PERIOPERATIVE TREATMENT IN RETROPERITONEAL SARCOMA

Stefania Kokkali

Medical Oncologist, Hippocratio General Hospital of Athens



OUTLINE

- Introduction: histology, patterns of recurrence
- Peri-operative chemo
- Peri-operative RT
- Pre-operative chemo-radiation
- Conclusions

Pathology of retroperitoneal sarcomas



Ma et al. JAMA Open 2020;3(11):e2025529



French retrospective study of 586 localized RPS



Nomogram for 7-year disease-free survival (DFS) in patients with retroperitoneal soft tissue sarcoma

	0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100	backward selection) for OS and DFS
Points		OS DFS
		Variable HR 95% CI <i>P</i> * HR 95% CI <i>P</i> *
Tumor size, cm	80 50	Patient's age, years .016 —† —† —† 67 v 48‡ 1.34 1.04 to 1.71
	0 2 4 0 0 10 12 14 10 10 30	Tumor size, cm < .001 .006 26 v 10‡ 2.30 1.58 to 3.36 1.64 1.21 to 2.23
FNCLCC grade	1 3	FNCLCC grade < .001 < .001 II v I 11.72 4.30 to 31.93 3.80 1.87 to 7.72 III v I 26.82 9.24 to 77.88 8.70 4.14 to 18.26
Histologic subtype	MPNST Other UPS SFT DD lipo LMS WD lipo	Histologic subtype LMS v DD lipo 1.49 0.92 to 2.40 1.31 0.88 to 1.95
Multifocality	Y N	DD lipo 3.05 1.26 to 7.40 1.61 0.78 to 3.32 MPNST v DD lipo 0.93 0.31 to 2.82 .052 0.55 0.20 to 1.54 .183 UPS v DD UPS v D UPS v D<
Total points		lipo 1.79 1.13 to 2.85 1.36 0.89 to 2.09 SFT v DD lipo 0.77 0.18 to 3.25 0.55 0.20 to 1.53 Other v DD lipo 1.56 0.96 to 2.95 1.11 0.61 to 2.02
	0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240	Inpo 1.56 0.86 to 2.85 1.11 0.61 to 2.02 Multifocality <.001
7-year DFS	0.95 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01	Extent of resection .030 —† —† —† Incomplete v complete 1.70 1.05 to 2.75

Fig 3. Nomogram for 7-year disease-free survival (DFS) in patients with retroperitoneal soft tissue sarcoma. Instructions: The nomogram allows the user to obtain the 7-year DFS probability corresponding to a patient's combination of covariates. For instance, locate the patient's tumor size and draw a line straight upward to the "Points" axis to determine the score associated with that size. Repeat the process for French National Federation of the Centers for the Fight Against Cancer (FNCLCC) grade, histologic subtype, and multifocality, sum the scores achieved for each covariate, and locate this sum on the "Total Points" axis. Draw a line straight down to the "7-year DFS" axis to find the predicted probability. DD lipo, dedifferentiated liposarcoma; LMS, leiomyosarcoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; SFT, solitary fibrous tumor; UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; WD lipo, well-differentiated liposarcoma.

Gronchi et al., JCO 2013

Why should I consider peri-operative therapy?

- Surgery (resection with negative margins) is the standard-of-care
- May not be possible in all anatomic sites, constrained based on surrounding normal structures and/or lack of resectable normal tissue
- Recurrence in $\leq 50\%$ of cases

Peri-operatice chemotherapy

Retropetinoneal sarcomas- ESMO Guidelines 2021

Neoadjuvant treatment, in the form of ChT, external beam RT (EBRT), regional hyperthermia or combinations, can be considered in the case of technically unresectable/ borderline resectable, i.e. RPS that could be surgically converted by downsizing, and in chemosensitive histologies such as synovial sarcoma.²⁶

The value of adjuvant/neoadjuvant ChT is not established, though the rarity of the subtypes of RPSs forces extrapolation of the data available in other settings.

Gronchi et al., Ann Oncol 2021

Defining the Role of Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy in High-Risk Retroperitoneal Sarcoma: A Multi-Institutional Study From the TARSWG

TABLE 3. RECIST 1.1 Tumor Responses by Histologic Subtype

	PR, No. (%)	SD, No. (%)	PD, No. (%)
DD	14 (19.7)	37 (52.1)	20 (28.2)
LMS	12 (24.0)	28 (56.0)	10 (20.0)
WD	3 (21.4)	11 (78.6)	0 (0.0)
UPS	5 (45.5)	5 (45.5)	1 (9.1)
MPNST	1 (16.7)	4 (66.7)	1 (16.7)
SFT	2 (33.3)	3 (50.0)	1 (16.7)

In total: PR 23%, SD 56%, PD 21%

Tseng et al., Cancer 2021



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival for patients with retroperitoneal sarcoma according to the radiologic tumor response to neoadjuvant systemic therapy (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1). PD indicates progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Local recurrence

G3 DD

LMS



Distant metastases





G3 DDLPS

LMS



et al. Radiat Oncol (2021) 16:196 ttps://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-021-01774-w				Radiation Ond	15 R(15 RCT 9281 adjuvant therapy and					
RESEARCH Open Acce							21,583 surgery alone case				
djuvant thera arcoma: a met Table 2 Summary of resu	py for a-ana	retrop lysis	eritone	eal	Check for updates						
Categories	Studies	Patients	Model	HR (95%CI)			Heterogeneity				
				value	z	P-value	X ²	l ²	P-value		
OS											
Adjuvant radiotherapy	14	20,564	Fixed	0.80 (0.76–0.84)	8.66	< 0.0001	14.86	13%	0.32		
Adjuvant chemotherapy	б	9342	Random	1.11 (0.95–1.29)	1.32	0.19	12.08	59%	0.03		
Sensitivity analysis of adju- vant chemotherapy	5	5450	Fixed	1.19 (1.08–1.30)	3.68	0.0002	1.35	0%	0.85		
RFS					-						
Adjuvant radiotherapy	4	1454	Fixed	0.61 (0.47–0.79)	3.78	0.0002	1.27	0%	0.74		
Adjuvant chemotherapy	2	1238	Fixed	1.30 (0.96–1.77)	1.68	0.09	0.04	0%	0.85		
LR					-						
Adjuvant radiotherapy MFS	2	215	Random	0.31 (0.13–0.71)	2.78	0.005	2.78	54%	0.14		
A discussed also an atla ara as a	2	1020	Fixed	0.60/0.45 1.06)	1.60	0.00	0.04	004	0.04		

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LR, local recurrence; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival

PMCID: PMC5885262 PMID: 29450452

Effect of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Plus Regional Hyperthermia on Long-term Outcomes Among Patients With Localized High-Risk Soft Tissue Sarcoma

The EORTC 62961-ESHO 95 Randomized Clinical Trial

Rolf D. Issels, MD, PhD,¹ Lars H. Lindner, MD,¹ Jaap Verweij, MD,² Rüdiger Wessalowski, MD,³ Peter Reichardt, MD,⁴ Peter Wust, MD,⁵ Pirus Ghadjar, MD,⁵ Peter Hohenberger, MD,⁶ Martin Angele, MD,⁷ Christoph Salat, MD,¹ Zeljko Vujaskovic, MD,⁸ Soeren Daugaard, MD,⁹ Olav Mella, MD,¹⁰ Ulrich Mansmann, MD,¹¹ Hans Roland Dürr, MD,¹² Thomas Knösel, MD,¹³ Sultan Abdel-Rahman, PhSc,¹ Michael Schmidt, MD,¹⁴ Wolfgang Hiddemann, MD,¹ Karl-Walter Jauch, MD,⁷ Claus Belka, MD,¹⁵ and Alessandro Gronchi, MD¹⁶, for the European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer-Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group and the European Society for Hyperthermic Oncology

45% RPS





Years

EORTC

Intergroup Study 1809-STBSG

A randomized phase III study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery versus surgery alone for patients with High Risk RetroPeritoneal Sarcoma (STRASS 2)



Bonvalot et al., Lancet Oncol 2020 ClinicalTrials.gov/NCT04031677

Phase II study of neo-adjuvant IO + RT

- Nivolumab (3 mg/kg) + Ipilimumab (1 mg/kg) $\acute{\eta}$ Nivolumab q14d + RT
- 14 UPS extremities/truncal, 9 RP DDLPS
- 4 independent non-comparative studies (MD Anderson)





- ➤ viable tumor: 5% in UPS and 77.5% in DDLPS
- > no correlation between pathologic and imaging response (RECIST)
- ➤Feasible therapy

Roland et al., ASCO 2020 (#11505)

Pathological response and DFS

- 55pts (1987-2007) with G3 RPS, UCLA
- \geq 95% pathologic necrosis=responders
- Different chemo regimens
- 25% of responders
- No effect of chemo on DSS
- 5-year DFS in responders 83%
 v. 34% in non-responders
- But 31 pts received also preoperative RT



Donahue et al. Cancer 2010;15:3883-91

Peri-operative Radiation therapy



Research Letter | Oncology

Evaluation of Preoperative Chemotherapy or Radiation and Overall Survival in Patients With Nonmetastatic, Resectable Retroperitoneal Sarcoma

Sung Jun Ma, MD; Oluwadamilola T. Oladeru, MD, MA; Mark K. Farrugia, MD, PhD; Rohil Shekher, MD; Austin J. Iovoli, MD; Anurag K. Singh, MD

- Retrospective
- USA national registry database
- N=7857
- 86.7% surgery alone, 10.8% preoperative RT, 2.5% preoperative chemo
- Preop RT → improved OS (HR, 0.88; p = 0.03)







locoregional relapse-free survival

Multivariate analysis of factors significantly associated with risk of LR relapse, abdominal sarcomatosis (at 3 years), distant metastasis and overall survival in patients with initial complete resection of primary localized RPS (n = 389)



LR relapse			Abdominal sarcomatosis			Distant metastasis					
	HR	[95% CI]	Р		HR ^a	[95% CI]	Р		HR	[95% CI]	Р
Male gender	1.5	[1.1-2.0]	0.006	Grade	not	Retained	0.13	Histology (WDLPS)			
								DDLPS	2.6	[1.1-6.2]	< 0.001
								LMS	11.9	[5.3-27]	
AO involvement	1.6	[1.2-2.1]	0.004	AO involvement	2	[1.2-3.5]	0.009	US	3.1	[0.8-12]	
Specialized surgeon	0.5	[0.4-0.7]	< 0.001	Specialized surgeon	0.5	[0.3-0.9]	0.02	Other	9.6	[3.8-24]	
Piecemeal resection Perioperative RT	2.9 0.5	[1.9–4.5] [0.4–0.7]	<0.001 <0.001	Piecemeal resection	4.4	[2.4-8.1]	< 0.001	AO involvement	1.6	[1-2.5]	0.03

Toulmonde 2014

Preoperative radiotherapy plus surgery versus surgery alone for patients with primary retroperitoneal sarcoma (EORTC-62092: STRASS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial

Sylvie Bonvalot, Alessandro Gronchi, Cécile Le Péchoux, Carol J Swallow, Dirk Strauss, Pierre Meeus, Frits van Coevorden, Stephan Stoldt, Eberhard Stoeckle, Piotr Rutkowski, Marco Rastrelli, Chandrajit P Raut, Daphne Hompes, Antonino De Paoli, Claudia Sangalli, Charles Honoré, Peter Chung, Aisha Miah, Jean Yves Blay, Marco Fiore, Jean-Jacques Stelmes, Angelo P Dei Tos, Elizabeth H Baldini, Saskia Litière, Sandrine Marreaud, Hans Gelderblom, Rick L Haas

EORTC-62092, N=266: surgery +/- neoadjuvant RT (50,4 Gy)

PE: Abdominal recurrence-free interval

```
RECIST 1.1: 3% PR, 82% SD, 16% PD, 9% NE
```

 1 death in the RT+surgery arm (TRSAE: gastropleural fistula) v. 0 in the surgery arm

	Surgery alone group (n=133)	Preoperative radiotherapy plus surgery group (n=133)
Age (years)	61 (53-67)	61 (52-68)
Sex		
Female	66 (50%)	62 (47%)
Male	67 (50%)	71 (53%)
WHO performance status		
0	100 (75%)	110 (83%)
1	33 (25%)	22 (17%)
2	0	1 (<1%)
Pre-operation biopsy		
Imaging-guided	123 (92%)	119 (89%)
Surgical	10 (8%)	12 (9%)
Missing	0	2 (2%)
Tumour size (mm)	167 (124-210)	160 (111-210)
Histological subtype		
All liposarcoma subtypes	100 (75%)	98 (74%)
Well-differentiated liposarcoma	42 (32%)	46 (35%)
De-differentiated liposarcoma	54 (41%)	51 (38%)
Other liposarcoma	4 (3%)	1 (<1%)
Leiomyosarcoma	22 (17%)	16 (12%)
Other	11 (8%)	18 (14%)
Data missing	0	1 (<1%)
Tumour grade at biopsy		
Low	43 (32%)	44 (33%)
Intermediate	38 (29%)	47 (35%)
High	19 (14%)	12 (9%)
Not evaluable	21 (16%)	17 (13%)
Data missing	12 (9%)	13 (10%)



Figure 2: Abdominal recurrence-free survival in all patients Shaded areas around the lines represent the 95% CI. HR=hazard ratio. Post-hoc exploratory analysis in LPS



Figure 3: Second sensitivity analysis of abdominal recurrence-free survival in the liposarcoma subgroup Shaded areas around the lines represent the 95% CI.

- 3y-ARFI 32% in the surgery group and 34.3% in the RT plus surgery group (HR 1.09, p=0.66).
- LPS subgrou: **3y-ARFI** 33·4% in the surgery group and 31·1% in the RT plus surgery group (HR 0·91, 95% CI 0·58–1·42), median **OS** not reached in either group

Pooled analysis of STRASS and STREXIT

- STRASS: n=266
- STREXIT: n=727, 202 after 1:1 propensity score-matching
- No association between RT and DMFS and OS



FIGURE 1. Abdominal recurrence-free survival curves according to treatment (blue: preoperative radiotherapy + surgery; red: surgery alone) in STRASS (A), STREXIT after 1:1 propensity score-matching (B), and pooled cohort (C).



FIGURE 2. Abdominal recurrence-free survival curves in the pooled cohort subgroup analyses according to treatment (blue: preoperative radiotherapy + surgery; red: surgery alone). A, Patients with liposarcoma. B, Patients with G1-2 dedifferentiated liposarcoma and well-differentiated liposarcoma. C, Patients with G3 dedifferentiated liposarcoma. D, Patients with leiomyosarcoma.

Neoadjuvant concurrent chemo/RT

Preoperative chemo-radiation therapy for localised retroperitoneal sarcoma: A phase I–II study from the ISG



Histological subtype		
Well-differentiated liposarcomas	19	(22.9)
Dedifferentiated liposarcomas	26	(31.3)
Leiomyosarcoma	14	(16.9)
Undifferentiated sarcoma NOS	8	(9.6)
Other	16	(19.3)

• 2003-2010

- 63 primary RPS, 20 recurrent
- x3 high-dose Ifo (14 g/m^2) + RT from C2
- 3y-RFS=0.56, 5y-RFS=0.44

• 5y-OS=0.59

Gronchi et al., 2014

Strahlenther Onkol (2021) 197:1063–1071 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00066-021-01830-0

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Neoadjuvant concurrent chemoradiotherapy with and without hyperthermia in retroperitoneal sarcomas: feasibility, efficacy, toxicity, and long-term outcome

A. Willner¹ · K. Fechner² · A. Agaimy³ · F. Haller³ · M. Eckstein³ · O. J. Ott¹ · F. Putz¹ · U. S. Gaipl¹ · S. Kersting² · N. Meidenbauer⁴ · R. Grützmann² · R. Fietkau¹ · S. Semrau¹

Received: 12 May 2021 / Accepted: 16 July 2021 / Published online: 4 November 2021



- Retrospective, German singleinstitution
- N=27 G2-G3 RPS (12 DDLPS, 10 LMS, 5 other)
- 92% completed treatment and underwent surgery
- N=15 +hyperthermia
- 5y-ARFS 74.6%, 10y-ARFS 66.3%
- 5y-DMFS 67.2%, 10y-DMFS
 59.7%
- 5y-OS 60.3%, 10y-OS 60.3%
- signal that combined chemoradiation might be superior to RT alone?

Conclusions

- Complete surgical resection remains the cornerstone of therapy for RPS
- Neoadjuvant chemo could be used in case of borderline resectable RPS.
- STRASS-2 trial will answer the question of neoadjuvant chemo in resectable high-risk RPS
- Neoadjuvant RT can be discussed in case of G1-G2 LPS

Thank you very much for your attention

