

On the notion of Index of a curve

Introduction ¹ If $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any (piecewise) continuously differentiable function with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$, it defines a closed curve $[\gamma] := \{\gamma(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. If a point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is not on the curve, i.e. $\lambda \notin [\gamma]$ then we define its *index or winding number with respect to γ* by

$$\text{wind}(\gamma; \lambda) = n(\gamma; \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\gamma(t) - \lambda} \gamma'(t) dt.$$

We wish to extend this notion to more general continuous curves $\gamma \in C([0, 1])$.

Clearly if $\lambda \notin [\gamma]$ and we put $\gamma_{\lambda}(t) = \gamma(t) - \lambda$ then $0 \notin [\gamma_{\lambda}]$ and

$$n(\gamma_{\lambda}; 0) = n(\gamma; \lambda).$$

So it suffices to consider $n(\gamma; 0)$ for nowhere-vanishing $\gamma \in C([0, 1])$.

Every $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ which vanishes nowhere (so that $0 \notin f(\mathbb{T})$) defines a continuous function

$$\gamma_f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : t \mapsto f(e^{2\pi i t})$$

with $\gamma_f(0) = \gamma_f(1)$ and $0 \notin [\gamma_f] = f(\mathbb{T})$.

In order to define the index of such a more general closed curve γ_f , there are two methods. One method [Rud87] approximates f by a sequence p_i of trigonometric polynomials, and shows that the sequence $(n(\gamma_{p_i}, 0))_i$ is eventually well defined and eventually constant, so that one may define $n(\gamma_f; 0) := \lim_i n(\gamma_{p_i}, 0)$. The other method [Arv02] directly shows that a never vanishing $\gamma \in C([0, 1])$ is an exponential and uses periodicity of the exponential function to construct the index.

Παρατήρηση 1. Καθε μη μηδενικος μιγαδικος αριθμος z μπορει να γραφτει $z = |z|e^{2\pi i \theta}$ για καποιο $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Το δεμα ειναι οτι αν το z εξαρταται κατα συνεχη τροπο απο μια παραμετρο $t \in [0, 1]$, τοτε (οπως θα δειξουμε) το θ μπορει να επιλεγει κατα συνεχη τροπο απο το t (παρολο που η απεικονιση $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T} : \theta \mapsto e^{2\pi i \theta}$ δεν εχει συνεχη αντιστροφο).

Παρατήρηση 2. Οριζονμε

$$\log z := - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (1-z)^n, \quad |1-z| < 1.$$

Η σειρα συγκλινει απολντα στον ανοικτο δισκο $D(1, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |1-z| < 1\}$ και οριζει ολομορφη συναρτηση \log που ικανοποιει τη σχεση $\log 1 = 0$ και $e^{\log z} = z$ στον $D(1, 1)$. ²

¹Ind24, modified 24 Δεκεμβρίου 2024

²Verify that $\frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{e^{\log z}}{z} \right) = 0$ on the open and connected set $D(1, 1)$, so the quotient $\frac{e^{\log z}}{z}$ is the constant function $\frac{e^{\log 1}}{1} = 1$.

Πρόταση 3 (από το βιβλίο του Arveson [Arv02]). Αν $\gamma \in C([0, 1])$ δεν μηδενιζεται πουθενα (ισοδυναμα, αν ειναι αντιστρεψιμο στοιχειο της αλγεβρας $C([0, 1])$), τοτε υπαρχει (μη μοναδικη) $g \in C([0, 1])$ ωστε $\gamma = e^g$.

Απόδειξη. Observe that since $\gamma([0, 1])$ is a compact set and $0 \notin \gamma([0, 1])$, the quantity $\epsilon := \min\{|\gamma(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is strictly positive.

Special Case Suppose that

$$|\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } t, s \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma(t)| \left| 1 - \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(t)} \right| &= |\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)| < \epsilon \leq |\gamma(t)| \\ \text{so} \quad \left| 1 - \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(t)} \right| &< 1 \quad \text{for all } t, s \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g(s) := \log\left(\frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(0)}\right)$ is defined (από την Παρατηρηση 2) and continuous on $[0, 1]$ and we see that

$$e^{g(s)} = \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(0)}, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

General Case Since γ is uniformly continuous, we may find a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ such that

$$|\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } t, s \in [t_{k-1}, t_k] \text{ and all } k.$$

By the Special case applied to the interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $g_k \in C([0, 1])$ so that

$$\gamma(t_{k-1})e^{g_k(s)} = \gamma(s), \quad s \in [t_{k-1}, t_k].$$

Note that $g_k(t_{k-1}) = \log 1 = 0$.

Now define $g_0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(s) &= g_1(s), \quad s \in [0, t_1] \\ g_0(s) &= g_1(t_1) + g_2(s), \quad s \in [t_1, t_2] \\ &\vdots \\ g_0(s) &= g_1(t_1) + g_2(t_2) + \dots + g_n(s), \quad s \in [t_{n-1}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then g_0 is continuous and for each k ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{g_0(s)} &= e^{g_1(t_1)}e^{g_2(t_2)} \dots e^{g_k(s)}, \quad s \in [t_{k-1}, t_k] \\ &= \frac{\gamma(t_1)}{\gamma(0)} \frac{\gamma(t_2)}{\gamma(t_1)} \dots \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(t_{k-1})} = \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(0)} \end{aligned}$$

and so, choosing z_0 s.t. $\gamma(0) = e^{z_0}$ (since $\gamma(0) \neq 0$) and putting $g(s) := g_0(s) + z_0$ we obtain

$$e^{g(s)} = e^{g_0(s)}\gamma(0) = \gamma(s), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

□

Definition of the index Given $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ which vanishes nowhere (so that $0 \notin f(\mathbb{T})$), define the continuous function

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : t \mapsto f(e^{2\pi it})$$

Note that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ and $0 \notin [\gamma]$. By the Proposition, there is a continuous $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(e^{2\pi it}) = \gamma(t) = e^{2\pi ig(t)}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Notice that

$$e^{2\pi ig(0)} = f(e^{2\pi i0}) = f(e^{2\pi i1}) = e^{2\pi ig(1)}$$

and therefore (since \exp is periodic with period $2\pi i$)

$$g(1) - g(0) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This difference depends only on f and not on g . For if $h \in C([0, 1])$ is such that

$$f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi ih(t)}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

then

$$e^{2\pi ih(t)} = f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi ig(t)}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and therefore $t \mapsto h(t) - g(t)$ is (continuous and integer-valued on $[0, 1]$, hence)³ constant. In particular, $h(1) - h(0) = g(1) - g(0)$.

Thus we may unambiguously define

Ορισμός 1. Αν $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ και $0 \notin f(\mathbb{T})$, ορίζονται

$$\text{wind}(f; 0) = n(f; 0) := g_f(1) - g_f(0)$$

οπού $g_f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ είναι οποιαδήποτε συνεχης συναρτηση που ικανοποιεί $f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi ig_f(t)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Αν $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ και $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus f(\mathbb{T})$, ορίζονται

$$\text{wind}(f; \lambda) = n(f; \lambda) := n(f_\lambda; 0)$$

οπού $f_\lambda = f - \lambda \mathbf{1}$.

Πρόταση 4. The index or winding number satisfies, for $f, h \in C(\mathbb{T})$ invertible:

1. $n(fh; 0) = n(f; 0) + n(h; 0);$

2. $n(f; 0) = n \in \mathbb{Z} \iff \exists u \in C(\mathbb{T}) \text{ s.t. } f = f_n e^u \text{ (where } f_n(e^{it}) = e^{int}, e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}).$

Απόδειξη. For (1), let $g_f, g_h \in C([0, 1])$ be s.t.

$$f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi ig_f(t)}, \quad h(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi ig_h(t)}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$(fh)(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi i(g_f(t) + g_h(t))},$$

³for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the inverse image $\overline{\{t \in [0, 1] : h(t) - g(t) = n\}}$ is a clopen nonempty subset of $[0, 1]$, hence equal to $[0, 1]$

so

$$\begin{aligned} n(fh; 0) &= (g_f(1) + g_h(1)) - (g_f(0) + g_h(0)) \\ &= (g_f(1) - g_f(0)) + (g_h(1) - g_h(0)) \\ &= n(f; 0) + n(h; 0). \end{aligned}$$

We prove (2):

- Suppose first that $f = e^u$ for some $u \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Then f never vanishes and so by the Proposition we already have $f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi i g_f(t)}$ where $g_f(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} u(e^{2\pi it})$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and so

$$n(f; 0) = g_f(1) - g_f(0) = 0$$

(since $e^{2\pi i 1} = e^{2\pi i 0}$).

If conversely $n(f; 0) = 0$ then by the definition of $n(f; 0) = 0$ there exists $g_f \in C([0, 1])$ with $f(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi i g_f(t)}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $g_f(1) - g_f(0) = 0$. Thus g_f is periodic with period 1 so the function $u : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $u(e^{2\pi it}) = 2\pi i g_f(t)$ is well defined and continuous on \mathbb{T} and $f = e^u$.

We have shown that (2) holds for $n = 0$.

- It follows (using (1)) that if $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is invertible then $n(1/f; 0) + n(f; 0) = n(\mathbf{1}; 0) = 0$ since $\mathbf{1} = e^0$. Hence $n(1/f; 0) = -n(f; 0)$.

- Note that $n(f_1; 0) = 1$.

Indeed, $f_1(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{2\pi it}$ so $g_{f_1}(t) = t$ gives $n(f_1; 0) = g_{f_1}(1) - g_{f_1}(0) = 1$.

- It now follows inductively that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n(f_n; 0) = n$, and then, since $f_{-n} = 1/f_n$, the same holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- Thus if $f = f_n e^u$ then $n(f; 0) = n(f_n; 0) + n(e^u; 0) = n + 0 = n$.

Conversely if $n(f; 0) = n$ then letting $g = f_{-n} f$ we see that $n(g; 0) = -n + n(f; 0) = 0$. It follows that g is an exponential, $g = e^u$, and so $f = f_n g = f_n e^u$. \square

Πρόταση 5. If $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is invertible and continuously differentiable, then

$$n(f; 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_f} \frac{1}{z} dz$$

where $\gamma_f(t) = f(e^{2\pi it})$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Απόδειξη. Observe that since γ_f is continuously differentiable and never vanishes, the integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\gamma_f(t)} \gamma'_f(t) dt$$

exists. Since $0 \notin [\gamma_f]$ there is a $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\gamma_f(0) = e^{z_0}$.

Consider the differentiable function

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_0^s \frac{1}{\gamma_f(t)} \gamma'_f(t) dt + z_0 \right), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

We claim that

$$\gamma_f(t) = e^{2\pi i g(t)} \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed note that

$$2\pi i g'(t) = \frac{\gamma'_f(t)}{\gamma_f(t)}.$$

But this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-2\pi i g(t)} \gamma_f(t)) &= e^{-2\pi i g(t)} \gamma'_f(t) - 2\pi i g'(t) e^{-2\pi i g(t)} \gamma_f(t) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i g(t)} \left(\gamma'_f(t) - \frac{\gamma'_f(t)}{\gamma_f(t)} \gamma_f(t) \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

hence the function $t \mapsto e^{-2\pi i g(t)} \gamma_f(t)$ is constant on $[0, 1]$, equal to $e^{-2\pi i g(0)} \gamma_f(0) = e^{-z_0} e^{z_0}$ and so $e^{2\pi i g(t)} = \gamma_f(t)$ as claimed.

Thus $f(e^{2\pi i t}) = e^{2\pi i g(t)}$ and hence, by our definition

$$n(f; 0) = g(1) - g(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^s \frac{1}{\gamma_f(t)} \gamma'_f(t) dt.$$

□

Ο δεικτης στροφης τριγωνομετρικου πολυωνυμου Εστω

$$p(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{p}(k) e^{ikt}$$

τριγωνομετρικο πολυωνυμο με $0 \notin p(\mathbb{T})$. Τοτε το

$$q(e^{it}) = (f_n p)(e^{it}) = \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \hat{p}(m-n) e^{imt}$$

ειναι (αναλυτικο) πολυωνυμο, επομενως (οριζεται για καθε $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) παραγοντοποιειται:

$$q(z) = cz^m \prod_{j=1}^k (z - z_j) \prod_{j'=1}^l (z - w_{j'}), \quad 0 < |z_j| < 1 < |w_{j'}|$$

οποιο $0, z_j$ are the roots of q in the open unit disc and $w_{j'}$ the roots of q outside the closed unit disc (notice that q has no roots on the circle because p never vanishes on \mathbb{T}).

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(e^{it}) &= e^{-int} q(e^{it}) = ce^{i(m-n)t} \prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it} - z_j) \prod_{j'=1}^l (e^{it} - w_{j'}) \\ \text{i.e.} \quad p &= cf_{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^k g_j \prod_{j'=1}^l h_{j'} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j(e^{it}) = e^{it} - z_j$ and $h_{j'}(e^{it}) = e^{it} - w_{j'}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Thus from Proposition 4 (1) we have

$$n(p; 0) = n(cf_{m-n}; 0) + \sum_{j=1}^k n(g_j; 0) + \sum_{j'=1}^l n(h_{j'}; 0).$$

Now $n(cf_{m-n}; 0) = m - n$ of course. Also

$$\begin{aligned} n(g_j; 0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{g_j(t)} g'_j(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ie^{it}}{e^{it} - z_j} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-it} z_j} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_j e^{-it})^n dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_j^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} dt \quad (\text{note } |z_j| < 1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, k$ and (since $|w_{j'}^{-1}| < 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} n(h_{j'}; 0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{h_{j'}(t)} h'_{j'}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ie^{it}}{e^{it} - w_{j'}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi w_{j'}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it}}{e^{it} w_{j'}^{-1} - 1} dt \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi w_{j'}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (w_{j'}^{-1} e^{it})^n dt = \frac{-1}{2\pi w_{j'}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{j'}^{-n} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n+1)t} dt \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence finally

$$n(p; 0) = n(f_{m-n}; 0) + \sum_{j=1}^k n(g_j; 0) + \sum_{j'=1}^l n(h_{j'}; 0) = (m - n) + k + 0.$$

Αναφορές

- [Arv02] William Arveson, *A short course on spectral theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 209, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1865513
- [Rud87] Walter Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. MR 924157