

## The spectrum of a Toeplitz operator with continuous symbol

**Παρατήρηση 1.** Στο αρχείο (Ind24) θα δείξουμε ότι ο δείκτης στροφής επεκτείνεται σε όλες τις συνεχείς κλειστές καμπύλες  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Αν  $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , γράφουμε  $n(\phi; \lambda)$  για τον δείκτη στροφής της  $\gamma_\phi(t) := \phi(e^{2\pi it})$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Θεώρημα 2.** Αν  $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , τότε

$$\sigma(T_\phi) = \text{ran}(\phi) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{ran}(\phi) : n(\phi; \lambda) \neq 0\}.$$

*Απόδειξη.* Observe that since  $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  we have  $\text{ran}(\phi) = \text{essran}(\phi)$ .

Hence by spectral inclusion,

$$\text{ran}(\phi) = \sigma(M_\phi) \subseteq \sigma(T_\phi).$$

Thus we have to show that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{ran}(\phi)$ , then

$$\lambda \notin \sigma(T_\phi) \iff n(\phi; \lambda) = 0$$

$$\text{Equivalently: } T_{\phi-\lambda} \text{ invertible} \iff n(\phi - \lambda; 0) = 0.$$

Thus replacing  $\phi$  with  $\phi - \lambda$  we have to show that if  $0 \notin \text{ran}(\phi)$  (i.e. if  $\phi$  vanishes nowhere in  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e. if  $\min |\phi(e^{it})| > 0$ ), then

$$T_\phi \text{ invertible} \iff n(\phi; 0) = 0.$$

• *Case (1)* Suppose  $\phi = f_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ :

Here  $T_\phi = T_1^k$  is invertible iff  $k = 0$ , while  $n(\phi; 0) = k$  (στροφες γυρω απ το 0) vanishes iff  $k = 0$ . □

• *Case (2)* Suppose  $\phi$  is an (analytic) polynomial  $\phi = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j f_j$ :

Extending  $\phi$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , consider

$$q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j.$$

The polynomial  $q$  factors:

$$q(z) = cz^m \prod_{j=1}^k (z - z_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z - w_j) \quad 0 < |z_j| < 1 < |w_j|$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $m \geq 0$  is the multiplicity of the root of  $q$  at 0,  $\{z_j\}$  are the non-zero roots of  $q$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\{w_j\}$  are the roots of  $q$  outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  (observe that  $q$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{T}$  because  $\phi$  never vanishes on  $\mathbb{T}$ !).

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(e^{it}) &= q(e^{it}) = ce^{imt} \prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it} - z_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (e^{it} - w_j) \\ \text{so } \phi &= cf_{m+k} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - z_j \bar{f}_1) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_1 - w_j) \\ &= c\bar{u}vf_{m+k}\end{aligned}$$

where  $u := \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \bar{z}_j f_1)$  and  $v := \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_1 - w_j)$ .

Since the functions  $v$  and  $f_{m+k}$  are in  $\widetilde{H}^\infty$  we have

$$T_\phi = T_{c\bar{u}vf_{m+k}} = cT_{\bar{u}vf_{m+k}} = cT_{\bar{u}}T_v T_{f_{m+k}} = cT_{\bar{u}}T_v T_{f_{m+k}}.$$

But the function  $u$  (extended to  $\mathbb{C}$ ) vanishes nowhere in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  ( $u$  has roots  $1/\bar{z}_j$  which lie outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ). Hence the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\bar{u}} = T_u^*$  is invertible because  $T_u$  is invertible.<sup>1</sup> Also the function  $v$  vanishes nowhere in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and hence  $T_v$  is invertible.

Thus  $T_\phi$  is invertible iff  $T_{f_{m+k}} = T_1^{m+k}$  is invertible which happens iff  $m+k=0$ . ( $\Leftrightarrow q$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{D}$ .)

On the other hand, since the roots of  $\bar{u}$  and  $v$  lie outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , we have  $n(\bar{u}; 0) = 0$  and  $n(v; 0) = 0$ . By (Ind24)<sup>2</sup>

$$n(\phi; 0) = n(\bar{u}; 0) + n(v; 0) + n(f_{m+k}; 0) = m+k.$$

so  $n(\phi; 0) = 0$  iff  $m+k=0$  iff  $T_\phi$  is invertible.  $\square$

• *Case (3)* Suppose  $\phi$  is a trigonometric polynomial  $\phi = \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j f_j$ :

In this case we shift  $n$  places to the right to obtain an analytic polynomial: define

$$q(z) = \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j z^{n+j}.$$

Thus  $q(e^{it}) = \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{it(n+j)} = e^{int} \phi(e^{it})$  for  $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$ , i.e.  $\phi = \tilde{q}f_{-n}$  (note  $\tilde{q} = q|_{\mathbb{T}}$ ). Using the argument and notation of Case (2), we may write

$$\phi = c\bar{u}vf_{m+k-n}.$$

Suppose that  $m+k-n \geq 0$ . Then  $f_{m+k-n}$  is in  $\widetilde{H}^\infty$  and the same argument as in Case (2) yields that  $T_\phi$  is invertible iff  $m+k-n=0$  and that  $n(\phi; 0) = m+k-n$  which vanishes iff  $m+k-n=0$ , completing the proof.

Suppose that  $m+k-n \leq 0$ . Then  $\bar{f}_{m+k-n}$  is in  $\widetilde{H}^\infty$  and if we write  $\phi = cf_{m+k-n}\bar{u}v$  we see that the function  $\bar{f}_{m+k-n}u$  is in  $\widetilde{H}^\infty$  hence we have the factorization

$$T_\phi = cT_{\bar{f}_{m+k-n}\bar{u}v} = cT_{\bar{f}_{m+k-n}\bar{u}}T_v = cT_{\bar{f}_{m+k-n}}T_{\bar{u}}T_v.$$

Thus, as before we see that  $T_\phi$  is invertible iff  $T_{\bar{f}_{m+k-n}}$  is invertible which happens iff  $m+k-n=0$ , iff  $n(\phi; 0)$  vanishes.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup> $T_u T_{u^{-1}} = T_{uu^{-1}} = I = T_{u^{-1}} T_u$  since both  $u$  and  $u^{-1}$  are analytic.

<sup>2</sup>αποδειξη στο αρχείο (Ind24)

- *Case (4)* (general) Choose a trigonometric polynomial  $p \in C(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\|\phi - p\| < \frac{1}{3} \min |\phi|$$

and note that  $p$  never vanishes ( $|p| > \|\phi\| - \frac{1}{3} \min |\phi| \geq \frac{2}{3} \min |\phi|$ ) and

$$\psi := \frac{\phi - p}{p} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \|\psi\| < \frac{\frac{1}{3} \min |\phi|}{\frac{2}{3} \min |\phi|} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that  $u = \log(1 + \psi)$  is a well defined continuous function and

$$1 + \psi = e^u.$$

(indeed, the series  $\log(1 + \psi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (-\psi)^n$ , converges because  $\|1 - (1 + \psi)\| < 1$ .)<sup>3</sup>

Therefore (from (Ind))

$$n(1 + \psi; 0) = 0$$

and hence, since  $\phi = p(1 + \psi)$ ,

$$n(\phi; 0) = n(p; 0) + n(1 + \psi; 0) = n(p; 0).$$

Since  $1 + \psi$  is analytic and invertible the relation  $T_\phi = T_p T_{(1+\psi)}$  shows that  $T_\phi$  is invertible if and only if  $T_p$  is invertible. By Case (3), this happens iff  $n(p; 0) = 0$ , equivalently iff  $n(\phi; 0) = 0$  and we are done.  $\square$

---

<sup>3</sup>Λεπτομερείες στο αρχείο (Ind24)