the first equality follows since  $a^{(S)} \iota_s = \iota_s a$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . In any case, we conclude that  $\lim_{\lambda} \langle a_{\lambda}^{(S)} \iota_s(\xi), \iota_{s'}(\xi') \rangle = 0$  and hence the bounded net  $(a_{\lambda}^{(S)})_{\lambda}$  is WOT-convergent to 0 (cf. Proposition 1.2).

**Corollary 4.6** *Assume that  $G$  acts on a group  $N$  by automorphisms, in such a way that all orbits are finite. We consider a group  $N'$  containing  $N$  as a subgroup and let  $c$  be the linear operator on  $L(\mathbf{C}N) \subseteq L(\mathbf{C}N') \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N')$ , which is defined as in the paragraph before Lemma 4.5. Then:*

- (i) *The operator  $c$  is a contraction.*
- (ii) *The map*

$$c_r : (L(\mathbf{C}N))_r \rightarrow (L(\mathbf{C}N))_r,$$

*induced from  $c$  by restriction to the  $r$ -balls, is continuous with respect to the weak operator topology on  $(L(\mathbf{C}N))_r \subseteq (\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 N'))_r$  for any  $r$ .*

*Proof.* For any element  $a \in \mathbf{C}N$  we shall denote by  $L_a$  (resp.  $L'_a$ ) the left translation induced by  $a$  on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2 N$  (resp.  $\ell^2 N'$ ). If  $S \subseteq N'$  is a set of representatives of the cosets  $\{Nx : x \in N'\}$ , then the Hilbert space  $\ell^2 N' = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \ell^2(Ns)$  is naturally identified



with  $(\ell^2 N)^{(S)}$ , in such a way that  $L'_a$  is identified with  $L_a^{(S)}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{C}N$ . Therefore, assertions (i) and (ii) are immediate consequences of Lemma 4.5(ii),(iii), in view of the discussion above.  $\square$

V. THE WOT-CONTINUITY OF THE TRACE ON  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ . Since  $L : \mathbf{C}G \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}G)$  is an algebra isomorphism, it follows that the center  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  of  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$  coincides with  $L(Z(\mathbf{C}G))$ , where  $Z(\mathbf{C}G)$  is the center of  $\mathbf{C}G$ . Hence, the linear map  $t_0 : \mathbf{C}G \longrightarrow Z(\mathbf{C}G)$  of Proposition 4.1 induces a linear map

$$t : L(\mathbf{C}G) \longrightarrow Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)),$$

by letting  $t(L_a) = L_{t_0(a)}$  for any  $a \in \mathbf{C}G$ . Using the results obtained above, we can now establish certain key continuity properties of  $t$ .

**Proposition 4.7** *Let  $t : L(\mathbf{C}G) \longrightarrow Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  be the linear map defined above. Then:*

(i)  *$t$  is a contraction and its restriction*

$$t_r : (L(\mathbf{C}G))_r \longrightarrow (Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))_r$$

*to the respective  $r$ -balls is WOT-continuous for any  $r$ ,*

(ii)  *$t$  is a trace with values in  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ ,*

(iii)  *$t(L_a) = L_a$  for all  $L_a \in Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ ,*

(iv)  *$t(L_a L_{a'}) = L_a t(L_{a'})$  for all  $L_a \in Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  and  $L_{a'} \in L(\mathbf{C}G)$  (i.e.  $t$  is  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ -linear),*

(v)  *$t(L_a^*) = t(L_a)^*$  for all  $L_a \in L(\mathbf{C}G)$  and*

(vi) *the canonical trace functional  $\tau$  on  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$  factors as the composition*

$$L(\mathbf{C}G) \xrightarrow{t} Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)) \xrightarrow{\tau'} \mathbf{C},$$

*where  $\tau'$  is the restriction of  $\tau$  to the center  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $G_f \trianglelefteq G$  be the normal subgroup consisting of those elements  $g \in G$  that have finitely many conjugates and consider the linear map

$$\Delta : L(\mathbf{C}G) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}G_f),$$

which is defined on the set of generators  $L_g$ ,  $g \in G$ , by letting  $\Delta(L_g) = L_g$  if  $g \in G_f$  and  $\Delta(L_g) = 0$  if  $g \notin G_f$ . The orbit of an element  $g \in G_f$  under the conjugation action of  $G$  is the conjugacy class  $[g] \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ , a finite set with  $[G : C_g]$  elements. We consider the linear map

$$c : L(\mathbf{C}G_f) \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}G_f),$$

which maps  $L_g$  onto  $\frac{1}{[G:C_g]} \sum \{L_x : x \in [g]\}$  for all  $g \in G_f$ . It is clear that the composition

$$L(\mathbf{C}G) \xrightarrow{\Delta} L(\mathbf{C}G_f) \xrightarrow{c} L(\mathbf{C}G_f)$$

coincides with the composition

$$L(\mathbf{C}G) \xrightarrow{t} Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)) \hookrightarrow L(\mathbf{C}G_f).$$

Therefore, (i) is a consequence of Corollaries 4.3 and 4.6. The proof of assertions (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) follows readily from Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

VI. THE CONSTRUCTION OF  $t$  ON  $\mathcal{N}G$ . Using the results obtained above, we shall now construct the center-valued trace  $t$  on the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$  of the countable group  $G$ . We note that the countability of  $G$  implies that the Hilbert space  $\ell^2 G$  is separable. For any radius  $r$  we consider the  $r$ -ball  $(\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G))_r$  of the algebra of bounded operators on  $\ell^2 G$ . Then, the space  $((\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G))_r, \text{WOT})$  is compact and metrizable; in fact, we can choose for any  $r$  a metric  $d_r$  on  $((\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G))_r, \text{WOT})$ , in such a way that

$$d_r(a, a') = d_{2r}(a' - a, 0) \quad (4)$$

for all  $a, a' \in (\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G))_r$  (cf. Theorem 1.3 and its proof). In view of Kaplansky's density theorem (Theorem 1.8), the  $r$ -ball  $(\mathcal{N}G)_r$  is the WOT-closure of the  $r$ -ball  $(L(\mathbf{C}G))_r$ . It follows that  $((\mathcal{N}G)_r, \text{WOT})$  is also a compact metric space; in particular, it is a complete metric space. In fact,  $((\mathcal{N}G)_r, \text{WOT})$  can be identified with the completion of its dense subspace  $((L(\mathbf{C}G))_r, \text{WOT})$ . As an immediate consequence of the discussion above, we note that any operator in  $\mathcal{N}G$  is the WOT-limit of a bounded sequence of operators in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ . Using a similar argument, combined with Proposition 3.4, we may identify the complete metric space  $((\mathcal{Z}G)_r, \text{WOT})$  with the completion of its dense subspace  $((Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))_r, \text{WOT})$ . It follows that any operator in  $\mathcal{Z}G$  is the WOT-limit of a bounded sequence of operators in  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ .

We now consider the linear map  $t : L(\mathbf{C}G) \rightarrow Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  of Proposition 4.7. We know that  $t$  is a contraction, whereas its restriction  $t_r$  to the respective  $r$ -balls is WOT-continuous for all  $r$ . Having fixed the radius  $r$ , we note that the continuity of  $t_{2r}$  at 0 implies that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta = \delta(r, \varepsilon) > 0$ , such that

$$d_{2r}(a, 0) < \delta \implies d_{2r}(t(a), 0) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $a \in (L(\mathbf{C}G))_{2r}$ . Taking into account the linearity of  $t$  and Eq.(4), it follows that

$$d_r(a, a') < \delta \implies d_r(t(a), t(a')) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $a, a' \in (L(\mathbf{C}G))_r$ . Therefore, the map

$$t_r : ((L(\mathbf{C}G))_r, \text{WOT}) \rightarrow ((Z(L(\mathbf{C}G)))_r, \text{WOT})$$

is uniformly continuous and hence admits a unique extension to a continuous map between the completions

$$t_r : ((\mathcal{N}G)_r, \text{WOT}) \rightarrow ((\mathcal{Z}G)_r, \text{WOT}). \quad (5)$$

Taking into account the uniqueness of these extensions, it follows that there is a well-defined map

$$t : \mathcal{N}G \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}G,$$

which is contractive, extends  $t : L(\mathbf{C}G) \rightarrow Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  and its restriction to the respective  $r$ -balls is the WOT-continuous map  $t_r$  of (5) for all  $r$ .

**Theorem 4.8** *Let  $\mathcal{Z}G$  be the center of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$  and  $t : \mathcal{N}G \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}G$  the map defined above. Then:*

(i)  $t$  extends the trace  $t_0 : \mathbf{C}G \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{C}G)$ , in the sense that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}G & \xrightarrow{t_0} & Z(\mathbf{C}G) \\ L \downarrow & & \downarrow L \\ \mathcal{N}G & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{Z}G \end{array}$$

- (ii)  $t$  is a contraction and its restriction to bounded sets is WOT-continuous,
- (iii)  $t$  is  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear,
- (iv)  $t$  is a trace with values in  $\mathcal{Z}G$ ,
- (v)  $t(a) = a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$ ,
- (vi)  $t(aa') = at(a')$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$  and  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$  (i.e.  $t$  is  $\mathcal{Z}G$ -linear),
- (vii)  $t(a^*) = t(a)^*$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ ,
- (viii) the canonical trace functional  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{N}G$  factors as the composition

$$\mathcal{N}G \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{Z}G \xrightarrow{\tau'} \mathbf{C},$$

where  $\tau'$  is the restriction of  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{Z}G$ .

- (ix)  $t(a^*a)$  is non-zero and self-adjoint for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G \setminus \{0\}$ .

The trace  $t$  is referred to as the center-valued trace on  $\mathcal{N}G$ .

*Proof.* Assertions (i) and (ii) follow from the construction of  $t$ .

(iii) As we have already noted, for any  $a, a' \in \mathcal{N}G$  there are bounded sequences  $(a_n)_n$  and  $(a'_n)_n$  in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , such that  $\text{WOT-lim}_n a_n = a$  and  $\text{WOT-lim}_n a'_n = a'$ . Then, for any  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbf{C}$  the sequence  $(\lambda a_n + \lambda' a'_n)_n$  is bounded and WOT-convergent to  $\lambda a + \lambda' a'$ . In view of the linearity of  $t$  on  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , we have  $t(\lambda a_n + \lambda' a'_n) = \lambda t(a_n) + \lambda' t(a'_n)$  for all  $n$ . Since  $t$  is WOT-continuous on bounded sets, it follows that  $t(\lambda a + \lambda' a') = \lambda t(a) + \lambda' t(a')$ .

(iv) We recall that multiplication in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  is separately continuous in the weak operator topology (cf. Remark 1.1(ii)). For any element  $a \in L(\mathbf{C}G)$  the map  $a' \mapsto t(aa') - t(a'a)$ ,  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$ , is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and vanishes on  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , in view of Proposition 4.7(ii). Therefore, approximating any operator of  $\mathcal{N}G$  by a bounded sequence in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , we conclude that  $t(aa') = t(a'a)$  for all  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$ . We now fix  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$  and consider the map  $a \mapsto t(aa') - t(a'a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ . This latter map is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and vanishes on  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , as we have just proved. Hence, using the same argument as above, we conclude that  $t(aa') = t(a'a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ .

(v) We know that any operator  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$  is the WOT-limit of a bounded sequence of operators in  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ ; therefore, the equality  $t(a) = a$  is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.7(iii), in view of the WOT-continuity of  $t$  on bounded sets.

(vi) We fix an operator  $a \in Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$  and consider the map  $a' \mapsto t(aa') - at(a')$ ,  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$ . This map is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and vanishes on  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$  (cf. Proposition 4.7(iv)). Approximating any operator of  $\mathcal{N}G$  by a bounded sequence in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , we conclude that  $t(aa') = at(a')$  for all  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$ . We now fix an element  $a' \in \mathcal{N}G$  and consider the map  $a \mapsto t(aa') - at(a')$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$ . This map is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and vanishes on  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ , as we have just proved. Hence, approximating any operator of  $\mathcal{Z}G$  by a bounded sequence in  $Z(L(\mathbf{C}G))$ , it follows that  $t(aa') = at(a')$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{Z}G$ .

(vii) We know that any operator  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$  is the WOT-limit of a bounded sequence of operators in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ , whereas the adjoint operator is WOT-continuous on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (cf. Remark 1.1(iii)). Therefore, the equality  $t(a^*) = t(a)^*$  is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.1(v), in view of the WOT-continuity of  $t$  on bounded sets.

(viii) Since the trace  $\tau$  is WOT-continuous, the equality  $\tau = \tau' \circ t$  follows from the WOT-continuity of  $t$  on bounded sets, combined with Proposition 4.7(vi), by approximating any operator  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$  by a bounded sequence of operators in  $L(\mathbf{C}G)$ .

(ix) In view of (vii) above, the operator  $t(a^*a) \in \mathcal{Z}G$  is self-adjoint for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}G$ . Since  $\tau(a^*a) = \tau(t(a^*a))$  (cf. (viii)), we may invoke Proposition 2.5(ii) in order to conclude that  $t(a^*a) = 0$  only if  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

## 5 Exercises

1. Let  $\ell^2\mathbf{N}$  be the Hilbert space of square summable sequences of complex numbers and consider the operators  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbf{N})$ , which are defined by letting  $a(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  and  $b(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (0, \xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  for all  $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \ell^2\mathbf{N}$ .
  - (i) Show that  $\|a^n\| = \|b^n\| = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .
  - (ii) Show that the sequence  $(a^n)_n$  is SOT-convergent to 0, but not norm-convergent to 0. In particular, the sequence  $(a^n)_n$  is WOT-convergent to 0.
  - (iii) Show that the sequence  $(b^n)_n$  is WOT-convergent to 0, but not SOT-convergent to 0.
  - (iv) Show that the sequence  $(a^n b^n)_n$  is not WOT-convergent to 0. In particular, multiplication in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbf{N})$  is not jointly WOT-continuous.
2. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$  the corresponding matrix ring. For any subset  $X \subseteq R$  we consider the subset  $\mathbf{M}_n(X)$  (resp.  $X \cdot I_n$ ) of  $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$ , which consists of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $X$  (resp. of all matrices of the form  $xI_n$ ,  $x \in X$ ). Show that:
  - (i) The commutant  $(\mathbf{M}_n(X))'$  of  $\mathbf{M}_n(X)$  in  $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$  is equal to  $X' \cdot I_n$ , where  $X'$  is the commutant of  $X$  in  $R$ . In particular, the center  $Z(\mathbf{M}_n(R))$  of  $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$  is equal to  $Z(R) \cdot I_n$ , where  $Z(R)$  is the center of  $R$ .
  - (ii) The commutant  $(X \cdot I_n)'$  of  $X \cdot I_n$  in  $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$  is equal to  $\mathbf{M}_n(X')$ .
3. Let  $G$  be a group. The goal of this Exercise is to show that the property of Lemma 2.3(i) characterizes the operators in the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}G$ . To that end, let us fix an operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$ , for which  $\langle a(\delta_g), \delta_{hg} \rangle = \langle a(\delta_1), \delta_h \rangle$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .
  - (i) Show that for any operator  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  and any elements  $g, h \in G$  the families of complex numbers  $(\langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_g), \delta_{x^{-1}h} \rangle)_x$  and  $(\langle a(\delta_1), \delta_x \rangle \cdot \langle b(\delta_{xg}), \delta_h \rangle)_x$  are summable with sum  $\langle ab(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle$  and  $\langle ba(\delta_g), \delta_h \rangle$  respectively.
  - (ii) Assume that  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  is an operator in the commutant  $L(\mathbf{C}G)'$  of the subalgebra  $L(\mathbf{C}G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$ . Then, show that  $ab = ba$ . In particular, conclude that  $a \in L(\mathbf{C}G)'' = \mathcal{N}G$ .
4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  a unital self-adjoint subalgebra and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}''$  its WOT-closure. Let  $Z(\mathcal{A})$  be the center of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $Z(\mathcal{N})$  the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ .
  - (i) Show that  $Z(\mathcal{N})$  contains the WOT-closure of  $Z(\mathcal{A})$ .  
 In contrast to the situation described in Proposition 3.4, the inclusion  $Z(\mathcal{A})'' \subseteq Z(\mathcal{N})$  may be proper. It is the goal of this Exercise to provide an example, which was communicated to me by E. Katsoulis, where  $Z(\mathcal{A})'' \neq Z(\mathcal{N})$ . To that end, we let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}$ .
  - (ii) For any  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$  and any scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  we consider the linear map  $T(a, \lambda) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , which maps any element  $(v, z) \in \mathcal{H}$  onto  $(a(v) + \lambda v, \lambda z)$ . Show that  $T(a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .
  - (iii) Consider the ideal  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$  of finite rank operators and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{T(a, \lambda) : a \in \mathcal{F}, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\},$$

in the notation of (ii) above. Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , whose center  $Z(\mathcal{A})$  consists of the scalar multiples of the identity.

(iv) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the subalgebra defined in (iii) above. Show that the center  $Z(\mathcal{A}'')$  of the bicommutant  $\mathcal{A}''$  is 2-dimensional and conclude that the inclusion  $Z(\mathcal{A})'' \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A}'')$  is proper.

5. Let  $G$  be a countable group,  $\mathcal{N}G$  the associated von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{Z}G$  its center. We consider a  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear trace  $t' : \mathcal{N}G \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}G$ , which is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and maps  $\mathcal{Z}G$  identically onto itself. The goal of this Exercise is to show that  $t'$  coincides with the center-valued trace  $t$  constructed in Theorem 4.8.

(i) Let  $g \in G$  be an element with finitely many conjugates and  $C_g$  its centralizer in  $G$ . Show that  $t'(L_g) = \frac{1}{[G:C_g]} L_{\zeta_{[g]}} \in \mathcal{Z}G$ .

(ii) Let  $(g_n)_n$  be a sequence of distinct elements of  $G$ . Show that the sequence of operators  $(L_{g_n})_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2 G)$  is WOT-convergent to 0.

(iii) Let  $g \in G$  be an element with infinitely many conjugates. Show that  $t'(L_g) = 0$ .

(iv) Show that  $t' = t$ .

6. (i) Let  $R = \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{C})$  be the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Show that there is a unique  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear trace  $t : R \rightarrow Z(R)$ , which is the identity on  $Z(R)$ . The trace  $t$  is given by letting  $t(A) = \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} I_n$  for all matrices  $A \in R$ . (Here, we denote by  $\text{tr}$  the usual trace of a matrix.)

(ii) Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $r$  mutually non-isomorphic irreducible complex representations  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  and consider the corresponding characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  and the dimensions  $n_i = \dim V_i = \chi_i(1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Show that the Wedderburn decomposition

$$\mathbf{C}G \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{M}_{n_i}(\mathbf{C})$$

identifies the the center-valued trace  $t : \mathcal{N}G \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}G$  with the map

$$t : \mathbf{C}G \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{C} \cdot I_{n_i},$$

which is defined by letting  $t(a) = \left( \frac{\chi_1(a)}{n_1} I_{n_1}, \dots, \frac{\chi_r(a)}{n_r} I_{n_r} \right)$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{C}G$ .