

This article was downloaded by: [5.54.86.217]

On: 02 May 2014, At: 06:27

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journalism Practice

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rjop20>

### TWITTERING THE NEWS

Alfred Hermida

Published online: 08 Jul 2010.

To cite this article: Alfred Hermida (2010) TWITTERING THE NEWS, Journalism Practice, 4:3, 297-308, DOI: [10.1080/17512781003640703](https://doi.org/10.1080/17512781003640703)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17512781003640703>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

# TWITTERING THE NEWS

## The emergence of ambient journalism

Alfred Hermida

*This paper examines new para-journalism forms such as micro-blogging as “awareness systems” that provide journalists with more complex ways of understanding and reporting on the subtleties of public communication. Traditional journalism defines fact as information and quotes from official sources, which have been identified as forming the vast majority of news and information content. This model of news is in flux, however, as new social media technologies such as Twitter facilitate the instant, online dissemination of short fragments of information from a variety of official and unofficial sources. This paper draws from computer science literature to suggest that these broad, asynchronous, lightweight and always-on systems are enabling citizens to maintain a mental model of news and events around them, giving rise to awareness systems that the paper describes as ambient journalism. The emergence of ambient journalism brought about by the use of these new digital delivery systems and evolving communications protocols raises significant research questions for journalism scholars and professionals. This research offers an initial exploration of the impact of awareness systems on journalism norms and practices. It suggests that one of the future directions for journalism may be to develop approaches and systems that help the public negotiate and regulate the flow of awareness information, facilitating the collection and transmission of news.*

KEYWORDS awareness systems; Internet; journalism; micro-blogging; social media; Twitter

### Introduction

Twitter is one of a range of new social media technologies that allow for the online and instant dissemination of short fragments of data from a variety of official and unofficial sources. The micro-blogging service emerged as a platform to help organize and disseminate information during major events like the 2008 California wildfires, the 2008 US presidential elections, the Mumbai attacks and the Iranian election protests of 2009 (Lenhard and Fox, 2009). Twitter’s emergence as a significant form of communication was reflected in the request by the US State Department asking Twitter to delay routine maintenance during the Iranian poll as the service was an important tool used by Iranians to coordinate protests (Shiels, 2009). Media restrictions led websites of *The New York Times*, the *Guardian* and others to publish a mix of unverified accounts from social media as “amateur videos and eyewitness accounts became the de facto source for information” (Stelter, 2009).

The micro-blogging service illustrates what Hayek described years before the invention of the Internet as “the knowledge of particular circumstances of time and place” (1945, p. 519). He proposed that ignorance could be conquered, “not by the acquisition of more knowledge, but by the utilisation of knowledge which is and remains widely dispersed among individuals” (Hayek, 1979, p. 15). At that time, he could not have predicted the development of a system that has created new modes of organising

*Journalism Practice*, Vol. 4, No 3, 2010, 297–308

ISSN 1751-2786 print/1751-2794 online

© 2010 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/17512781003640703

knowledge that rely on large, loosely organized groups of people working together electronically. A variety of terms have been used to describe this: crowd-sourcing, wisdom of crowds, peer production, wikinomics (Benkler, 2006; Howe, 2008; Surowiecki, 2004; Tapscott and Williams, 2006). Malone et al. (2009) suggest that the phrase "collective intelligence" is the most useful to describe this phenomenon, which they broadly define as groups of individuals doing things collectively that seem intelligent. I suggest that micro-blogging systems that enable millions of people to communicate instantly, share and discuss events are an expression of collective intelligence.

This paper examines micro-blogging as a new media technology that enables citizens to "obtain immediate access to information held by all or at least most, and in which each person can instantly add to that knowledge" (Sunstein, 2006, p. 219). It argues that new para-journalism forms such as micro-blogging are "awareness systems", providing journalists with more complex ways of understanding and reporting on the subtleties of public communication. Traditional journalism defines fact as information and quotes from official sources, which in turn has been identified as forming the vast majority of news and information content. This news model is in a period of transition, however, as social media technologies like Twitter facilitate the immediate dissemination of digital fragments of news and information from official and unofficial sources over a variety of systems and devices. This paper draws from literature on new communications technologies in computer science to suggest that these broad, asynchronous, lightweight and always-on communication systems are creating new kinds of interactions around the news, and are enabling citizens to maintain a mental model of news and events around them, giving rise to what this paper describes as ambient journalism.<sup>1</sup>

### Definition of Micro-blogging

Micro-blogging has been defined as a new media technology that enables and extends our ability to communicate, sharing some similarities with broadcast. It allows "users to share brief blasts of information (usually in less than 200 characters) to friends and followers from multiple sources including websites, third-party applications, or mobile devices" (DeVoe, 2009). Several services including Twitter, Jaiku and Tumblr provide tools that enable this form of communication, although status updates embedded within websites such as Facebook, MySpace, and LinkedIn offer similar functionality.

One of the most popular micro-blogging platforms is Twitter. Between April 2008 and April 2009, the number of Twitter accounts rose from 1.6 million to 32.1 million (Vascellaro, 2009). This growth was partially fuelled by increased media attention to Twitter as celebrities such as Oprah Winfrey adopted the service (Cheng et al., 2009). Despite the rapid uptake, Twitter is still only used by a select number of people. In the United States, 11 percent of American adults use Twitter or similar tools (Lenhard and Fox, 2009) and research suggests that 10 percent of prolific Twitter users account for more than 90 percent of messages (Heil and Piskorski, 2009). However, Twitter users tend to be the people who are interested in and engaged with the news. Studies show that the largest single group of tweeters, making up 42 percent, are between the ages of 35 and 49, and that the average Twitter user is two to three times more likely to visit a news website than the average person (Farhi, 2009).

Twitter is a flexible system that routes messages sent from a variety of devices to people who have chosen to receive them in the medium they prefer. It asks users the

question: “What are you doing?” Messages are limited to 140 characters as the system was designed for SMS messages, but there are no limits on user updates. The “tweets” can be shared publicly or within a social network of followers. Users have extended their use of Twitter to more than just answering the initial question. The service has been described as an example of end-user innovation (Johnson, 2009) as users have embraced the technology and its affordances to develop conventions such as the use of hashtags and the @ reply.

### Twitter and Journalism

Twitter has been rapidly adopted in newsrooms as an essential mechanism to distribute breaking news quickly and concisely, or as a tool to solicit story ideas, sources and facts (Farhi, 2009; Posetti, 2009). UK national newspapers had 121 official Twitter accounts by July 2009, with more than one million followers (Coles, 2009). In a sign of how far Twitter has come, the UK-based Sky News appointed a Twitter correspondent in March 2009 who would be “scouring Twitter for stories and feeding back, giving Sky News a presence in the Twittersphere” (Butcher, 2009).

The relative newness of micro-blogging means there is limited academic literature on the impact on journalism. Studies such as the one by Java et al. (2007) have looked at the motivation of users, concluding that micro-blogging fulfils a need for a fast mode of communication that “lowers users’ requirement of time and thought investment for content generation” (Java et al., 2007, p. 2). In their analysis of user intentions, they found that people use Twitter for four reasons: daily chatter, conversation, sharing information and reporting news. At least two of these—sharing information and reporting news—can be considered as relevant to journalism, though arguably so could daily chatter and conversation around current events. Two of the three main categories of users on Twitter defined by Java et al.—information source and information seeker—are also directly relevant to journalism.

When Twitter is discussed in the mainstream media, it is framed within the context of established journalism norms and values. There has been a degree of bewilderment, scepticism and even derision from seasoned journalists. *New York Times* columnist Maureen Dowd (2009) described it as “a toy for bored celebrities and high-school girls”. There has also been discussion on whether the breadth and depth of news reporting would suffer as more reporters sign up to Twitter (Wasserman, 2009). Of particular concern has been how journalists should adopt social media within existing ethical norms and values (Posetti, 2009), leading news organisations such as the *New York Times* (Koblin, 2009), *Wall Street Journal* (Strupp, 2009), and Bloomberg (Carlson, 2009) to institute Twitter policies to bring its use in line with established practices.

Micro-blogging has been considered in the context of citizen journalism, where individuals perform some of the institutionalized communication functions of the professional journalist, often providing the first accounts, images or video of a news event (Ingram, 2008). The value of user-generated content is assessed by professional norms and values that are presumed to guarantee the quality of the information (Hermida and Thurman, 2009). The issue commonly discussed in media commentaries on Twitter and journalism is the veracity and validity of messages. Concerns by journalists that many of the messages on Twitter amount to unsubstantiated rumours and wild inaccuracies are raised when there is a major breaking news event, from the Mumbai bombings to the

Iranian protests to Michael Jackson's death (Arrington, 2008; Sutter, 2009). The unverified nature of the information on Twitter has led journalists to comment that "it's like searching for medical advice in an online world of quacks and cures" (Goodman, 2009) and "Twitter? I won't touch it. It's all garbage" (Stelter, 2009).

The professional and cultural attitudes surrounding Twitter have their roots in the working routines and entrenched traditional values of a journalistic culture which defines the role of the journalist as providing a critical account of daily events, gathered, selected, edited and disseminated by a professional organization (Schudson, 2003; Tuchman, 2002). It reflects the unease in adopting a platform which appears to be at odds with journalism as a "professional discipline for verifying information" (Project for Excellence in Journalism, nd).

However, there are indications that journalism norms are bending as professional practices adapt to social media tools such as micro-blogging. During the Iranian election protests of June 2009, news organisations published "minute-by-minute blogs with a mix of unverified videos, anonymous Twitter messages and traditional accounts from Tehran" (Stelter, 2009). Six months earlier, the BBC included unverified tweets filtered by journalists alongside material from correspondents in its breaking news coverage of the Mumbai bombings (BBC, 2008). The BBC justified its decision on the grounds that there was a case "for simply monitoring, selecting and passing on the information we are getting as quickly as we can, on the basis that many people will want to know what we know and what we are still finding out" (Herrmann, 2009). This approach means journalists adopt an interpretive standpoint concerning the utility of a tweet around a news event or topic, making a choice as to what to exclude or include. By filtering and selecting what tweets to publish, the gatekeeper role is maintained and enforced. Journalists apply normative news values to determine if a specific tweet is newsworthy, dismissing content that might be considered as "snark and trivia" (Farhi, 2009).

Social media technologies like Twitter are part of a range of Internet technologies enabling the disintermediation of news and undermining the gatekeeping function of journalists. Micro-blogging can be seen as a form of participatory or citizen journalism, where citizens report without recourse to institutional journalism. It forms part of a trend in journalism that Deuze has described as a shift from "individualistic, 'top-down' mono-media journalism to team-based, 'participatory' multimedia journalism" (Deuze, 2005). However, while micro-blogging services such as Twitter can be situated within the trend in citizen journalism, it should also be considered a system of communication with its own media logic, shapes and structures. While Twitter can be used to crowdsource the news, where a large group of users come together to report on a news event (Niles, 2007), this paper argues that the institutionally structured features of micro-blogging are creating new forms of journalism, representing one of the ways in which the Internet is influencing journalism practices and, furthermore, changing how journalism itself is defined.

Micro-blogging presents a multi-faceted and fragmented news experience, marking a shift away from the classical paradigm of journalism as a framework to provide reports and analyses of events through narratives, producing an accurate and objective rendering of reality (Dahlgren, 1996). Services like Twitter are a challenge to a news culture based on individual expert systems and group think over team work and knowledge-sharing (Singer, 2004). As Malone et al. (2009, p. 2) suggest, "to unlock the potential of collective intelligence, managers instead need a deeper understanding of how these systems work". This paper seeks to contribute an understanding of Twitter by introducing the concept of ambient journalism. I see new media forms of micro-blogging as "awareness systems",

providing journalists with more complex ways of understanding and reporting on the subtleties of public communication. Established journalism is based on a content-oriented communication, whereas Twitter adds an additional layer that can be considered as what has been referred to as connectedness-oriented communication (Kuwabara et al., 2002). In an awareness system, value is defined less by each individual fragment of information that may be insignificant on its own or of limited validity, but rather by the combined effect of the communication.

### Micro-blogging as Ambient Journalism

Drawing on the literature in the field of human–computer interaction, this paper suggests that broad, asynchronous, lightweight and always-on communication systems such as Twitter are enabling citizens to maintain a mental model of news and events around them. In this context, Twitter can be considered as an awareness system. Awareness systems are computer-mediated communication systems “intended to help people construct and maintain awareness of each others’ activities, context or status, even when the participants are not co-located” (Markopoulos et al., 2009).

Awareness systems have largely been discussed in the context of Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, with a focus on the notion of connecting remote co-workers by audio/video links (Bly et al., 1993). But there have also been critiques of the benefits of awareness (Gross et al., 2005) and even criticism of the term awareness as vague and problematic, often used in contradictory ways in the literature (Schmidt, 2002). The emergence of the Web, coupled with increasingly affordable and ubiquitous information communication technologies, have helped foster a renewed research interest in awareness systems. One focus of research is awareness systems for use in personal settings, where lightweight, informal communication systems help people maintain awareness of each other (Hindus et al., 2001; Markopoulos et al., 2003). These systems are always-on and move from the background to the foreground as and when a user feels the need to communicate. Scholars suggest that awareness systems represent the next step in the evolution of communication technologies that have increased the frequency and amount of information transfer, offering “tremendous potential for innovation, with a wide range of forms and contexts for transforming the space around us” (Markopoulos et al., 2009, p. vii).

This paper adopts the definition of awareness proposed by Chalmers as “the ongoing interpretation of representations i.e. of human activity and of artefacts” (2002, p. 389). I suggest that this definition can be applied to social media networks such as Twitter, with messages considered as both the representations of human activity and as artefacts. Twitter becomes a system where news is reported, disseminated and shared online in short, fast and frequent messages. It creates an ambient media system that displays abstracted information in a space occupied by the user. In this system, a user receives information in the periphery of their awareness. An individual tweet does not require the cognitive attention of, for example, an e-mail. The value does not lie in each individual fragment of news and information, but rather in the mental portrait created by a number of messages over a period of time. I describe this as ambient journalism—an awareness system that offers diverse means to collect, communicate, share and display news and information, serving diverse purposes. The system is always-on but also works on different levels of engagement, creating an ecosystem where “a single user may have

multiple intentions or may even serve different roles in different communities" (Java et al., 2007, p. 8). The question for journalism professionals and researchers is how individuals assign meaning to information from others, how they selectively attend to this information and how intentions are assigned to the information (Markopoulos et al., 2009).

In the literature on ambient media, scholars talk about improving people's quality of life by creating the desired atmosphere and functionality through intelligent, personalized, interconnected digital systems and services, with intelligent devices embedded in everyday objects (Aarts, 2005; Ducatel et al., 2001). In his discussion of ambient media, Lugmayr (2006) argues that today's technology is too complex, dominated by an individual's struggle to command the technology to do what they want. Instead, he suggests, we should aim to create media systems that can know what an individual desires and act autonomously on their behalf. If we consider Twitter as a form of ambient journalism, then the issue becomes the development of systems that can identify, contextualize and communicate news and information from a continuous stream of 140-character messages to meet the needs of an individual. In their concept of calm technology, Weiser and Brown (1996) talk about the need for systems that allow for information to attract attention at different levels of awareness, be it at the centre or periphery of our attention. With Twitter, such an approach would enable users to be aware of the ambient information in the periphery, but would also bring from the periphery of our attention into the centre of our attention as required.

### **Suggested Approaches in Ambient Journalism**

As an initial exploration into the impact of awareness systems on journalism norms and practices, this section examines the implications of Twitter as ambient journalism. This paper has considered how the first reports of a news event are now coming from people at the scene in the form of a 140-character message. But as an awareness system, Twitter goes beyond being just a network for the rapid dissemination of breaking news from individuals. Rather, it can be seen as a system that alerts journalists to trends or issues hovering under the news radar. As Gillmor (quoted in Farhi, 2009) argues, journalists should view Twitter as a collective intelligence system that provides early warnings about trends, people and news. The immediacy and velocity of these micro-bursts of data, as well as potentially the high signal to noise ratio, presents challenges for the established practice of relying on the journalist as the filter for this information. During the Iranian election protests, the volume of tweets mentioning Iran peaked at 221,774 in one hour, from a flow of between 10,000 and 50,000 an hour (Parr, 2009). The need to reduce, select and filter increases as the volume of information grows, suggesting a need for information systems to aid in the representation, selection and interpretation of shared information.

The growing volume of content on micro-blogging networks suggests that one of the future directions for journalism may be to develop approaches and systems that help the public negotiate and regulate this flow of awareness information, facilitating the collection and transmission of news. The purpose of these systems would be to identify the collective sum of knowledge contained in the micro-fragments and bring meaning to the data. Bradshaw (2008) discusses some of the systems used to aggregate tweets at the time of the Chinese earthquake in 2008, with the development of Web applications that aim to detect and highlight news trends in real-time. These applications rely on a journalistic interpretative standpoint as to the utility or interest in a topic, based on

choices on what to include and exclude, suggesting there is a filtering mechanism at work, albeit on a systems design level.

Considering Twitter as an awareness system also represents a shift in the consumption of news and information. In such systems, completeness of awareness is not the goal, as it would be if an individual were actively pursuing an interest in a specific news event in print, broadcast or online. Instead of overwhelming an individual with an endless stream of tweets, Twitter as an always-on, asynchronous awareness system informs but does not overburden. This notion draws on ideas advanced by Weiser and Brown (Weiser, 1991; Weiser and Brown, 1996) in which technology advances to the stage where it becomes embedded and invisible in people's lives. The extent to which such systems of ambient journalism allow citizens to maintain an awareness of the news events would be a fertile area for future study.

The trend to share links on Twitter provides a mechanism for what Johnson (2009) describes as a customized newspaper, "compiled from all the articles being read that morning by your social network". In this context, tweets provide a diverse and eclectic mix of news and information, as well as an awareness of what others in a user's network are reading and consider important. The information transmitted is content-oriented but also provides a context for the news-seeking activities of others on the network, which may make "visible the structure of implied communities" (Sarno, 2009). There are concerns that this may lead to a "private echo chamber" (Johnson, 2009) but, as Sunstein (2006) argues, such a position may be too simplistic. This is an area that merits further exploration as part of the discussion about whether Internet technologies are creating a "Daily Me" or a "Daily Us". Basing further research on an approach to networks such as Twitter as awareness systems, can, I suggest, help to contextualize the processes of the production, content, reception and circulation of news.

The link-based nature of many tweets, and the trend to re-send the links as a "retweet", can be analysed as both a form of data sharing and as a system for creating a shared conversation. This conversation can be considered as a form of ambient journalism. Since the retweets are not restricted by physical space, time or a delineated group, this creates what Boyd et al. (2010) argue is a distributed conversation that allows others to be aware of the content, without being actively part of it. They suggest that Twitter messages allow individuals to be peripherally aware of discussions without being contributors. This is significant in the context of engaging with audiences through the notion of journalism as a conversation (Gillmor, 2004). Awareness systems can be conceived as networks that engender information interactions and the development of a shared culture, which is particularly important for groups distributed across geography (Dourish and Bly, 1992; Kraut et al., 1990). Research is needed to determine how far Twitter, as an awareness system for news, is contributing to the creation or strengthening of social bonds. For example, the mass outpouring of tweets following the death of Michael Jackson in July 2009 has been described as an immediate and public "collective expression of loss" (Cashmore, 2009).

## Conclusion

As with most media technologies, there is a degree of hyperbole about the potential of Twitter, with proclamations that "every major channel of information will be Twitterfied" (Johnson, 2009). Furthermore, social media services are vulnerable to shifting



and ever-changing social and cultural habits of audiences. While this paper has discussed micro-blogging in the context of Twitter, it is possible that a new service may replace it in the future. However, it is important to explore in greater depth the qualities of micro-blogging—real-time, immediate communication, searching, link-sharing and the follower structure—and their impact on the way news and information is communicated.

The emergence of ambient journalism through new digital delivery systems and evolving communications protocols, in this case Twitter, raises significant research questions for journalism scholars and professionals. This paper offers an initial exploration of the relationship between awareness systems and shifting journalism norms and practices. Twitter is, due to the speed and volume of tweets, a “noisy” environment, where messages arrive in the order received by the system. A future direction for journalism may be to develop approaches and systems that help the public negotiate and regulate the flow of awareness information, providing tools that take account of this new mode for the circulation of news. Journalists would be seen as sense-makers, rather than just reporting the news. This broadens the journalist’s role as proposed by Bardoel and Deuze of a professional “who serves as a node in a complex environment between technology and society, between news and analysis, between annotation and selection, between orientation and investigation” (2001, p. 101). In the case of ambient journalism, the role may be designing the tools that can analyse, interpret and contextualise a system of collection intelligence, rather than in the established practice of selection and editing of content.

Micro-blogging, and Twitter specifically, are in the early stages of development. The significance of Twitter as a news and information platform will be largely influenced by its adoption, both in journalism and other spheres. As Harrison and Dourish (1996) suggest, the richness and utility of a place increases as people build up a past that involves it and a record of experiences. The challenge for researchers is to understand how this place becomes, in the words of Harrison and Dourish, “the understood reality” through a conversational and collaborative user experience. Examining Twitter as an awareness system, creating ambient journalism, provides a framework to analyse the emergent patterns of human behaviour and data interaction that offer an understanding of this place. It shifts the journalistic discourse on micro-blogging away from a debate about raw data to a discussion of contextualized, significant information based on the networked nature of asynchronous, lightweight and always-on communication systems.

## NOTE

1. Ian Hargreaves used the term “ambient news” to describe a media environment that is saturated with news in *Journalism: truth or dare?* (2003), referring to the ubiquitous presence of news in contemporary society.

## REFERENCES

- AARTS, EMILE (2005) “Ambient Intelligence: a multimedia perspective”, *Multimedia, IEEE* 11, pp. 12–19.
- ARRINGTON, MICHAEL (2008) “I Can’t Believe Some People Are Still Saying Twitter Isn’t a News Source”, TechCrunch, <http://www.techcrunch.com/2008/11/27/i-cant-believe-some-people-are-still-saying-twitter-isnt-a-news-source/>, accessed 24 June 2009.

- BARDOEL, JO and DEUZE, MARK (2001) "Network Journalism: converging competences of media professionals and professionalism", *Australian Journalism Review* 23, pp. 91–103.
- BBC (2008) "As It Happened: Mumbai attacks 27 Nov", [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/south\\_asia/7752003.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/south_asia/7752003.stm), accessed 27 November 2009.
- BENKLER, YOCHAI (2006) *The Wealth of Networks: how social production transforms markets and freedom*, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- BLY, SARA, HARRISON, STEVE R. and IRWIN, SUSAN (1993) "Media Spaces: bringing people together in a video, audio, and computing environment", *Communications of the ACM* 36, pp. 28–47.
- BOYD, DANA, GOLDER, SCOTT and LOTAN, GILAD (2010) "Tweet, Tweet, Retweet: conversational aspects of retweeting on Twitter", in: *Proceedings of HICSS-43, January 6*, Kauai, HI: IEEE Computer Society.
- BRADSHAW, PAUL (2008) "The Chinese Earthquake and Twitter—Crowdsourcing Without Managers", Online Journalism Blog, <http://onlinejournalismblog.com/2008/05/12/twitter-and-the-chinese-earthquake/>, accessed 3 June 2009.
- BUTCHER, MIKE (2009) "Sky News Realises News Breaks First on Twitter, Not TV—creates a Twitter correspondent", TechCrunch, <http://uk.techcrunch.com/2009/03/05/sky-news-realises-news-breaks-first-on-twitter-not-tv-creates-a-twitter-correspondent/>, accessed 1 July 2009.
- CARLSON, NICHOLAS (2009) "Bloomberg's Insane Twitter Rules for Employees", Bloomberg, <http://www.businessinsider.com/bloomberg-lps-insane-twitter-rules-for-employees-2009-5>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- CASHMORE, PETE (2009) "Michael Jackson Dies: Twitter tributes now 30% of tweets", Mashable, <http://mashable.com/2009/06/25/michael-jackson-twitter/>, accessed 25 June 2009.
- CHALMERS, MATTHEW (2002) "Awareness, Representation and Interpretation", *Computer Supported Cooperative Work* 11, pp. 389–409.
- CHENG, ALEX, EVANS, MARK and SINGH, HARSHDEEP (2009) *Inside Twitter: an in-depth look inside the Twitter world*. Sysomos, <http://www.sysomos.com/insidetwitter>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- COLES, MALCOLM (2009) "Newspapers on Twitter: how the Guardian, FT and Times are winning", <http://www.malcolmcoles.co.uk/blog/newspapers-on-twitter/>, accessed 6 July 2009.
- DAHLGREN, PETER (1996) "Media Logic in Cyberspace: repositioning journalism and its publics", *Javnost/The Public* 3, pp. 59–72.
- DEUZE, MARK (2005) "What Is Journalism? Professional identity and ideology of journalists reconsidered", *Journalism* 6, pp. 442–64.
- DEVOE, KRISTINA M. (2009) "Burst of Information: microblogging", *The Reference Librarian* 50, pp. 212–4.
- DOURISH, PAUL and BLY, SARA (1992) "Portholes: supporting awareness in a distributed work group", in: Penny Bauersfeld, John Bennett and Gene Lynch (Eds), *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing system (CHI '92)*, New York: ACM Press, pp. 541–47.
- DOWD, MAUREEN (2009) "To Tweet or Not to Tweet", *New York Times*, [http://www.nytimes.com/2009/04/22/opinion/22dowd.html?\\_r=3&ref=opinion](http://www.nytimes.com/2009/04/22/opinion/22dowd.html?_r=3&ref=opinion), accessed 3 June 2009.
- DUCATEL, K., BOGDANOWICZ, M., SCAPOLO, F., LEIJTEN, J. and BURGELMAN, J.-C. (2001) "Scenarios for Ambient Intelligence in 2010", Technical report, IST Advisory Group, <ftp://ftp.cordis.europa.eu/pub/ist/docs/istagscenarios2010.pdf>, accessed 18 June 2009.
- FARHI, PAUL (2009) "The Twitter Explosion", *American Journalism Review*, <http://www.ajr.org/Article.asp?id=4756>, accessed 24 June 2009.
- GILLMOR, DAN (2004) *We the Media*, Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly.

- GOODMAN, ELLEN (2009) "Journalism Needed in Twitter Era", *Columbia Daily Tribune*, <http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/2009/jul/05/journalism-needed-in-twitter-era/>, accessed 5 July 2009.
- GROSS, TOM, STARY, CHRIS and TOTTER, ALEX (2005) "User-centered Awareness in Computer-supported Cooperative Work Systems: structured embedding of findings from social sciences", *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* 18, pp. 323–60.
- HARGREAVES, IAN (2003) *Journalism: truth or dare?*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- HARRISON, STEVE and DOURISH, PAUL (1996) "Re-Place-ing Space: the roles of place and space in collaborative systems", in: Mary S. Ackerman (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 1996 ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work (CSCW '96)*, New York: ACM Press, pp. 67–76.
- HAYEK, FRIEDRICH A. VON (1945) "The Use of Knowledge in Society", *American Economic Review* XXXV, pp. 519–30.
- HAYEK, FRIEDRICH A. VON (1979) *Law, Legislation and Liberty: a new statement of the liberal principles of justice and political economy, Vol. 3. The political order of a free people*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- HEIL, BILL and PISKORSKI, MIKOLJAI (2009) "New Twitter Research: men follow men and nobody tweets", Harvard Business Publishing, [http://blogs.harvardbusiness.org/cs/2009/06/new\\_twitter\\_research\\_men\\_follo.html](http://blogs.harvardbusiness.org/cs/2009/06/new_twitter_research_men_follo.html), accessed 2 June 2009.
- HERMIDA, ALFRED and THURMAN, NEIL (2009) "A Clash of Cultures: the integration of user-generated content within professional journalistic frameworks at British newspaper websites", *Journalism Practice* 2, pp. 343–56.
- HERRMANN, STEVE (2009) "Mumbai, Twitter and Live Updates", BBC The Editors, [http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/theeditors/2008/12/theres\\_been\\_discussion\\_see\\_eg.html](http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/theeditors/2008/12/theres_been_discussion_see_eg.html), accessed 4 December 2008.
- HINDUS, DEBBY, MAINWARING, SCOTT D., LEDUC, NICOLE, HAGSTROM, ANNA E. and BAYLEY, OLIVER (2001) "Casablanca: designing social communication devices for the home", in: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems (CHI '01)*, New York: ACM Press, pp. 325–32.
- HOWE, JEFF (2008) *Crowdsourcing: why the power of the crowd is driving the future of business*, New York: Crown Business.
- INGRAM, MATHEW (2008) "Yes, Twitter Is a Source of Journalism", MathewIngram.com, <http://www.mathewingram.com/work/2008/11/26/yes-twitter-is-a-source-of-journalism/>, accessed 4 June 2009.
- JAVA, AKSHAY, SONG, XIAODAN, FININ, TIM and TSENG, BELLE (2007) "Why We Twitter: understanding microblogging usage and communities", in: *Proceedings of the 9th WebKDD and 1st SNA-KDD 2007 workshop on Web mining and social network analysis (WebKDD/SNA-KDD '07)*, New York: ACM Press, pp. 56–65.
- JOHNSON, STEVEN (2009) "How Twitter Will Change the Way We Live", *Time*, <http://www.time.com/time/printout/0,8816,1902604,00.html>, accessed 5 June 2009.
- KOBLIN, JOHN (2009) "Twitter Culture Wars at The Times: 'We need a zone of trust', Bill Keller tells staff", *The New York Observer*, <http://www.observer.com/2009/media/twitter-culture-wars-itimesi>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- KRAUT, ROBERT E., CARMEN EGIDO and GALEGHER, JOLENE (1990) "Patterns of Contact and Communication in Scientific Research Collaboration", in: R.E. Kraut, Carmen Egido and Jolene Galegher (Eds), *Intellectual Teamwork: social and technological foundations of cooperative work*, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, pp. 149–71.

- KUWABARA, KAZUHIRO, WATANABE, TAKUMI, OHGURO, TAKESHI, ITOH, YOHIHIRO and MAEDA, YUJI (2002) "Connectedness Oriented Communication: fostering a sense of connectedness to augment social relationships", in: *Proceedings of the 2002 Symposium on Applications and the Internet (SAINT '02)*, Washington, DC: IEEE Computer Society, pp. 186–193.
- LENHARD, AMANDA and FOX, SUSANNAH (2009) "Twitter and Status Updating", Pew Internet and American Life Project, <http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2009/Twitter-and-status-updating.aspx>, accessed 21 June 2009.
- LUGMAYR, ARTUR (2006) "The Future Is 'Ambient'", in: R. Creutzburg, J.H. Takala and C.W. Chen (Eds), *Proceedings of SPIE, 607403 Multimedia on Mobile Devices II*, Vol. 6074, San Jose: SPIE.
- MALONE, THOMAS W., LAUBACHER, ROBERT and DELLAROCAS, CHRYSANTHOS (2009) "Harnessing Crowds: mapping the genome of collective intelligence", MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 4732-09, <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1381502>, accessed 21 June 2009.
- MARKOPOULOS, PANOS, DE RUYTER, BORIS and MACKAY, WENDY (2009) *Awareness Systems: advances in theory, methodology and design*, Dordrecht: Springer.
- MARKOPOULOS, PANOS, IJSELSTEIJN, WIJNAND, HUIJEN, CLAIRE, ROMIJIN, ONNO and PHILOPOULOS, ALEXANDROS (2003) "Supporting Social Presence Through Asynchronous Awareness Systems", in: Giuseppe Riva, Fabrizio Davide and Wijnand Ijsselsteijn (Eds), *Being There: concepts, effects and measurements of user presence in synthetic environments*, Amsterdam: IOS Press, pp. 261–78.
- NILES, ROBERT (2007) "A Journalist's Guide to Crowdsourcing", <http://www.ojr.org/ojr/stories/070731niles/>, accessed 24 May 2009.
- PARR, BEN (2009) "Mindblowing #IranElection Stats: 221,744 tweets per hour at peak", Mashable, <http://mashable.com/2009/06/17/iranelection-crisis-numbers/>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- POSETTI, JULIE (2009) "Twitter's Difficult Gift to Journalism", NewMatilda.com, <http://newmatilda.com/2009/06/16/twitters-difficult-gift-journalism>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- PROJECT FOR EXCELLENCE IN JOURNALISM (nd) "Principles of Journalism", Pew Research Center, <http://www.journalism.org/resources/principles>, accessed 20 June 2009.
- SARNO, DAVID (2009) "On Twitter, Mindcasting Is the New Lifecasting", *Los Angeles Times*, 11 March.
- SCHMIDT, KJELD (2002) "The Problem with 'Awareness'", *Computer Supported Cooperative Work* 11, pp. 285–98.
- SCHUDSON, MICHAEL (2003) *The Sociology of News*, New York: Norton.
- SHIELS, MAGGIE (2009) "Twitter Responds on Iranian Role", BBC, <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/8104318.stm>, accessed 17 June 2009.
- SINGER, JANE (2004) "Strange Bedfellows: the diffusion of convergence in four news organisations", *Journalism Studies* 5, pp. 3–18.
- STELTER, BRIAN (2009) "Journalism Rules Are Bent in News Coverage from Iran", *New York Times*, 28 June.
- STRUPP, JOE (2009) "New 'WSJ' Conduct Rules Target Twitter, Facebook", [http://www.editorandpublisher.com/eandp/news/article\\_display.jsp?vnu\\_content\\_id=1003972544](http://www.editorandpublisher.com/eandp/news/article_display.jsp?vnu_content_id=1003972544), accessed 17 June 2009.
- SUNSTEIN, CASS R. (2006) *Infotopia*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- SUROWIECKI, JAMES (2004) *The Wisdom of Crowds*, London: Little, Brown.
- SUTTER, JOHN D. (2009) "Celebrity Death Rumors Spread Online", CNN.com, <http://www.cnn.com/2009/TECH/07/01/celebrity.death.pranks/>, accessed 2 July, 2009.
- TAPSCOTT, DON and WILLIAMS, ANTHONY D. (2006) *Wikinomics*, New York: Portfolio.

- TUCHMAN, GAYE (2002) "The Production of News", in: Klaus Jensen (Ed.), *A Handbook of Media and Communication Research*, London and New York: Routledge, pp. 78–90.
- VASCELLARO, JESSICA E. (2009) "Twitter Trips on Its Rapid Growth", *Wall Street Journal*, 26 May.
- WASSERMAN, EDWARD (2009) "How Twitter Poses a Threat to Newspapers", *Miami Herald*, 28 May.
- WEISER, MARK (1991) "The Computer for the 21st Century", *SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review* 3(3), pp. 3–11.
- WEISER, MARK and BROWN, JOHN SEELY (1996) "Designing Calm Technology", *PowerGrid Journal* 1, pp. 94–100.

**Alfred Hermida**, Graduate School of Journalism, University of British Columbia, 6388 Crescent Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada. E-mail: [alfred.hermida@ubc.ca](mailto:alfred.hermida@ubc.ca)