

Remark: We showed that $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^p} X$. However, the DCT, for $p=1$, if $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$ and $|X_n| \leq Y \in \mathcal{L}^1 \Rightarrow$

i) $X_n, X \in \mathcal{L}^1$

ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^1} X$

iii) $E(X_n) \rightarrow E(X)$

Weaker statement of the DCT

If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $\exists p > 0: |X_n| \leq Y \in \mathcal{L}^p$, then:

i) $X_n, X \in \mathcal{L}^p$

ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^p} X$

iii) $E|X_n|^p \rightarrow E|X|^p$ (Exercise)

Proof

i) $E|X_n|^p \leq EY^p$. But $Y \in \mathcal{L}^p$, therefore: $E|Y|^p = EY^p < +\infty \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow E|X_n|^p < +\infty \Rightarrow X_n \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

Now we prove that $X \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \xrightarrow{\text{Lect 18}} \exists (Y_n) \subset (X_n): Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$.

But, by the CMT (Continuous Mapping Theorem), since $|Y|^p$ is contin.

$$|Y_n|^p \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} |X|^p$$

Also,

$$|X_n| \leq Y \xrightarrow{(Y_n) \subset (X_n)} |X_n| \leq |Y| \rightarrow |Y_n|^p \leq Y^p \in \mathcal{L}^1, \text{ since } E Y^p < \infty.$$

ορισμοί γραφικά Z^2

So the conditions of DCT are satisfied and:

$$|X|^p \in \mathcal{L}^1 \Rightarrow E|X|^p < \infty \Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{L}^p.$$

ii) Assume that $X_n \not\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^p} X$.

Then:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ \& } \exists (Y_n) \subset (X_n) : E|Y_n - X|^p \geq \epsilon, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\forall (Z_n) \subset (Y_n), E|Z_n - X|^p \geq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

But, by assumption $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$, so for $(Y_n) \subset (X_n)$, $(Y_n \xrightarrow{P} X)$

$$\exists (Z_n) \subset (Y_n) : Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \xrightarrow{\text{CMT}} W_n := |Z_n - X|^p \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0.$$

$$\text{But, } |Z_n - X|^p \leq \underbrace{Y}_{\in \mathcal{L}^p} + \underbrace{|X|}_{\in \mathcal{L}^p} \text{ and } E(Y + |X|)^p < \infty \Rightarrow$$

και ανεξάρτητα του n κυριαρχία σε ακού $|Z_n - X|^p$

$$\rightarrow E|Z_n - X|^p \xrightarrow{\text{by DCT}} E(0) = 0, \text{ contradiction! } (E|Z_n - X|^p \geq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq 1)$$

and $W_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$

So, finally: $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^p} X$.

Exercise: Let $X, Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be real random variables and

we define:

$$d(X, Y) = E \left[\frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right] \in [0, 1).$$

a) If $X, Y, Z: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ random variables, show that:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{i) } d(X, Y) = 0 \iff P(X = Y) = 1 \\ \text{ii) } d(X, Y) = d(Y, X) \\ \text{iii) } d(X, Y) \leq d(X, Z) + d(Z, Y) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d \text{ is a pseudometric.}$$

b) Let $X, (X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ random variables. Show that:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \iff d(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{So } \xrightarrow{P} \text{ is metrizable}).$$

Solution

$$\text{Let } g(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}, \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

Properties of g :

$$g(x) = \frac{x+1-1}{1+x} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+x}, \text{ so } g \uparrow \text{ and obviously 1-1 from } [0, \infty)$$

$$\text{to } [0, 1), \text{ with } y = 1 - \frac{1}{1+x} \iff \frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - y \iff x = \frac{1}{1-y} - 1 \iff \boxed{x = \frac{y}{1-y}} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{g^{-1}(y) = \frac{y}{1-y}}$$

$$\bullet \text{ for } u, v \geq 0, \text{ we have } g(u+v) \leq g(u) + g(v) \iff$$

$$\iff 1 - \frac{1}{1+u+v} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+u}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+v}\right) \iff$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{1+u} + \frac{1}{1+v} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{1+u+v}$$

Indeed

$$\frac{1}{1+u} + \frac{1}{1+v} = \frac{1+2+utv}{(1+u)(1+v)} \leq \frac{1+2+utv+uv}{(1+u)(1+v)} = \frac{1+(1+u)(1+v)}{(1+u)(1+v)} =$$
$$= 1 + \frac{1}{(1+u)(1+v)} = 1 + \frac{1}{1+utv+uv} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{utv+2}$$

We will now show that i), ii), iii) hold

$$i) d(X, Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow E[\underbrace{g(|X-Y|)}_{\gamma, 0}] = 0 \Leftrightarrow g(|X-Y|) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0 = g(0)$$

$$\stackrel{g \text{ i.i.}}{\Leftrightarrow} |X-Y| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0 \Leftrightarrow X \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} Y \Leftrightarrow P(X=Y) = 1.$$

$$ii) d(X, Y) = d(Y, X) \text{ obviously since } |X-Y| = |Y-X|.$$

$$iii) d(X, Y) = E[g(|X-Y|)].$$

Equivalently, we need to show that:

$$E[g(|X-Y|)] \leq E[g(|X-Z|)] + E[g(|Z-Y|)].$$

It suffices to show that:

$$g(|X-Y|) \leq g(|X-Z|) + g(|Z-Y|), \text{ since then we take expectations}$$

We have that:

$$g(|X-Y|) \stackrel{g \uparrow}{\leq} g(|X-Z| + |Z-Y|) \stackrel{\text{prop.}}{\leq} g(|X-Z|) + g(|Z-Y|).$$

of g

So the proof is complete.

$$b) X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \iff d(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0. (\iff E[g(|X_n - X|)] \rightarrow 0)$$

$$\stackrel{g \text{ conc}}{\implies} X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \implies |X_n - X| \xrightarrow{P} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{CMT}} g(|X_n - X|) \xrightarrow{P} g(0) = 0.$$

But, since g is bounded by 1, by BCT: ϵ -χέρον κυριολεκτικά ίσχυει $\forall \epsilon > 0$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ $\implies E[f] \rightarrow 0$ \implies $f \rightarrow 0$ $\text{in } L^1$ \implies $f \rightarrow 0$ $\text{in } L^1$ \implies $f \rightarrow 0$ $\text{in } L^1$

$$E[g(|X_n - X|)] \rightarrow E(0) = 0 \implies$$

$$\implies d(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0$$

\Leftarrow Let $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \stackrel{g \uparrow}{=} P(g(|X_n - X|) > \underbrace{g(\epsilon)}_{> 0}) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E[g(|X_n - X|)] \xrightarrow{\text{assumption}} 0}{g(\epsilon)}$$

Distribution of a random variable

Definition: Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space, (E, \mathcal{E}) be a measurable space and $X: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a random variable. The probability measure

$P_X: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on E , with

$$P_X(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P(X \in B) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{E}$$

is called distribution of X (κατανομή του X) or induced probability measure (επαγόμενο μέτρο πιθανότητας) or image measure of P via X (μείζον είκόνα του P ως προς X).

Remark: P_X is indeed a probability measure on (E, \mathcal{E})

Obviously, $P_X(B) \geq 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{E}$

i) $P_X(\emptyset) = P(X^{-1}(\emptyset)) = P(\emptyset) = 0$

ii) If $(B_n)_n$ is in \mathcal{E} , then

$$P_X\left(\bigcup_n B_n\right) = P\left(X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n B_n\right)\right) = P\left(\bigcup_n X^{-1}(B_n)\right) \stackrel{\substack{X^{-1}(B_i) \cap X^{-1}(B_j) = X^{-1}(B_i \cap B_j) = \emptyset \\ \sigma\text{-addic.}}}{=} \\ = \sum_n P(X^{-1}(B_n)) = \sum_n P_X(B_n)$$

Therefore P_X is a measure.

$P_X(E) = P(X^{-1}(E)) = P(\Omega) = 1$ it is a probability measure

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} P_X(B) \geq 0 \\ \text{i) } P_X(E) = 1 \\ \text{ii) } (B_n)_n \rightarrow \sigma\text{-addic} \end{array} \right)$$

Special case of interest: when $(E, \mathcal{E}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$

Change of Variable Formula (Τίμος αλλαγής μεταβλητών)

Theorem: Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space, (E, \mathcal{E}) be a measurable space and $X: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a random variable with distribution P_X .

Then: όταν είσαι σε χώρο πιθανότητας, και ε μεταβλητή με την P_X .

$\forall h: E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable, we have $E_P[h(X)] = E_{P_X}[h]$.

or equivalently:

$$\int_{\Omega} h(\underbrace{X(\omega)}_x) dP(\omega) = \int_E h(x) dP_X(x) \quad (P_X = P \circ X^{-1})$$

η αλλαγή είναι στην P_X και η P είναι η αρχική P στο Ω

If $h: E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable, then either both members of the equation are well defined and are equal, or both members are not defined.

e.g. $(E, \mathcal{E}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, $E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dP_X(x)$

Proof

S1 Firstly, for h simple, measurable, ≥ 0 . So

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}, \quad A_i \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \alpha_i \in [0, +\infty] \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

λέγαμε σε πρώτο
μήν να μισούν \mathcal{E}

$$\begin{aligned} E_P[h(X)] &= E_P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}(X) \right] \stackrel{\text{simple}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i P(X \in A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i P_X(A_i) = \\ &= E_{P_X} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \right] = E_{P_X}(h) \end{aligned}$$

S2 For h measurable, ≥ 0 .

For such an h there exists $(h_n) \uparrow$ of simple, measurable, ≥ 0 functions: $h = \lim_n h_n$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} E_P[h(X)] &= E_P \left[\lim_n h_n(X) \right] \stackrel{\text{MCT}}{\text{on } \Omega} \lim_n E_P[h_n(X)] \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\ &= \lim_n E_{P_X}(h_n) \stackrel{\text{MCT}}{\text{on } E} E_{P_X}(\lim_n h_n) = E_{P_X}(h) \end{aligned}$$

S3 For h measurable.

$h = h^+ - h^-$, where h^+, h^- satisfy S2.

$$E_p[h^+(X)] = E_p[h^-(X)] = +\infty \stackrel{(52)}{\iff} E_{P_x}(h^+) = E_{P_x}(h^-) = +\infty$$

$\iff E_p[h(X)]^x$ is not well defined \iff

$\iff E_{P_x}(h)$ is not well defined.

Otherwise,

$$E_p[h(X)] = E_p[h^+(X)] - E_p[h^-(X)] \stackrel{52}{=}$$

$$= E_{P_x}(h^+) - E_{P_x}(h^-) =$$

$$= E_{P_x}(h^+ - h^-) =$$

$$= E_{P_x}(h).$$