

Probabilities II / Τρέζας

Exercise 1: Show that

$$i) X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \stackrel{(a)}{\iff} \exists (Y_n) \text{ subsequence of } (X_n): Y_n \xrightarrow{c} X$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\implies} \exists (Y_n) \text{ subsequence of } (X_n): Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$$

$$ii) X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \stackrel{(a)}{\iff} (\forall \text{ subsequence } (Y_n) \text{ of } (X_n), \exists \text{ subsequence } (Z_n) \text{ of } (Y_n): Z_n \xrightarrow{c} X)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\iff} (\forall \text{ subsequence } (Y_n) \text{ of } (X_n), \exists \text{ subsequence } (Z_n) \text{ of } (Y_n): Z_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X)$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\iff} \forall \text{ subsequence } (Y_n) \text{ of } (X_n), \exists \text{ subsequence } (Z_n) \text{ of } (Y_n): Z_n \xrightarrow{P} X$$

iii) Deduce that the $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$ convergence and \xrightarrow{c} convergence are not metrizable. (μετρικότητα)

Solution

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists n_0(\varepsilon, \delta): \forall n > n_0, P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) < \delta.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{c} X \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - X| > \varepsilon) < +\infty.$$

Take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

So $\forall m > 1, \exists n_0(m): \forall n > n_0(m)$

$$P(|X_n - X| > \frac{1}{m}) < \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

We also have that: $n_0(1) < n_0(2) < \dots < n_0(m) < \dots$

Take $Y_1 = X_{n_0(1)}, Y_2 = X_{n_0(2)}, \dots, Y_m = X_{n_0(m)}, \dots$

So,

$$P(|Y_n - X| > \frac{1}{n}) < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad (*), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{c} X.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We should have: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - X| > \varepsilon) < +\infty$.

$\exists n_0: \frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$. So $\forall n > n_0 \quad \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$. Then

$$P(|Y_n - X| > \varepsilon) \leq P(|Y_n - X| > \frac{1}{n}) \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

$$\sum_{n > n_0} P(|Y_n - X| > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{n > n_0} P(|Y_n - X| > \frac{1}{n}) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \sum_{n > n_0} \frac{1}{2^n} < +\infty.$$

So,

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{c} X \Rightarrow Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$$

ii) " \Rightarrow " Let $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and take an arbitrary sequence $(Y_n) \subset (X_n)$.

Obviously, $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. By (1),

$$\exists (Z_n) \subset (Y_n) : Z_n \xrightarrow{c} X \Rightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \Rightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

" \Leftarrow " Assume that $X_n \not\xrightarrow{p} X$.

Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ and a subsequence $(Y_n) \subset X_n : P(|Y_n - X| > \varepsilon) \leq \delta$,

$\forall n > 1 \Rightarrow \forall (Z_n) \subset (Y_n)$ we have: $Z_n \not\xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow Z_n \not\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \Rightarrow Z_n \not\xrightarrow{c} X$.

So we have a contradiction.

We conclude that: $X_n \xrightarrow{p} x$.

iii) If d is a distance function,

$$d(x_n, x) \xrightarrow{d} x.$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0: \forall n > n_0, d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$$

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d} x \iff \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ and a } (y_n) \subset (x_n) \text{ such that } d(y_n, x) \geq \epsilon.$$

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d} x \iff \forall (y_n) \subset (x_n), \exists (z_n) \subset (y_n): z_n \xrightarrow{d} x$$

$\Rightarrow \checkmark$

\Leftarrow Assume that $x_n \not\xrightarrow{d} x$. So,

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ and a } (y_n) \subset (x_n) \text{ such that } d(y_n, x) \geq \epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall (z_n) \subset (y_n), \text{ we have that } z_n \not\xrightarrow{d} x, \text{ contradiction.}$$

Since ii-a) holds, \xrightarrow{c} is not metrizable, since then:

$$\xrightarrow{c} \iff \xrightarrow{p}.$$

Similarly: ii-b) holds, therefore: $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$ is not metrizable, since then:

$$\xrightarrow{a.s.} \iff \xrightarrow{p}$$

Exercise 2: i) Show that if $p > 0$: $E|X|^p < \infty$, then $E|X|^p \xrightarrow{p > 0} P(X \neq 0)$

ii) Deduce that if $p > 0$, $X, Y \in L^p(P)$, then:

$$d_p(X, Y) \xrightarrow[p \rightarrow 0^+]{\text{a.s.}} d_0(X, Y)$$

Solution

$$\text{Set } X_p = |X|^p \xrightarrow[p \rightarrow 0^+]{\text{a.s.}} |X|^0, \quad |X|^0 = \begin{cases} 0, & X=0 \\ 1, & 0 < |X| < +\infty \\ +\infty, & |X|=+\infty \end{cases}$$

By assumption, $\exists p_0 > 0: E|X|^{p_0} < +\infty$.

Then $\forall 0 < p \leq p_0$,

$$|X|^p \leq \underbrace{1 + |X|^{p_0}}_{\text{domination}} \Rightarrow E|X|^p \leq 1 + E|X|^{p_0} < +\infty$$

From the generalised DCT

$$E(X_p) = E|X|^p \Rightarrow E|X|^0 = P(X \neq 0) \text{ since } X \in \mathcal{L}^0$$

ii) Similarly, $\exists p_0 > 0: E|X|^{p_0}, E|Y|^{p_0} < +\infty$.

For $0 < p < \min\{1, p_0\}$ we have:

$$d_p(X, Y) = E \underbrace{|X-Y|^p}_{\in \mathcal{L}^p \forall p \geq p_0} \xrightarrow[p \rightarrow 0^+]{i)} E|X-Y|^0 \quad \text{"}$$

$$P(X-Y \neq 0) = P(X \neq Y) = d_0(X, Y)$$

The Continuous Mapping Theorem

$\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ and \xrightarrow{p} for continuous g .

ii) If g is continuous, then

$$i) X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} g(X)$$

$$ii) X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$$

This theorem also holds if g is continuous and a set $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$:
 $P(X \in B) = 1$.

Proof

$$i) X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \iff P(X_n \rightarrow X) = 1$$

If $\omega \in \Omega$: $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$. Then $g(X_n(\omega)) \rightarrow g(X(\omega))$ by the transfer principle (αρχή μεταφοράς). So

$$\{X_n \rightarrow X\} \subset \{g(X_n) \rightarrow g(X)\}, \text{ so:}$$

$$1 = P(X_n \rightarrow X) \leq P(g(X_n) \rightarrow g(X)) \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$$

ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$. Suppose that: $g(X_n) \not\xrightarrow{P} g(X)$. Therefore:

$$\exists (g(Y_n)) \subset (g(X_n)) : \forall (g(Z_n)) \subset (g(Y_n)) : g(Z_n) \not\xrightarrow{P} g(X) \stackrel{i)}{\iff} Z_n \not\xrightarrow{a.s.} X$$

$\forall (Z_n) \subset (Y_n)$

contradiction since $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$.

Exercise: Show that $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X \not\Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} g(X)$, even if $g(X_n), g(X) \in L^p$.

What happens if g is assumed to be continuous and bounded?