



Η έννοια του γονιδίου


Σταύρος Ιωαννίδης
ΙΦΕ/ΕΚΠΑ ΠΜΣ ΙΦΕΤ

Key Questions:

- i) what is a gene?
- ii) where did the gene concept come from?
- iii) is there more than one gene concept in modern biology?
- iv) what is the relation between classical and molecular genetics?
- v) does the notion of 'genetic information' make sense?

GREGORIO J.
MENDEL

1884
1984



450

POSTE VATICANE

I.P.Z.S.-ROMA-1984

M. CODONI

Mendelian Genetics

- 1860s: Gregor Mendel experimented with **pea-plants**
- two states** of a single **character**: round versus wrinkled seeds
- pattern of transmission across generations
- crossed true-breeding lineages and found:

- i) **F1 hybrids** all had round seeds
- ii) **F2 hybrids** had $3/4$ round but $1/4$ wrinkled

-explanation?

- trait is controlled by **pairs of factors**
- each factor is **one of two possible types**
- gametes** of an organism (pollen or ova) contain just one of the factors
- new organism **inherits one factor from each parent**
- factor for round seeds **dominates** factor for wrinkled seeds
- when an F1 hybrid forms gametes, **50%** of them contain factor for wrinkled, **50%** for round
- perfectly explains patterns of inheritance observed
- Mendel's 'factors' are now called '**genes**' or '**alleles**'
- they are **units of inheritance**

Mendelian Genetics

Mendel's Laws

1) *Law of Independent Segregation of Alleles:*

for each individual, each of the two alleles at a locus segregates to a germ cell with equal probability

2) *Law of Independent Assortment (of Alleles at Different Loci):*

when the germ cells are formed, the allele pairs at different loci assort independently of each other

-Mendel illustrated (2) by looking at two different traits simultaneously:

seed shape (round/wrinkled) and flower colour (yellow/green)

-key observation: if a gamete contains factor for **wrinkled**, that tells us nothing about whether it contains factor for **yellow** or **green**

-> 1) is almost always true, 2) regularly violated

Αναμειγνυόμενη κληρονομικότητα (blending inheritance)



Red and yellow make orange

Verhandlungen

des

naturforschenden Vereines

in Brünn.

IV. Band

1865.

Brünn, 1866.

Im Verlage des Vereines.

Versuche über Pflanzen-Hybriden.

Von



Gregor Mendel.


(Vorgelegt in den Sitzungen vom 8. Februar und 8. März 1865.)

Einleitende Bemerkungen.

Künstliche Befruchtungen, welche an Zierpflanzen desshalb vorgenommen wurden, um neue Farben-Varianten zu erzielen, waren die Veranlassung zu den Versuchen, die her besprochen werden sollen. Die auffallende Regelmässigkeit, mit welcher dieselben Hybridformen immer wiederkehrten, so oft die Befruchtung zwischen gleichen Arten geschah, gab die Anregung zu weiteren Experimenten, deren Aufgabe es war, die Entwicklung der Hybriden in ihren Nachkommen zu verfolgen.

Dieser Aufgabe haben sorgfältige Beobachter, wie Kölreuter, Gärtner, Herbert, Lecocq, Wichura u. a. einen Theil ihres Lebens mit unermüdlicher Ausdauer geopfert. Namentlich hat Gärtner in seinem Werke „die Bastarderzeugung im Pflanzenreiche“ sehr schätzbare Beobachtungen niedergelegt, und in neuester Zeit wurden von Wichura gründliche Untersuchungen über die Bastarde der Weiden veröffentlicht. Wenn es noch nicht gelungen ist, ein allgemein giltiges Gesetz für die Bildung und Entwicklung der Hybriden aufzustellen, so kann das Niemanden Wunder nehmen, der den Umfang der Aufgabe kennt und die Schwierigkeiten zu würdigen weiss, mit denen Versuche dieser Art zu kämpfen haben. Eine endgiltige Entscheidung kann erst dann erfolgen, bis Detail-Versuche aus den verschiedensten Pflanzen-Familien vorliegen. Wer die Ar-






VERSUCHE

ÜBER

GENHYBRIDISIERUNG



Πάνω: de Vries
Δεξιά πάνω: Correns
Μέση κάτω: Tschermak

28300

MENDEL'S
PRINCIPLES OF HEREDITY

BY

W. BATESON, M.A., F.R.S., V.M.H.

HONORARY FELLOW OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE,
DIRECTOR OF THE JOHN INNES HORTICULTURAL INSTITUTION



GREGOR MENDEL, 1866

Enlarged from a group of the brothers of the Einsiedler

Cambridge :
at the University Press
1913



Fig. 14.




Fig. 61.



Fig. 65.



Fig. 71.



Fig. 74.



Gesz. v. W. Flemming.

Fig. 62.



Fig. 66.



Fig. 72.



Fig. 73.



Fig. 63.



Fig. 67.



Fig. 75.




Fig. 64.



Fig. 68.



Fig. 69.



Fig. 70.



Lith. Anst. v. E. A. Fuchs, Leipzig.

Αριστερά:
Walther
Flemming
Αριστερά κάτω:
Πολυταινικά
χρωμοσώματα
από κύτταρο
από τους
σιελογόνους
αδένες του
Chironimus
Μεγάλη εικόνα:
η διαδικασία
της μίτωσης —
Εικόνες από το
βιβλίο του
Flemming
*Zellsubstanz,
Kern und
Zelltheilung*
(1885)



T. H. Morgan

Classical Genetics

- Mendel's work forgotten and 're-discovered' around turn of the century
- after a long struggle, eventually came to be accepted
(-> opposition between **Mendelians** and **biometricians**)
- research program of T. H. Morgan at Columbia University
- two main aspects:
 - i) **segregation** analysis
 - ii) **linkage** analysis
- segregation analysis extended Mendel's own techniques
- used to infer genetic origin of a trait

Classical Genetics

-linkage analysis based on recognition that **Law of Independent Assortment** is **often violated**

-observed that some loci tend to be **inherited together**

-such loci were in the same ***linkage group***

-why? Morgan (1910): because they are on the **same chromosome**

-> genes on same chromosome violate Mendel's second law

-complicated by ***crossing-over***

-> exchange of parts between homologous chromosomes during meiosis

-means that alleles at loci on same chromosome may be **separated**, hence assort **independently**

-this is called '**recombination**' of genes

-depends on ***degree of linkage***

-**linkage analysis**: start with a trait known to satisfy **Mendel's first law**

-then, look for a trait that is **linked** to it

-then, try to estimate **degree of linkage**

-led to ***linkage mapping***: trying to map entire chromosomes

-**loci** placed in **different linkage groups** with a **distance** assigned between each pair of loci




FIG. 9.—Red-eyed female by white-eyed male (*D. melanogaster*). This is the reciprocal of the cross shown in Fig. 10.

Αριστερά: Από Morgan, Sturtevant, Muller & Bridges (1915) *The Mechanism of Mendelian Heredity*
 Πάνω: ο Morgan μπροστά από δροσόφιλες

White-eyed mutant fly



Red-eyed wild-type fly












FIG. 64. Scheme to illustrate a method of crossing over of the chromosomes.



Αριστερά: Γονιδιακός χάρτης της Δροσόφιλας —τα νούμερα δείχνουν την απόσταση από το τέλος του χρωμοσώματος
 Πάνω: τα 4 ζεύγη χρωμοσωμάτων





Classical Genetics

Importance of Mendelian/Classical Genetics:

- quite striking **predictive** and **explanatory** success
- integrated with Darwinian principles in the *Modern Synthesis* (Fisher, Haldane, Wright)
- particulate** nature of inheritance critically important for natural selection
- why? *because it shows that variation can be maintained even with sexual reproduction*
- solved a **major puzzle** for Darwin's theory
- > How many traits are controlled by single Mendelian (or classical) genes?
- Not many
- in some ways, Mendel was **lucky** in his choice of traits
- most traits are affected by **many genes**, and by environmental factors too
- still, often convenient to speak of genes 'for' traits
- central theoretical problem: **how** are genes replicated?
- classical geneticists **had no answer to this**
- > the 'gene' of classical genetic was **posited** to explain observed data
- in a sense, the gene was a **hypothetical** entity

- γονότυπος - φαινότυπος
- γονίδια πάνω σε χρωμοσώματα
- αλληλόμορφα -> εναλλακτικές μορφές ενός γονιδίου
- genetic locus

-> ένα γονίδιο μπορεί να επηρεάζει πολλούς φαινοτυπικούς χαρακτήρες (**πλειοτροπία / pleiotropy**)

[Morgan: 25 γονίδια επηρεάζουν το χρώμα ματιού στη Δροσόφιλα]

-> polygeny: ένας φαινοτυπικός χαρακτήρας μπορεί να επηρεάζεται από πολλά γονίδια

Beadle & Tatum (1941) -> 'one gene-one enzyme hypothesis'

-τα γονίδια περί το 1950:

φυσικά σωματίδια άγνωστης σύστασης, διατεταγμένα πάνω στα χρωμοσώματα, κληρονομούμενα σύμφωνα με τους νόμους του Mendel, και υπεύθυνα για την κατασκευή ενός ενζύμου

-> Το κλασικό γονίδιο ως θεωρητική οντότητα που εξηγεί δεδομένα διασταυρώσεων
-υπάρχουν στην πραγματικότητα ή όχι;

There is **no consensus** of opinion amongst geneticists as to **what the genes are** - whether they are **real or purely fictitious** - because at the level at which the genetic experiments lie, it does not make the **slightest difference** whether the gene is a **hypothetical** unit, or whether the gene is a **material** particle. In either case the unit is associated with a specific chromosome, and can be localized there by purely genetic analysis. Hence, if the gene is a **material** unit, it is a piece of a chromosome; if it is a **fictitious** unit, it must be referred to a definite location in a chromosome - the same place as on the other hypothesis. **Therefore, it makes no difference in the actual work in genetics which point of view is taken.**

Thomas Hunt Morgan, 1934 (Nobel lecture)

-> Το κλασικό γονίδιο ως 'διαφοροποιητής' (difference-maker)

Morgan:

Suppose, for instance, to take perhaps an extreme case, **all the genes** are instrumental in producing each organ of the body. This may only mean that they all produce chemical substances essential for the normal course of development



Morgan:

If now **one gene is changed** so that it produces some substance different from that which it produced before, the end-result may be **affected**, and if the change affects **one** organ predominatingly **it may appear the one gene alone has produced this effect**. In a strictly causal sense this is true, but the effect is produced only in conjunction with all the other genes. In other words, they are all still contributing, as before, to the end-result which is different in so far as one of them is different.


-Waters:

Difference principle: differences in a gene cause uniform phenotypic differences in particular genetic and environmental contexts.

Cell





Nucleus





Chromosome

DNA









equipment, and to Dr. G. E. R. Deacon and the captain and officers of R.R.S. *Discovery II* for their part in making the observations.

¹Young, F. B., Gerrard, H., and Jevons, W., *Phil. Mag.*, **40**, 149 (1920).

²Longuet-Higgins, M. S., *Mon. Not. Roy. Astro. Soc., Geophys. Supp.*, **5**, 285 (1949).

³Von Arx, W. S., *Woods Hole Papers in Phys. Oceanog. Meteor.*, **11** (3) (1950).

⁴Ekman, V. W., *Arkiv. Mat. Astron. Fysik. (Stockholm)*, **2** (11) (1905).

MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF NUCLEIC ACIDS


A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid

WE wish to suggest a structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid (D.N.A.). This structure has novel features which are of considerable biological interest.

A structure for nucleic acid has already been proposed by Pauling and Corey¹. They kindly made their manuscript available to us in advance of publication. Their model consists of three intertwined chains, with the phosphates near the fibre axis, and the bases on the outside. In our opinion, this structure is unsatisfactory for two reasons: (1) We believe that the material which gives the X-ray diagrams is the salt, not the free acid. Without the acidic hydrogen atoms it is not clear what forces would hold the structure together, especially as the negatively charged phosphates near the axis will repel each other. (2) Some of the van der Waals distances appear to be too small.

Another three-chain structure has also been suggested by Fraser (in the press). In his model the phosphates are on the outside and the bases on the inside, linked together by hydrogen bonds. This structure as described is rather ill-defined, and for this reason we shall not comment on it.

We wish to put forward a radically different structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid. This structure has two helical chains each coiled round the same axis (see diagram). We have made the usual chemical assumptions, namely, that each chain consists of phosphate diester groups joining β -D-deoxy-ribofuranose residues with 3',5' linkages. The two chains (but not their bases) are related by a dyad perpendicular to the fibre axis. Both chains follow right-handed helices, but owing to the dyad the sequences of the atoms in the two chains run in opposite directions. Each chain loosely resembles Furberg's² model No. 1; that is, the bases are on the inside of the helix and the phosphates on the outside. The configuration of the sugar and the atoms near it is close to Furberg's 'standard configuration', the sugar being roughly perpendicular to the attached base. There



This figure is purely diagrammatic. The two ribbons symbolize the two phosphate-sugar chains, and the horizontal rods the pairs of bases holding the chains together. The vertical line marks the fibre axis.

is a residue on each chain every 3.4 Å. in the z-direction. We have assumed an angle of 36° between adjacent residues in the same chain, so that the structure repeats after 10 residues on each chain, that is, after 34 Å. The distance of a phosphorus atom from the fibre axis is 10 Å. As the phosphates are on the outside, cations have easy access to them.

The structure is an open one, and its water content is rather high. At lower water contents we would expect the bases to tilt so that the structure could become more compact.

The novel feature of the structure is the manner in which the two chains are held together by the purine and pyrimidine bases. The planes of the bases are perpendicular to the fibre axis. They are joined together in pairs, a single base from one chain being hydrogen-bonded to a single base from the other chain, so that the two lie side by side with identical z-co-ordinates. One of the pair must be a purine and the other a pyrimidine for bonding to occur. The hydrogen bonds are made as follows: purine position 1 to pyrimidine position 1; purine position 6 to pyrimidine position 6.

If it is assumed that the bases only occur in the structure in the most plausible tautomeric forms (that is, with the keto rather than the enol configurations) it is found that only specific pairs of bases can bond together. These pairs are: adenine (purine) with thymine (pyrimidine), and guanine (purine) with cytosine (pyrimidine).

In other words, if an adenine forms one member of a pair, on either chain, then on these assumptions the other member must be thymine; similarly for guanine and cytosine. The sequence of bases on a single chain does not appear to be restricted in any way. However, if only specific pairs of bases can be formed, it follows that if the sequence of bases on one chain is given, then the sequence on the other chain is automatically determined.

It has been found experimentally^{3,4} that the ratio of the amounts of adenine to thymine, and the ratio of guanine to cytosine, are always very close to unity for deoxyribose nucleic acid.

It is probably impossible to build this structure with a ribose sugar in place of the deoxyribose, as the extra oxygen atom would make too close a van der Waals contact.

The previously published X-ray data^{5,6} on deoxyribose nucleic acid are insufficient for a rigorous test of our structure. So far as we can tell, it is roughly compatible with the experimental data, but it must be regarded as unproved until it has been checked against more exact results. Some of these are given in the following communications. We were not aware of the details of the results presented there when we devised our structure, which rests mainly though not entirely on published experimental data and stereochemical arguments.


It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

Full details of the structure, including the conditions assumed in building it, together with a set of co-ordinates for the atoms, will be published elsewhere.

We are much indebted to Dr. Jerry Donohue for constant advice and criticism, especially on interatomic distances. We have also been stimulated by a knowledge of the general nature of the unpublished experimental results and ideas of Dr. M. H. F. Wilkins, Dr. R. E. Franklin and their co-workers at


Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine (1962) -Crick, Watson & Wilkins


"for their discoveries concerning the molecular structure of nucleic acids and its significance for information transfer in living material"



-> το μοριακό γονίδιο (δεκαετία του '60)

-> Crick: 'sequence hypothesis'







www.dnalc.org

Molecular Genetics

-often dated to **Watson and Crick's (1953)** discovery of structure of DNA

-1900-1953: investigation into cellular and molecular basis of heredity

-molecular basis not resolved till mid-century (nucleic acid vs protein)

-but known since 1903 that in meiosis, each gamete receives **one of a pair of homologous chromosomes**

-relevance?

-> explains Mendel's first law

Molecular Genetics

-molecular genetics **sheds much light** on classical genetics:

i) **structure** of DNA explains how it can be **reliably replicated**

-> DNA composed of two **complementary** strands

-each strand **uniquely specifies** the other

-explains gene replication

ii) DNA (indirectly) makes the proteins that make up structural and functional elements of cells

-DNA is **transcribed** into mRNA, which itself is **translated** into protein

-explains (in theory) how **different genes** can have **different phenotypic effects**

iii) **appears** to tell us what a gene is **in physical terms**

-> a gene is **a length of DNA that makes a single protein**

-> genes are **no longer hypothetical** entities, but **physical** entities

-no-one doubts that discoveries in molecular biology **shed lots of light** on classical genetics

-but what exactly is the relation?

-not entirely **simple**

-> classical and molecular geneticists use 'gene' in somewhat **different** ways

-not obvious that everything that is a **classical** gene is a **molecular** gene

-nor vice-versa



δομή
(πρωτεΐνη)


κωδικοποιεί

παρέχει

πληροφορία
(γονίδιο)

λειτουργία






DNA

RNA


πρωτεΐνη

Το κεντρικό δόγμα της μοριακής βιολογίας




ένα μήνυμα

κωδικοποιεί



ένα γονίδιο

*για να
κατασκευαστεί*



μια πρωτεΐνη

Science

16 February 2001


Vol. 291 No. 5507
Pages 1145-1434 \$9

THE
HUMAN
GENOME





AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

GATCCCTGCC	TGGGCTTTGC	CTCTGCAGCC	CCCCGCCCCA	CAGG TTCACA	CCTCGGGTCT
TCTCCACCGC	TGCCACACGC	CAGAGCCTGT	AGCGGGGCCT	CAGAGTCTGG	GAGGTGGGAC
TCCTGCACCT	CAGCCATCAT	CAGACCCATG	GGGCCACCCA	GGGAACCTTG	GCAGGGACCA
TTACCAGTGA	CCTGCCGAGG	CCCCGGACTC	TGCCAGCCAG	CTGTGCCGGC	CACCCTGCCC
CGGACAGTGC	CGGTTCATGT	GGGAACTAGG	GGACGATGTG	GTTCTTCGCA	TCTGATGATG
AAGGCCCTGG	GCCACTTGGC	ACGGGCGGGC	GCTCCCGAGA	TGGATATGAG	GAGCCCCCTC
TGCCCAACTC	CCAGAAAGGC	CGAGGCTCTG	CAGCGGGAGG	AAGTCCTGCG	ATGTCCTGGG
GGGCAGCAGC	GCAGGGCACA	GGGACAGCCC	CCCTCCACAG	CTCTTCCTGG	CCAGCCCTCC
CCACTATCTG	CCAGGAGGTT	GCTTCTTCCA	GGAGGCTTTT	CCCGACCAGC	CCAGGGGTCC
AGGGTCTGGG	GCTCCCAGCT	GCTGTGAGTG	CTGCACATTC	TCTTGAGGAC	AGCCCCCTCC
CTCCCCACC	CACTTCTGGT	GCCC ACTGTG	GCCACAGCAA	GCACTGGGGC	CTGCACTCAG
GGACCTCGGG	GCCTCCTGGG	GAGCTGCTGA	CCCTAGGCAG	AGAGATTGCA	CATCCCTAAG
AGTCTACAGA	CACCCCAGTG	TTTGCCAGTG	TTTGCCCGTG	TTCACCAGTG	TTTGCCAGTG
TTTGCCAGTA	TTTGCTCGCC	AGTGTT CGCC	ACTTGTCCCT	CTGGCTGCAA	GAGTGACTGG
GTTTGGGCGG	GAAGTTGCAG	GTCCCTCCAG	GACAGTTGGC	CGATGACGTG	GAGACAGACC
CACCCCCCAA	TCCTGGCTCC	CTGCAGGACG	CGGGGCCCCC	CGAGATCCTG	GCGGTGCTCA
GCACGACGGG	CACCTCCGTG	TTCACCAGTC	CAATGGGCAC	GGAGCGTGGC	TTTATTTGCA
TGTCTGGATT	CCTAACGACT	TCAGCCTCTG	CACCTCCTGG	GTTTTCCCTG	CTGCAAATTG
CCATTTGGCG	TCGTCCCCAA	TTTCCGGCCA	AGGCCGCGTC	GTCGTGCTGC	TGTGTAATTT
GATGTGTGGA	GTTCTAGATA	CCAAGTGTCT	GTCGGTTTTA	GACATCGCAA	ACGTCCTTCC
CAGTGTGGCC	CGTCCATTCG	CTTCTGTGCA	GCAAAATCTT	TAATTATTTG	ATGGCATCAA
AATGTGTGTC	CAGTTTTACC	TTCTAGTTTA	TACTTTCGAA	CATTTGTTTG	AGAAATCTTT
CTCCCACCTG	TGGCTGATAG	TGACGTCTTC	TAACTTCCCA	TTTACTATGT	TACATTCAGA
CCCATCATCT	TCAGGAAGAC	GCTTGTGTGC	GAGACGGGTA	TGAGGCCCCC	ACACCCCGCC



-> οπερόνιο



ένα γονίδιο



που ρυθμίζει


κωδικοποιεί

μια πρωτεΐνη

ένα μήνυμα

*για να
κατασκευαστεί*










www.dnalc.org

-> εναλλακτικό μάτισμα



Ovalbumin processing





Gene

mRNA

Protein



Function

ncRNA



Function



Marine



Freshwater



Ocean predator




Freshwater predator




Marine Stickleback Pitx1 Gene




In Marine Sticklebacks Pitx1 Gene is turned on




Freshwater Stickleback Pitx1 Gene



In Freshwater Sticklebacks Pitx1 Gene is turned on







ANT-C

BX-C

lab

pb

Dfd

Scr

Antp

Ubx

Abd-A


Abd-B




Hox genes arranged along the fruit fly chromosome




Zones of *Hox* gene activity in the embryo



Structures in the adult controlled by *Hox* genes






Brine shrimp




Millipede




Shrimp




Centipede




Lobster




Grasshopper




Scorpion




Bee




Ant



Dragonfly




Mosquito



PHYLOGENY

GENES FOUND

KNOWN EXPRESSION



Για περαιτέρω μελέτη:

-Godfrey-Smith, *Φιλοσοφία της Βιολογίας*, κεφ. 6

-Griffiths & Neumann-Held (1999) 'The many faces of the gene'

-Sterelny & Griffiths

-Griffiths & Stotz (2013), *Genetics and philosophy*

-<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/gene/>

-<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/molecular-genetics/>

-<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/molecular-biology/>