

**ARIS & PHILLIPS CLASSICAL TEXTS** 

## PLATO

### Statesman

#### ARIS & PHILLIPS CLASSICAL TEXTS

#### **PLATO**

#### Statesman

with introduction, translation and commentary by

C. J. Rowe



Aris & Phillips Classical Texts are published by Oxbow Books, Park End Place, Oxford OX1 1HN

© C. J. Rowe 1995. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means including photocopying without the prior permission of the publishers in writing.

First published 1995, reprinted with corrections 2005

ISBN 0-85668-613-1

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library.

Printed and bound by Antony Rowe Ltd, Eastbourne

#### Contents

Preface	V
INTRODUCTION	1
Bibliography	21
GREEK TEXT AND TRANSLATION	27
COMMENTARY	177
Indexes	247

# PLATO STATESMAN $(\PiOAITIKO\Sigma)$

ΣΩΚΡΑΤΗΣ *Η πολλὴν χάριν ὀφείλω σοι τῆς Θεαιτήτου γνωρίσεως, ὧ Θεόδωρε, ἄμα καὶ τῆς τοῦ ξένου.	257
ΘΕΟΔΩΡΟΣ Τάχα δέ γε, ὧ Σώκρατες, ὀφειλήσεις ταύτης	
τριπλασίαν, ἐπειδὰν τόν τε πολιτικὸν ἀπεργάσωνταί σοι καὶ	
τὸν φιλόσοφον.	5
ΣΩ. Εἷεν· οὕτω τοῦτο, ὧ φίλε Θεόδωρε, φήσομεν ἀκηκοότες εἶναι τοῦ περὶ λογισμοὺς καὶ τὰ γεωμετρικὰ κρατίστου;	
ΘΕΟ. Πῶς, ὧ Σώκρατες;	ь
ΣΩ. Τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἔκαστον θέντος τῆς ἴσης ἀξίας, οἳ τῆ τιμῆ	
πλέον ἀλλήλων ἀφεστᾶσιν ἣ κατὰ τὴν ἀναλογίαν τὴν τῆς	
ύμετέρας τέχνης.	
ΘΕΟ. Εὖ γε νὴ τὸν ἡμέτερον θεόν, ὧ Σώκρατες, τὸν	5
"Αμμωνα, καὶ δικαίως, καὶ πάνυ μνημονικῶς ἐπέπληξάς μοι τὸ	3
περί τους λογισμούς άμάρτημα, και σε μεν άντι τούτων είς	
αθες μέτειμι σὸ δ' ἡμιν, ὦ ξένε, μηδαμώς ἀποκάμης	
ασοίς μετειμί συ σ ημίν, ω ζενε, μησαμώς αποκαμής χαριζόμενος, άλλ' έξης, είτε τὸν πολιτικὸν ἄνδρα πρότερον	_
χαριζομένος, αλλ εξής, είτε τον πολίτικον ανορά πρότερον είτε τὸν φιλόσοφον προαιρῆ, προελόμενος διέξελθε.	С
ΞΕΝΟΣ Ταῦτ', ὧ Θεόδωρε, ποιητέον, ἐπείπερ ἄπαξ γε	
έγκεχειρήκαμεν, καὶ οὐκ ἀποστατέον πρὶν ἂν αὐτῶν πρὸς τὸ	_
τέλος ἔλθωμεν. ἀλλὰ γὰρ περὶ Θεαιτήτου τοῦδε τί χρὴ δρᾶν	5
με;	
ΘΕΟ. Τοῦ πέρι;	
ΞΕ. Διαναπαύσωμεν αὐτὸν μεταλαβόντες αὐτοῦ τὸν	
συγγυμναστὴν τόνδε Σωκράτη; ἢ πῶς συμβουλεύεις;	
ΘΕΟ. Καθάπερ εἶπες, μεταλάμβανε νέω γὰρ ὄντε ῥᾶον	10
οἴσετον πάντα πόνον ἀναπαυομένω.	
ΣΩ. Καὶ μὴν κινδυνεύετον, ὧ ξένε, ἄμφω ποθὲν ἐμοὶ	d
συγγένειαν έχειν τινά. τὸν μέν γε οὖν ὑμεῖς κατὰ τὴν τοῦ	
προσώπου φύσιν ὅμοιον ἐμοὶ φαίνεσθαί φατε, τοῦ δ' ἡμῖν ἡ	
κλησις όμώνυμος οὖσα καὶ ή πρόσρησις παρέχεταί τινα	258
οἰκειότητα. δεῖ δὴ τούς γε συγγενεῖς ἡμᾶς ἀεὶ προθύμως διὰ	

a 3 δέ γε π: δέ π,  $O \mid$  b 6 πάνυ μνημονικώς  $\mathbf{c}$ : πάνυ μὲν οὖν μνημονικώς  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $O \mid$   $\mathbf{c}$  4 καὶ οὖκ  $\mathbf{n}$ ; οὖκ  $\mathbf{n}$ , O

Socrates: I'm really much indebted to you, Theodorus, for introducing me to Theaetetus, and also to our visitor.

Theodorus: And perhaps, Socrates, your debt will be three times as great, when they complete both the statesman and the philosopher for you.

Soc.: Yes and no: shall we say, my dear Theodorus, that we've heard the best arithmetician and geometer putting it like this?

- Theod.: How do you mean, Socrates?

  Soc.: Because you assumed that each of the three were to be assigned equal worth, when in fact they differ in value by more than can be expressed in terms of mathematical proportion.
- Theod.: Well said, Socrates, by our god Ammon; a just rebuke you've remembered your arithmetic very well, to bring me up on my mistake like that. As for you, I'll get my own back for this on another occasion; but turning to our guest don't you give up at all on
- obliging us, but, whether you choose the statesman first or the philosopher, choose him and go through him in turn.
  - Eleatic Stranger: That, Theodorus, is what we must do, since we have tried our hand once, and we must not desist until we come to the end
- of what we have in hand. But I have a question: what should I do about Theaetetus here?

Theod.: In what respect?

a5

- E.S.: Should we give him a rest and substitute for him [the younger] Socrates here, who trains with him? Or what's your advice?
- Theod.: As you say, make a substitution; since they are young, they'll put up with any sort of exertion more easily if they take a rest.
- d1 [Older] Soc.: What's more, my friend, both of them seem somehow to have a certain kinship with me. One of them you say is like me in
- the way he looks; as for the other, the fact that he is called and designated by the same name as I am produces a certain relatedness. Well, we must always be eager to recognize those akin to us by

λόγων ἀναγνωρίζειν. Θεαιτήτω μὲν οὖν αὐτός τε συνέμειξα (258) χθὲς διὰ λόγων καὶ νῦν ἀκήκοα ἀποκρινομένου, Σωκράτους δὲ οὐδέτερα δεῖ δὲ σκέψασθαι καὶ τοῦτον. ἐμοὶ μὲν οὖν εἰς 5 αὖθις, σοὶ δὲ νῦν ἀποκρινέσθω.

10

b

5

c

ΞΕ. Ταῦτ' ἔσται. ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀκούεις δὴ Σωκράτους;

ΣΩΚΡΑΤΗΣ Ο ΝΕΩΤΕΡΟΣ Ναί.

ΞΕ. Συγχωρείς οὖν οἶς λέγει;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Οὐ τὰ σὰ κωλύειν φαίνεται, δεῖ δὲ ἴσως ἔτι ἦττον τἀμὰ διακωλύειν. ἀλλὰ δὴ μετὰ τὸν σοφιστὴν ἀναγκαῖον, ὡς ἐμοὶ φαίνεται, τὸν πολιτικὸν ἄνδρα διαζητεῖν νῷν καί μοι λέγε πότερον τῶν ἐπιστημόνων τιν' ἡμῖν καὶ τοῦτον θετέον, ἢ πῶς;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Τὰς ἐπιστήμας ἄρα διαληπτέον, ὥσπερ ἡνίκα τὸν πρότερον ἐσκοποῦμεν;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τάχ' ἄν.

ΞΕ. Οὐ μὲν δὴ κατὰ ταὐτόν γε, ὧ Σώκρατες, φαίνεταί μοι 10 τμῆμα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Κατ' ἄλλο.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . "Εοικέν γε.

ΞΕ. Τὴν οὖν πολιτικὴν ἀτραπὸν πῆ τις ἀνευρήσει; δεῖ γὰρ αὐτὴν ἀνευρεῖν, καὶ χωρὶς ἀφελόντας ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων ἰδέαν αὐτῆ μίαν ἐπισφραγίσασθαι, καὶ ταῖς ἄλλαις ἐκτροπαῖς Ἐν ἄλλο εἶδος ἐπισημηναμένους πάσας τὰς ἐπιστήμας ὡς οὔσας δύο εἴδη διανοηθῆναι τὴν ψυχὴν ἡμῶν ποιῆσαι.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τοῦτ' ἤδη σὸν οἶμαι τὸ ἔργον, ὧ ξένε, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐμὸν γίγνεται.

ΞΕ. Δεῖ γε μήν, ὧ Σώκρατες, αὐτὸ εἶναι καὶ σόν, ὅταν ἐμφανὲς ἡμῖν γένηται.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς εἶπες.

ΞΕ. ^Αρ' οὖν οὐκ ἀριθμητικὴ μὲν καί τινες ἔτεραι ταύτη συγγενεῖς τέχναι ψιλαὶ τῶν πράξεών εἰσι, τὸ δὲ γνῶναι

 ${\bf b}$   ${\bf 3}$  τὸν πολιτικὸν ἄνδρα  ${\bf n}$ : πολιτικὸν τὸν ἄνδρα  ${\bf n}$ : πολιτικον  $[{\bf τ}$ ον ἄνδρα]  ${\bf 0}$ 

(258) talking to them. Theaetetus I myself had a verbal encounter with yesterday, and I have just now heard him answering questions,

whereas neither applies in Socrates' case; we must try him out too. He'll answer to me on another occasion; for now let him answer you. E.S.: I'll go along with that. Socrates, do you hear what Socrates

says? Young Socrates: Yes.

E.S.: Then do you agree with it?

a10 Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: It seems that there is no obstacle on your side, and perhaps there should be even less on mine. Well then, after the sophist, it seems to me that the two of us must search for the statesman. Now tell me: should we posit in the case of this person too that he is one of those

who possess knowledge, or what assumption should we make?

Y.S.: That's what we should assume.

E.S.: In that case we must divide the kinds of knowledge, as we did when we were considering the previous individual?

Y.S.: Perhaps so.

E.S.: But it's not in the same place, Socrates, that I think I see a cut.

Y.S.: Why not?

et E.S.: It's in a different place.

Y.S.: Yes, apparently.

E.S.: So in what direction will one discover the path that leads to the statesman? For we must discover it, and after having separated it

from the rest we must impress one character on it; and having stamped a single different form on the other turnings we must make our mind think of all kinds of knowledge as being two forms.

Y.S: That, I think, is actually for you to do, Stranger, not for me.

E.S.: But, Socrates, it must also be a matter for you, when it becomes clear to us what it is.

Y.S.: You're right.

E.S.: Well then: isn't it the case that arithmetic and some other kinds of expertise that are akin to it don't involve any practical actions, but simply provide knowledge?

παρέσχοντο μόνον;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εστιν ούτως.

ΞΕ. Αἱ δέ γε περὶ τεκτονικὴν αὖ καὶ σύμπασαν χειρουργίαν ὥσπερ ἐν ταῖς πράξεσιν ἐνοῦσαν σύμφυτον τὴν ἐπιστήμην κέκτηνται, καὶ συναποτελοῦσι τὰ γιγνόμενα ὑπὶ αὐτῶν σώματα πρότερον οὐκ ὄντα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Ταύτη τοίνυν συμπάσας ἐπιστήμας διαίρει, τὴν μὲν πρακτικὴν προσειπών, τὴν δὲ μόνον γνωστικήν.

5

10

259

5

b

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Έστω σοι ταῦθ' ὡς μιᾶς ἐπιστήμης τῆς ὅλης εἴδη δύο.

ΞΕ. Πότερον οὖν τὸν πολιτικὸν καὶ βασιλέα καὶ δεσπότην καὶ ἔτ' οἰκονόμον θήσομεν ώς ἕν πάντα ταῦτα προσαγορεύοντες, ἢ τοσαύτας τέχνας αὐτὰς εἶναι φῶμεν ὅσαπερ ὀνόματα ἐρρήθη; μᾶλλον δέ μοι δεῦρο ἕπου.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi \hat{\eta}$ ;

ΞΕ. Τῆδε. εἴ τώ τις τῶν δημοσιευόντων ἰατρῶν ἱκανὸς συμβουλεύειν ἰδιωτεύων αὐτός, ἀρ' οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον αὐτῷ προσαγορεύεσθαι τοὔνομα τῆς τέχνης ταὐτὸν ὅτιερ ῷ συμβουλεύει;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Τί δ'; ὄστις βασιλεύοντι χώρας ἀνδρὶ παραινεῖν δεινὸς ἰδιώτης ὢν αὐτός, ἆρ' οὐ φήσομεν ἔχειν αὐτὸν τὴν ἐπιστήμην ἣν ἔδει τὸν ἄρχοντα αὐτὸν κεκτῆσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φήσομεν,

ΞΕ. `Αλλὰ μὴν ἥ γε ἀληθινοῦ βασιλέως βασιλική;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Ταύτην δὲ ὁ κεκτημένος οὐκ, ἄντε ἄρχων ἄντε ἰδιώτης ὢν τυγχάνη, πάντως κατά γε τὴν τέχνην αὐτὴν βασιλικὸς ὀρθῶς προσρηθήσεται;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δίκαιον γοῦν.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν οἰκονόμος γε καὶ δεστιότης ταὐτόν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; μεγάλης σχήμα οἰκήσεως ἢ σμικρᾶς αὖ πόλεως

e 2 συναποτελοῦσαν e | b 5 (-8) ... προσρηθήσεται; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;  $\Xi E$ . Τὴν ἄρα πολιτικὴν καὶ πολιτικὸν και βασιλικὴν και βασιλικὸν εἰς ταὐτὸν ὡς εν πάντα ταῦτα συνθήσομεν; e (transposed from d 2-4) | b 6 (-9) Δίκαιον γοῦν.  $\Xi E$ . Τὴν ἄρα ... ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον e (transposed from d 3-5)

Y.S.: That's so.

el

e5

e10

**b**5

E.S.: Whereas for their part those kinds of expertise involved in carpentry and manufacture as a whole have their knowledge as it were naturally bound up with practical actions, and use it to complete those material items they cause to come into being from not having been before?

Y.S.: What of that?

E.S.: Well, divide all kinds of knowledge in this way, calling the one practical knowledge, the other purely theoretical.

Y.S.: I grant you these as two kinds of knowledge taken as a single whole.

E.S.: Then shall we posit the statesman and king and slave-master, and the manager of a household as well, as one thing, when we refer to them by all these names, or are we to say that they are as many kinds of expertise as the names we use to refer to them? Or rather, let me take this way, and you follow me.

Y.S.: What way is that?

E.S.: This one. If someone who is himself in private practice is capable of advising a doctor in public employment, isn't it necessary for him to be called by the same professional title as the person he advises?

a5 Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: Well then, won't we say that the person who is clever at giving advice to the king of a country, while being himself a private individual, himself has the expert knowledge that the ruler ought to have possessed? Y.S.: We will.

E.S.: But the knowledge that belongs to the true king is the knowledge of kingship?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And isn't it the case that the person who possesses this, whether he happens to be a ruler or a private citizen, in all circumstances, in virtue of his possession of the expertise itself, will correctly be addressed as an expert in kingship?

Y.S.: That's fair.

E.S.: Next, a household manager and a slave-master are the same thing.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Well then, surely there won't be any difference in relation to

ὄγκος μῶν τι πρὸς ἀρχὴν διοίσετον; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδέν.	(259) 11
ΣΕ. Οὐκοῦν, ὃ νυνδὴ διεσκοπούμεθα, φανερὸν ώς ἐπιστήμη	c
μία περὶ πάντ' ἐστὶ ταῦτα· ταύτην δὲ εἴτε βασιλικὴν εἴτε	•
πολιτικήν είτε οἰκονομικήν τις ὀνομάζει, μηδὲν αὐτῷ	
διαφερώμεθα.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί γάρ;	5
ΞΕ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν τόδε γε δῆλον, ώς βασιλεὺς ἄπας χεροὶ καὶ	
σύμπαντι τῷ σώματι σμίκρ' ἄττα εἰς τὸ κατέχειν τὴν ἀρχὴν	
δύναται πρὸς τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς σύνεσιν καὶ ῥώμην.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . $\Delta$ $\hat{\eta}$ λον.	
ΞΕ. Της δη γνωστικης μαλλον ή της χειροτεχνικης και	10
όλως πρακτικής βούλει τον βασιλέα φῶμεν οἰκειότερον είναι;	d
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;	
ΞΕ. Τὴν ἄρα πολιτικὴν καὶ πολιτικὸν καὶ βασιλικὴν καὶ	
βασιλικὸν εἰς ταὐτὸν ὡς ἕν πάντα ταῦτα συνθήσομεν;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον.	5
ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν πορευοίμεθ' ἂν έξῆς, εἰ μετὰ ταῦτα τὴν	
γνωστικὴν διοριζοίμεθα;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ γε.	
<b>Ξ</b> Ε. Πρόσεχε δὴ τὸν νοῦν ἂν ἄρα ἐν αὐτῆ τινα διαφυὴν	
κατανοήσωμεν.	10
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φράζε ποίαν.	
<b>Ξ</b> Ε. Τοιάνδε. λογιστική πού τις ἡμῖν ἦν τέχνη.	е
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	
<b>Ξ</b> Ε. Τῶν γνωστικῶν γε οἶμαι παντάπασι τεχνῶν.	
NE. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ;	
<b>Ξ</b> Ε. Γνούση δὴ λογιστικῆ τὴν ἐν τοῖς ἀριθμοῖς διαφορὰν	5
μῶν τι πλέον ἔργον δώσομεν ἢ τὰ γνωσθέντα κρῖναι;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;	
ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ἀρχιτέκτων γε πᾶς οὐκ αὐτὸς ἐργατικὸς ἀλλ'	
ἐργατῶν ἄρχων.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	10
ΞΕ. Παρεχόμενός γέ που γνῶσιν ἀλλ' οὐ χειρουργίαν.	

d 2-5: see b 5-6 | e 8 καὶ μὴν n: καὶ γὰρ n, O

ruling between the character of a large household, on the one hand, and the bulk of a small city on the other?

Y.S.: None.

- E.S.: So, in answer to the question we were asking ourselves just now, it's clear that there is one kind of expert knowledge concerned with all these things; whether someone gives this the name of expertise in kingship, or statesmanship, or household management, let's not pick any quarrel with him.
- c5 Y.S.: I agree why should we?

E.S.: But this much is clear, that the power of any king to maintain his rule has little to do with the use of his hands or his body in general in comparison with the understanding and force of his mind.

Y.S.: Clearly.

- c10 E.S.: Then do you want us to assert that the king is more closely
- related to the theoretical kind of knowledge than to the manual or generally practical kind?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: In that case we shall put all these things together – the statesman's knowledge and the statesman, the king's knowledge and the king – as one, and regard them as the same?

d5 Y.S.: Clearly.

E.S.: Well, would we be proceeding in the right order, if after this we divided theoretical knowledge?

Y.S.: Certainly.

410 E.S.: So look closely in case we detect some break in it.

Y.S.: Of what sort? Tell me.

E.S.: Of this sort. We agreed, I think, that there is such a thing as an art of calculation?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And I suppose it belongs absolutely among the theoretical kinds of expertise.

Y.S.: Quite.

E.S.: Because once it recognizes that there is a difference between numbers, there surely isn't any further job we'll assign to it than judging what it has recognized?

Y.S.: No, certainly not.

E.S.: And all master-builders too – they don't act as workers themselves, but manage workers.

e10 Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: In so far - I suppose - as what the master-builder provides is

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὕτως.

 $\Xi E$ . Δικαίως δη μετέχειν  $\ddot{a}v$  λέγοιτο της γνωστικης 260 έπιστήμης.

5

10

b

5

5

ď

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ γε.

ΞΕ. Τούτω δέ γε οἷμαι προσήκει κρίναντι μὴ τέλος ἔχειν μηδ' ἀπηλλάχθαι, καθάπερ ὁ λογιστὴς ἀπήλλακτο, προστάττειν δὲ ἑκάστοις τῶν ἐργατῶν τό γε πρόσφορον ἕως ἂν ἀπεργάσωνται τὸ προσταχθέν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν γνωστικαὶ μὲν αἵ τε τοιαῦται σύμπασαι καὶ ὁπόσαι συνέπονται τῆ λογιστικῆ, κρίσει δὲ καὶ ἐπιτάξει διαφέρετον ἀλλήλοιν τούτω τὼ γένη;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Phi\alpha$ iνεσθον.

ΞΕ. <sup>†</sup>Αρ' οὖν συμπάσης τῆς γνωστικῆς εἰ τὸ μὲν ἐπιτακτικὸν μέρος, τὸ δὲ κριτικὸν διαιρούμενοι προσείποιμεν, ἐμμελῶς ἂν φαῖμεν διηρῆσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κατά γε τὴν ἐμὴν δόξαν.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν τοῖς γε κοινῆ τι πράττουσιν ἀγαπητὸν ὁμονοεῖν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς δ' οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τούτου τοίνυν μέχριπερ ἂν αὐτοὶ κοινωνῶμεν, ἐατέον 10 τά γε τῶν ἄλλων δοξάσματα χαίρειν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Φέρε δή, τούτοιν τοῖν τέχναιν ἡμῖν τὸν βασιλικὸν ἐν ποτέρα θετέον; ἀρ' ἐν τῆ κριτικῆ, καθάπερ τινὰ θεατήν, ἢ μᾶλλον τῆς ἐπιτακτικῆς ὡς ὄντα αὐτὸν τέχνης θήσομεν, δεσπόζοντά γε;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὐ μᾶλλον;

ΞΕ. Τὴν ἐπιτακτικὴν δὴ τέχνην πάλιν ἂν εἴη θεατέον εἴ πη διέστηκεν. καί μοι δοκεῖ τῆδέ πη, καθάπερ ἡ τῶν καπήλων τέχνη τῆς τῶν αὐτοπωλῶν διώρισται τέχνης, καὶ τὸ βασιλικὸν γένος ἔοικεν ἀπὸ τοῦ τῶν κηρύκων γένους ἀφωρίσθαι.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ :

understanding rather than manual labour.

Y.S.: Just so.

E.S.: It would be right to say, then, that he has a share in the theoretical kind of knowledge.

Y.S.: Certainly.

E.S.: But it belongs to him, I think, once he has given his professional judgement, not to be finished or to take his leave, in the way that the expert in calculation took his, but to assign whatever is the appropriate task to each group of workers until they complete what has been assigned to them.

Y.S.: That's correct.

E.S.: So both all kinds of knowledge of this sort and all those that go along with the art of calculation are theoretical, but these two groups differ from each other in so far as one makes judgements, while the other directs?

Y.S.: They appear to do so.

E.S. So if we divided off two parts of theoretical knowledge as a whole, referring to one as directive and the other as making judgements, would we say that it had been divided suitably?

Y.S.: Yes, at least according to my view.

E.S.: But if people are doing something together, it is enough if they agree with one another.

Y.S.: Quite.

ы

**b**5

E.S.: So for as long as we are sharing in the present task, we should say goodbye to what everybody else may think.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: So tell me: in which of these two kinds of expertise should we locate the expert in kingship? In the one concerned with making judgements, as if he were some sort of spectator, or shall we rather locate him as belonging to the directive kind of expertise, seeing that he is master of others?

es Y.S.: In the second, of course.

E.S.: Then we should need to look at the directive kind of expertise in its turn, to see if it divides somewhere. And to me it seems that it does so hereabouts: in the way that the expertise of the retail-dealer is distinguished from that of the producer who sells his own products, so the class of kings is get apart from the class of herelds.

d1 the class of kings is set apart from the class of heralds.

Y.S.: How so?

ΞΕ. Πωληθέντα που πρότερον ἔργα ἀλλότρια παραδεχόμενοι δεύτερον πωλοῦσι πάλιν οἱ κάπηλοι.

5

10

261

10

ь

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ τὸ κηρυκικὸν φῦλον ἐπιταχθέντ' ἀλλότρια νοήματα παραδεχόμενον αὐτὸ δεύτερον ἐπιτάττει πάλιν ἐτέροις.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα.

ΞΕ. Τί οὖν; εἰς ταὐτὸν μείξομεν βασιλικὴν ἐρμηνευτικῆ, κελευστικῆ, μαντικῆ, κηρυκικῆ, καὶ πολλαῖς ἐτέραις τούτων τέχναις συγγενέσιν, αἱ σύμπασαι τό γ' ἐπιτάττειν ἔχουσιν; ἢ βούλει, καθάπερ ἢ κάζομεν νυνδή, καὶ τοὔνομα παρεικάσωμεν, ἐπειδὴ καὶ σχεδὸν ἀνώνυμον ὂν τυγχάνει τὸ τῶν αὐτεπιτακτῶν γένος, καὶ ταύτη ταῦτα διελώμεθα, τὸ μὲν τῶν βασιλέων γένος εἰς τὴν αὐτεπιτακτικὴν θέντες, τοῦ δὲ ἄλλου παντὸς ἀμελήσαντες, ὄνομα ἔτερον αὐτοῖς παραχωρήσαντες θέσθαι τινά; τοῦ γὰρ ἄρχοντος ἕνεκα ἡμῖν ἡ μέθοδος ἦν ἀλλ' οὐχὶ τοῦ ἐναντίου.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΣΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἐπειδὴ τοῦτο μετρίως ἀφέστηκεν ἀπ' ἐκείνων, ἀλλοτριότητι διορισθὲν πρὸς οἰκειότητα, τοῦτο αὐτὸ πάλιν αὖ διαιρεῖν ἀναγκαῖον, εἴ τινα τομὴν ἔτι ἔχομεν ὑπείκουσαν ἐν τούτω;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ γε.

**ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν φαινόμεθα ἔχειν ἀλλ' ἐπακολουθῶν σύντεμνε.** 

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi$   $\hat{\eta}$ :

ΞΕ. Πάντας ὁπόσους ἂν ἄρχοντας διανοηθῶμεν ἐπιτάξει προσχρωμένους ἆρ' οὐχ εὑρήσομεν γενέσεώς τινος ἕνεκα προστάττοντας;

NE. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ;

 $\Xi E$ . Καὶ μὴν τά γε γιγνόμενα πάντα δίχα διαλαβεῖν οὐ παντάπασι χαλεπόν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi \hat{\eta}$ ;

ΞΕ. Τὰ μὲν ἄψυχα αὐτῶν ἐστί που συμπάντων, τὰ δ' ἔμψυχα.

d 7 έπιταχθέντα άλλότρια n: ἐπιταχθέν τάλλότρια n

E.S.: The retailer, I think, takes over someone else's products, which have previously been sold, and sells them on, for a second time.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, the class of heralds takes over directions that have been thought up by someone else, and itself issues them for a second time to another group.

d10 Y.S.: Very true.

d5

**a**5

E.S.: So – shall we mix together the expertise of the king with that of the interpreter, the person who gives the time to the rowers, the seer, the herald, and many other kinds of expertise related to these, just because they all have the feature of issuing directions? Or do you want us to make up a name in line with the analogy we were using just now, since in fact the class of 'self-directors' happens pretty much to be without a name of its own, and should we divide these things this way, locating the class of kings as belonging to the 'self-directing' type of expertise, and taking no notice of all the rest, leaving someone else to propose another name for them? For we set up our investigation in order to find the person who rules, not his opposite.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, since this is at a certain distance from them, distinguished by difference in relation to kinship, we must in turn divide this too, if we still find some cut yielding to us in it?

Y.S.: Certainly.

E.S.: And what's more, we seem to have one: follow on and make the cut with me.

Y.S.: Where?

E.S.: All those in control of others that we can think of as employing directions – we shall find them issuing their directions, won't we, for the sake of something's coming into being?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: And it's not at all difficult to separate into two all of those things that come into being.

Y.S.: How?

E.S.: I imagine that, of all of them taken together, some are inanimate and some are animate.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

 $\Xi E$ . Τούτοις δέ γε αὐτοῖς τὸ τοῦ γνωστικοῦ μέρος 10 ἐπιτακτικὸν ὄν, εἴπερ βουλόμεθα τέμνειν, τεμοῦμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κατὰ τί;

ΞΕ. Τὸ μὲν ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν ἀψύχων γενέσεσιν αὐτοῦ τάττοντες, τὸ δ' ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν ἐμψύχων καὶ πᾶν οὕτως ἤδη διαιρήσεται δίχα.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Παντάπασί  $\gamma\epsilon$ .

ΞΕ. Τὸ μὲν τοίνυν αὐτῶν παραλίπωμεν, τὸ δ' ἀναλάβωμεν, ἀναλαβόντες δὲ μερισώμεθα εἰς δύο τὸ σύμπαν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγεις δ' αὐτοῖν ἀναληπτέον εἶναι πότερον;

ΞΕ. Πάντως που τὸ περὶ τὰ ζῷα ἐπιτακτικόν. οὐ γὰρ δὴ τό γε τῆς βασιλικῆς ἐπιστήμης ἐστί ποτε τῶν ἀψύχων ἐπιστατοῦν, οἶον ἀρχιτεκτονικόν, ἀλλὰ γενναιότερον, ἐν τοῖς ζῷοις καὶ περὶ αὐτὰ ταῦτα τὴν δύναμιν ἀεὶ κεκτημένον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς.

ΣΕ. Τήν γε μὴν τῶν ζώων γένεσιν καὶ τροφὴν τὴν μέν τις ἄν ἴδοι μονοτροφίαν οὖσαν, τὴν δὲ κοινῆ τῶν ἐν ταῖς ἀγέλαις θρεμμάτων ἐπιμέλειαν.

5

10

262

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλ' οὐ μὴν τόν γε πολιτικὸν εὑρήσομεν ἰδιοτρόφον, ώσπερ βοηλάτην ἤ τινα ἱπποκόμον, ἀλλ' ἱπποφορβῷ τε καὶ βουφορβῷ μᾶλλον προσεοικότα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεταί γε δὴ ἡηθὲν νῦν.

ΞΕ. Πότερον οὖν τῆς ζωοτροφίας τὴν τῶν συμπόλλων κοινὴν τροφὴν ἀγελαιοτροφίαν ἢ κοινοτροφικήν τινα ὀνομάζωμεν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Όπότερον ἂν ἐν τῷ λόγω συμβαίνη.

ΞΕ. Καλώς γε, ὧ Σώκρατες κἂν διαφυλάξης τὸ μὴ σπουδάζειν ἐτὶὶ τοῖς ὀνόμασιν, πλουσιώτερος εἰς τὸ γῆρας ἀναφανήση φρονήσεως. νῦν δὲ τοῦτο μέν, καθάπερ διακελεύη, ποιητέον τὴν δὲ ἀγελαιοτροφικὴν ἆρ' ἐννοεῖς πῆ τις δίδυμον ἀποφήνας τὸ ζητούμενον ἐν διπλασίοισι τὰ νῦν ἐν τοῖς ἡμίσεσιν εἰς τότε ποιήσει ζητεῖοθαι;

c 1 ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν  $\mathbf{n}$ : ἐπὶ τῶν  $\mathbf{n}$  |  $\mathbf{d}$   $\mathbf{d}$  κοινῆν  $\mathbf{m}$ , O |  $\mathbf{e}$   $\mathbf{d}$  ὀνομάζωμεν  $\mathbf{m}$ : ὀνομάζομεν  $\mathbf{m}$ , O |  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{d}$  διπλασίοισι  $\mathbf{e}$ : διπλασίοις ἢ  $\mathbf{m}$ 

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And it's by these very things that we'll cut the part of the theoretical which is directive, if indeed we wish to cut it.

Y.S.: How?

c5

d1

E.S.: By assigning part of it to the production of inanimate things, part to that of animate things; and in this way it will all immediately be divided into two.

Y.S.: I agree absolutely.

E.S.: So then let's leave one of these parts to one side, and take up the other; and then let's divide the whole of it into two parts.

Y.S.: Which of the two parts do you say we should take up?

E.S.: I suppose it must be the one that issues directions in relation to living creatures. For surely it is not the case that the expert knowledge that belongs to a king is ever something that oversees inanimate things, as if it were the knowledge of the master-builder; it is something nobler, which always has its power among living

is something nobler, which always has its power among living creatures and in relation to just these.

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: Now, as one can observe, either the production and rearing of living creatures is done singly, or it is a caring for creatures together in herds.

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: But we'll certainly not find the statesman rearing individual creatures, like some ox-driver or groom, but rather resembling a horse-breeder or cowherd.

d10 Y.S.: It certainly seems so, now you say it.

E.S.: Well then: when it comes to rearing living creatures, are we to call the shared rearing of many creatures together a sort of 'herdrearing' or 'collective rearing'?

Y.S.: Whichever turns out to fit, in the course of the argument.

e5 E.S.: Well said, Socrates; and if you persevere in not paying serious attention to names, you will be seen to be richer in wisdom as you advance to old age. But now we must do just as you instruct; and do you see how by showing the collective rearing of herds to be twin in form one will make what is now being sought in double the field then

form one will make what is now being sought in double the field then to be sought in half of that?

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Προθυμήσομαι. καί μοι δοκεί τῶν μὲν ἀνθρώπων (262) ἐτέρα τις εἶναι, τῶν δ' αὖ θηρίων ἄλλη τροφή.

ΞΕ. Παντάπασί γε προθυμότατα καὶ ἀνδρειότατα διήρησαι· μὴ μέντοι τοῦτό γε εἰς αὖθις κατὰ δύναμιν πάσχωμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Μὴ σμικρὸν μόριον ἕν πρὸς μεγάλα καὶ πολλὰ ἀφαιρῶμεν, μηδὲ εἴδους χωρίς ἀλλὰ τὸ μέρος ἄμα εἶδος ἐχέτω. κάλλιστον μὲν γὰρ ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων εὐθὺς διαχωρίζειν τὸ ζητούμενον, ἄν ὀρθῶς ἔχη, καθάπερ ὀλίγον σὺ πρότερον οἰηθεὶς ἔχειν τὴν διαίρεσιν ἐπέσπευσας τὸν λόγον, ἰδὼν ἐπ' ἀνθρώπους πορευόμενον ἀλλὰ γάρ, ὧ φίλε, λεπτουργεῖν οὐκ ἀσφαλές, διὰ μέσων δὲ ἀσφαλέστερον ἰέναι τέμνοντας, καὶ μᾶλλον ἰδέαις ἄν τις προστυγχάνοι. τοῦτο δὲ διαφέρει τὸ πῶν πρὸς τὰς ζητήσεις.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς, ὧ ξένε, λέγεις τοῦτο;

ΞΕ. Πειρατέον ἔτι σαφέστερον φράζειν εὐνοία τῆς σῆς φύσεως, ὧ Σώκρατες. ἐν τῷ μὲν οὖν παρεστηκότι τὰ νῦν δηλῶσαι μηδὲν ἐνδεῶς ἀδύνατον ἐπιχειρητέον δέ τι καὶ σμικρῷ πλέον αὐτὸ προαγαγεῖν εἰς τὸ πρόσθεν σαφηνείας ἕνεκα.

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποῖον οὖν δὴ φράζεις διαιρουμένους ἡμᾶς οὖκ ὀρθῶς ἄρτι δρᾶν;

10

d

5

ΞΕ. Τοιόνδε, οἶον εἴ τις τἀνθρώπινον ἐπιχειρήσας δίχα διελέσθαι γένος διαιροῖ καθάπερ οἱ πολλοὶ τῶν ἐνθάδε διανέμουσι, τὸ μὲν 'Ελληνικὸν ὡς ε̈ν ἀπὸ πάντων ἀφαιροῦντες χωρίς, σύμπασι δὲ τοῖς ἄλλοις γένεσιν, ἀπείροις οὖσι καὶ ἀμείκτοις καὶ ἀσυμφώνοις πρὸς ἄλληλα, βάρβαρον μιᾶ κλήσει προσειπόντες αὐτὸ διὰ ταύτην τὴν μίαν κλῆσιν καὶ γένος ε̈ν αὐτὸ εἶναι προσδοκῶσιν· ἢ τὸν ἀριθμόν τις αὖ νομίζοι κατ' εἴδη δύο διαιρεῖν μυριάδα ἀποτεμνόμενος ἀπὸ πάντων, ὡς ε̈ν εἶδος ἀποχωρίζων, καὶ τῷ λοιπῷ δὴ παντὶ θέμενος ε̈ν ὄνομα διὰ τὴν κλῆσιν αὖ καὶ τοῦτ' ἀξιοῖ γένος ἐκείνου χωρὶς ἔτερον ε̈ν γίγνεσθαι. κάλλιον δέ που και μᾶλλον κατ' εἴδη καὶ δίχα διαιροῖτ' ἄν, εἰ τὸν μὲν ἀριθμὸν

- (262) Y.S.: I shall try my hardest. It seems to me that there is a different sort of rearing of human beings, and in turn another sort where animals are concerned.
- E.S.: Yes, absolutely, you've made a very keen and courageous division! But let's try to avoid *this* happening to us again.

Y.S.: What sort of thing?

c5

E.S.: Let's not take off one small part on its own, leaving many large ones behind, and without reference to classes; let the part bring a class along with it. It's a really fine thing to separate off immediately what one is searching for from the rest, if one gets it right — as you thought you had the right division, just before, and hurried the argument on,

seeing it leading to human beings; but in fact, my friend, it's not safe to make thin cuts; it's safer to go along cutting through the middle of things, and that way one will be more likely to encounter classes.

This makes all the difference in relation to philosophical investigations.

Y.S.: What do you mean by this, Stranger?

E.S.: I must try to tell you still more clearly, Socrates, out of good will towards your natural endowments. In the present circumstances, I have to say, it is impossible to show what I mean with absolute completeness; but I must bring it just a little further forward for the sake of clarity.

Y.S.: Well then, what sort of thing are you saying that we weren't doing right just now in our divisions?

E.S.: This sort of thing: it's as if someone tried to divide the human race into two and made the cut in the way that most people here carve things up, taking the Greek race away as one, separate from all the rest, and to all the other races together, which are unlimited in number, which don't mix with one another, and don't share the same language – they call this collection by the single appellation 'barbarian', and because of this single appellation they expect it to be a single family-class too; another example would be if someone

the number ten-thousand from all the rest, separating it off as a single class, and in positing a single name for all the rest supposed here too that through getting the name this class too came into existence, a second single one apart from the other. But I imagine the division

thought that he was dividing number into two classes by cutting off

άρτίω καὶ περιττῷ τις τέμνοι, τὸ δὲ αὖ τῶν ἀνθρώπων γένος ἄρρενι καὶ θήλει, Λυδοὺς δὲ ἢ Φρύγας ἤ τινας ἐτέρους πρὸς ἄπαντας τάττων ἀποσχίζοι τότε, ἡνίκα ἀποροῖ γένος ἄμα καὶ μέρος εὑρίσκειν ἑκάτερον τῶν σχισθέντων.

**263** ἄν

5

10

d

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Όρθότατα ἀλλὰ γὰρ τοῦτο αὐτό, ὧ ξένε, πῶς ἄν τις γένος καὶ μέρος ἐναργέστερον γνοίη, ὡς οὐ ταὐτόν ἐστον ἀλλὶ ἔτερον ἀλλήλοιν;

ΞΕ. \*Ω βέλτιστε ἀνδρῶν, οὐ φαῦλον προστάττεις, Σώκρατες. ἡμεῖς μὲν καὶ νῦν μακροτέραν τοῦ δέοντος ἀπὸ τοῦ προτεθέντος λόγου πεπλανήμεθα, σὺ δὲ ἔτι πλέον ἡμᾶς κελεύεις πλανηθῆναι. νῦν μὲν οὖν, ὥσπερ εἰκός, ἐπανίωμεν πάλιν ταῦτα δὲ εἰς αὖθις κατὰ σχολὴν καθάπερ ἰχνεύοντες μέτιμεν. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ τοῦτό γε αὖ παντάπασιν φύλαξαι, μή ποτε παρ' ἐμοῦ δόξης αὐτὸ ἐναργῶς διωρισμένον ἀκηκοέναι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Εἶδός τε καὶ μέρος ἔτερον ἀλλήλων εἶναι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. 'Ως είδος μὲν ὅταν ἡ του, καὶ μέρος αὐτὸ ἀναγκαῖον είναι τοῦ πράγματος ὅτουπερ ἂν είδος λέγηται μέρος δὲ είδος οὐδεμία ἀνάγκη. ταύτη με ἢ 'κείνη μᾶλλον, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀεὶ φάθι λέγειν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ταῦτ' ἔσται.

ΞΕ. Φράσον δή μοι τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Τὸ τῆς ἀποπλανήσεως ὁπόθεν ἡμᾶς δεῦρ' ἤγαγεν. οἷμαι μὲν γὰρ μάλιστα, ὅθεν ἐρωτηθεὶς σὺ τὴν ἀγελαιοτροφίαν ὅπη διαιρετέον εἶπες μάλα προθύμως δύ' εἶναι ζώων γένη, τὸ μὲν ἀνθρώπινον, ἔτερον δὲ τῶν ἄλλων συμπάντων θηρίων ἕν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθῆ.

ΞΕ. Καὶ ἔμοιγε δὴ τότ' ἐφάνης μέρος ἀφαιρῶν ἡγεῖσθαι καταλιπεῖν τὸ λοιπὸν αὖ πάντων γένος ἕν, ὅτι πᾶσι ταὐτὸν ἐπονομάζειν ἔσχες ὄνομα, θηρία καλέσας.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. ٵν καὶ ταῦτα οὕτως.

 $\Xi E$ . Τὸ δέ  $\gamma \epsilon$ ,  $\hat{\omega}$  πάντων ἀνδρειότατε, τάχ' ἄν, εἴ που

b 9 είδος e: είδους m

would be done better, more by classes and more into two, if one cut number by means of even and odd, and the human race in its turn by means of male and female, and only split off Lydians or Phrygians or anyone else and ranged them against all the rest when one was at a loss as to how to split in such a way that each of the halves split off

Y.S.: Quite right; but this very thing – how is one to see it more plainly, that class and part are not the same but different from each other?

E.S.: An excellent response, Socrates, but what you demand is no light thing. We have already wandered far away from the discussion we proposed, and you are telling us to wander even more. Well, as for now, let's go back to where we were, which seems the reasonable thing to do; and these other things we'll pursue like trackers on another occasion, when we have the time. However, there is one thing you must absolutely guard against, and that is ever to suppose that you have heard from me a plain account of the matter.

Y.S.: Which?

**b**5

ь10

c5

d1

E.S.: That class and part are different from each other.

Y.S.: What should I say I have heard from you?

E.S.: That whenever there is a class of something, it is necessarily also a part of whatever thing it is called a class of, but it is not at all necessary that a part is a class. You must always assert, Socrates, that this is what I say rather than the other way round.

Y.S.: I shall do just that.

et E.S.: Tell me, then, about the next thing.

was simultaneously class and part.

Y.S.: What's that?

E.S.: The point from which our digression brought us to where we are now. I think it was pretty much the point at which you were asked how to divide herd-rearing, and you said with great keenness that there were two classes of living creatures, one human, and a second single one consisting of all the rest – the animals – together.

Y.S.: True.

E.S.: And to me you appeared then to think that in taking away a part you had left behind the rest as in its turn a single class, consisting of all of them, because you had the same name, 'animals', to apply to them all.

Y.S.: This too was as you say.

E.S.: And yet, my courageous friend, maybe, if by chance there is

φρόνιμόν ἐστί τι ζῷον ἔτερον, οἶον δοκεῖ τὸ τῶν γεράνων, η τι τοιοῦτον ἄλλο, ὃ κατὰ ταὐτὰ ἴσως διονομάζει καθάπερ καὶ σύ, γεράνους μεν εν γένος αντιτιθέν τοις άλλοις ζώοις καί σεμνῦνον αὐτὸ ἐαυτό, τὰ δὲ ἄλλα μετὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων συλλαβὸν είς ταὐτὸ οὐδὲν ἄλλο πλὴν ἴσως θηρία προσείποι. πειραθώμεν οὖν ἡμεῖς ἐξευλαβεῖσθαι πάνθ' ὁπόσα τοιαῦτα. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς: Μὴ πᾶν τὸ τῶν ζώων γένος διαιρούμενοι, ἵνα ἦττον ΞE. αὐτὰ πάσχωμεν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Oὐδὲν γὰρ δεῖ. 5 Καὶ γὰρ οὖν καὶ τότε ἡμαρτάνετο ταύτη. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί δή: Της γνωστικης όσον ἐπιτακτικὸν ἡμίν μέρος ην που ΞE. τοῦ ζωοτροφικοῦ γένους, ἀγελαίων μὴν ζώων. ἡ γάρ; NF.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Naí. 10 Διήρητο τοίνυν ήδη καὶ τότε σύμπαν τὸ ζώον τώ 264 τιθασῷ καὶ ἀγρίῳ. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἔχοντα τιθασεύεσθαι φύσιν ήμερα προσείρηται, τὰ δὲ μὴ ἔχοντα ἄγρια. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς. ΞΕ. "Ην δέ γε θηρεύομεν ἐπιστήμην, ἐν τοῖς ἡμέροις ἦν τε καὶ ἔστιν, ἐπὶ τοῖς ἀγελαίοις μὴν ζητητέα θρέμμασιν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Nαí. Μὴ τοίνυν διαιρώμεθα ὥσπερ τότε πρὸς ἄπαντα ΞE. ἀποβλέψαντες, μηδὲ σπεύσαντες, ίνα δὴ ταχὺ γενώμεθα πρὸς τῆ πολιτικῆ, πεποίηκε γὰρ ἡμᾶς καὶ νῦν παθεῖν τὸ κατὰ τὴν παροιμίαν πάθος. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποῖον: **ΞΕ**. Οὐχ ἡσύχους εὖ διαιροῦντας ἠνυκέναι βραδύτερον. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ καλώς γε,  $\tilde{\omega}$  ξένε, πεποίηκε. 5 ΞE. Ταῦτ' ἔστω. πάλιν δ' οὖν ἐξ ἀρχῆς τὴν κοινοτροφικὴν πειρώμεθα διαιρείν ἴσως γάρ καὶ τοῦτο ος σὸ προθυμή διαπεραινόμενος ὁ λόγος αὐτός σοι κάλλιον μηνύσει. καί μοι

10

Ποιον δή:

φράζε. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. some other animal which is rational, as for example the crane seems to be, or some other such creature, and which perhaps distributes names on the same principles as you, it might oppose cranes as one class to all other living creatures and give itself airs, taking all the rest together with human beings and putting them into the same category,

which it would call by no other name except – perhaps – 'animals'. So let's try to be very wary of everything of this sort.

Y.S.: How?

E.S.: By not dividing the class of living creatures as a whole, in order to lessen the risk of its happening to us.

e5 Y.S.: Yes, we must certainly avoid it.

E.S.: Yes; and we were going wrong in this way just at that point.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: Of that theoretical knowledge which was directive we had a part, I think, of the class concerned with rearing living creatures, one which was concerned with creatures living in herds. True?

e10 Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: Well then, living creatures as a whole together had in that case already at that point been divided by the categories of domesticated and wild; for those that have a nature amenable to domestication are called tame, and those who resist it are called wild.

Y.S.: Right.

E.S.: But the knowledge we are hunting had to be and still is concerned with tame things, and must be looked for with reference to herd animals.

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: Well then, let's not divide in the way we did then, looking at everything, or in a hurry, just in order to get quickly to statesmanship. It has already put us in the proverbial situation.

Y.S.: What situation is that?

E.S.: That by not quietly getting on with dividing properly we have got to our destination more slowly.

Y.S.: Yes, Stranger, and a fine situation it is!

E.S.: If you say so. In any case, let's go back and try again from the beginning to divide collective rearing; perhaps, as we go through it in detail, the argument itself will be better able to reveal to you what you are so keen to find. Tell me this.

b10 Y.S.: What?

ΞΕ. Τόδε, εἴ τινων πολλάκις ἄρα διακήκοας οὐ γὰρ δὴ προστυχής γε αὐτὸς οἶδ' ὅτι γέγονας ταῖς ἐν τῷ Νείλῳ τιθασείαις τῶν ἰχθύων καὶ τῶν ἐν ταῖς βασιλικαῖς λίμναις. ἐν μὲν γὰρ κρήναις τάχ' ἂν ἴσως εἴης ἠσθημένος.

ΝΕ. Σ $\Omega$ . Πάνυ μὲν οὖν καὶ ταθτα τεθέαμαι κἀκεθνα πολλών ἀκήκοα.

5

5

10

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν χηνοβωτίας γε καὶ γερανοβωτίας, εἰ καὶ μὴ πεπλάνησαι περὶ τὰ Θετταλικὰ πεδία, πέπυσαι γοῦν καὶ πιστεύεις εἶναι.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Τοῦδ' ἔνεκά τοι πάντα ἠρώτησα ταῦτα, διότι τῆς τῶν ἀγελαίων τροφῆς ἔστι μὲν ἔνυδρον, ἔστι δὲ καὶ ξηροβατικόν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ἔστι γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. \*Αρ' οὖν καὶ σοὶ συνδοκεῖ ταύτη δεῖν διχάζειν τὴν κοινοτροφικὴν ἐπιστήμην, ἐφ' ἑκατέρω τούτων τὸ μέρος αὐτῆς ἐπινέμοντας ἑκάτερον, τὸ μὲν ἔτερον ὑγροτροφικὸν ὀνομάζοντας, τὸ δ' ἔτερον ξηροτροφικόν;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . "Εμοιγε.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν καὶ τὸ βασιλικὸν οὕτως οὐ ζητήσομεν ὁποτέρας ἐστὶ τῆς τέχνης δῆλον γὰρ δὴ παντί.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὖ;

ΞΕ. Πᾶς μὲν δὴ τό γε ξηροτροφικὸν τῆς ἀγελαιοτροφίας διέλοιτ' ἄν φῦλον.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ :

ΞΕ. Τῷ πτηνῷ τε καὶ πεζῷ διορισάμενος.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα.

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τὸ πολιτικὸν οὐ περὶ τὸ πεζὸν ζητητέον; ἢ οὐκ οἴει καὶ τὸν ἀφρονέστατον ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν δοξάζειν οὕτως;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Sigma\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$ .

ΞΕ. Τὴν δὲ πεζονομικήν, καθάπερ ἄρτιον ἀριθμόν, δεῖ τεμνομένην δίχα ἀποφαίνειν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Delta$  $\hat{\eta}$ λον.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ἐφ' ὅ γε μέρος ὥρμηκεν ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος, ἐπ' 265 ἐκεῖνο δύο τινὲ καθορᾶν ὁδὼ τεταμένα φαίνεται, τὴν μὲν

 E.S.: This – I wonder if perhaps you've heard about it from others? You certainly haven't yourself any direct acquaintance, I know, with the instances of fish-rearing in the Nile and in the King's ponds. In ornamental fountains, at any rate, you may perhaps have seen them.

Y.S.: Absolutely – I've both seen these and heard about the others from many people.

E.S.: And again, examples of goose-rearing and crane-rearing – even if you haven't travelled over the plains of Thessaly, you've certainly heard about these and believe that they exist.

Y.S.: Of course.

c5

d1 E.S.: Look, it's for this purpose that I've asked you all this: of the rearing of herd animals, some has to do with creatures living in water, some also with creatures that live on dry land.

Y.S.: It does.

E.S.: Do you agree, then, that we must split the expert knowledge of collective rearing into two in this way, allocating one of its two parts to each of these, calling one aquatic rearing, the other dry-land-rearing?

Y.S.: I do.

E.S.: And we certainly shan't ask, in this case, to which of the two kinds of expertise kingship belongs; it's quite clear to anyone.

Y.S.: Ouite.

E.S.: Everybody would divide the dry-land-rearing sort of herd-rearing.

e5 Y.S.: How?

E.S.: By separating it by reference to the winged and what goes on foot.

Y.S.: Very true.

E.S.: Well then – mustn't we look for statesmanship in relation to what goes on foot? Or don't you think that practically even the simplest of minds supposes so?

e10 Y.S.: I do.

E.S.: And the expertise to do with the management of creatures that go on foot – we must show it being cut into two, like an even number. Y.S.: Clearly.

E.S.: Now it seems that there are two routes to be seen stretching out in the direction of the part towards which our argument has hurried,

θάττω, πρὸς μέγα μέρος σμικρὸν διαιρουμένην, τὴν δέ, ὅπερ (265)έν τῷ πρόσθεν ἐλέγομεν ὅτι δεῖ μεσοτομεῖν ώς μάλιστα, τοῦτ' ἔχουσαν μᾶλλον, μακροτέραν γε μήν. ἔξεστιν οὖν όποτέραν ἂν βουληθώμεν, ταύτην πορευθήναι. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; ἀμφοτέρας ἀδύνατον; ΞE. "Αμα γ', ὧ θαυμαστέ· ἐν μέρει γε μὴν δῆλον ὅτι δυνατόν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Έν μέρει τοίνυν ἔγωγε ἀμφοτέρας αίροῦμαι. 'Ράδιον, ἐπειδὴ τὸ λοιπὸν βραχύ κατ' ἀρχὰς μὴν καὶ μεσούσιν άμα της πορείας χαλεπόν αν ην ήμιν τὸ πρόσταγμα, νῦν δ', ἐπειδὴ δοκεῖ ταύτη, τὴν μακροτέραν πρότερον ζωμεν νεαλέστεροι γάρ ὄντες ράον αὐτὴν 5 πορευσόμεθα. τὴν δὲ δὴ διαίρεσιν ὅρα. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon$ . Τὰ πεζὰ ἡμῖν τῶν ἡμέρων, ὅσαπερ ἀγελαῖα, διηρημένα ΞE. έστι φύσει δίχα. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τίνι: 10 Τῷ τῶν μὲν τὴν γένεσιν ἄκερων εἶναι, τῶν δὲ ΞE. κερασφόρου. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Φαίνεται. Τὴν δὴ πεζονομικὴν διελών ἀπόδος έκατέρω τῷ μέρει, λόγω χρώμενος. αν γαρ ονομάζειν αὐτά βουληθης, ἔσται σοι περιπεπλεγμένον μαλλον τοῦ δέοντος. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς οὖν χρὴ λέγειν; 5 \*Ωδε· τῆς πεζονομικῆς ἐπιστήμης δίχα διαιρεθείσης τὸ μόριον θάτερον ἐπὶ τῷ κερασφόρῳ μέρει τῷ τῆς ἀγέλης έπιτετάχθαι, τὸ δὲ ἕτερον ἐπὶ τῷ τῆς ἀκεράτου. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ταῦτ' ἔστω ταύτη λεχθέντα πάντως γὰρ ἱκανῶς δεδήλωται. Καὶ μὴν ὅ γε βασιλεὺς ἡμῖν αὖ καταφανὴς ὅτι κολοβόν

d 3-4 κολοβόν τινα ἀγέλην ἀκεράτων  $\mathbf{n}$ : κολοβόν ἀγέλην τινὰ κεράτων  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $O \mid d$  6 γιγνόμενον  $\mathbf{e}$ : κινούμενον  $\mathbf{m}$ 

Ταύτην τοίνυν καταθραύσαντες τὸ γιγνόμενον αὐτῷ

5

τινα ἀγέλην ἀκεράτων νομεύει.

πειρώμεθα ἀποδοῦναι.

Πώς γὰρ οὐ δῆλος;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .

one of them quicker, dividing a small part off against a large one, while the other more closely observes the principle we were talking

about earlier, that one should cut in the middle as much as possible, but is longer. We can go down whichever of the two routes we like.

Y.S.: What if I were to ask if it is impossible to follow both?

E.S.: An extraordinary suggestion, if you mean both at once; but clearly it is possible to take each in turn.

У.S.: Then I opt for taking both, in turn.

E.S.: That's easy, since the part that remains is short; if we had been at the beginning or in the middle of our journey, the instruction would have been difficult to carry out. As it is, since you think we should

take this option, let's go down the longer route first; while we are fresher we'll travel it more easily. Observe the division.

Y.S.: Tell me what it is.

E.S.: Of tame things that live in herds, we find those that go on foot naturally divided into two.

ыо Y.S.: By what?

E.S.: By the fact that some of them come into being without horns, some with horns.

ct Y.S.: Evidently.

E.S.: Well then, divide the management of creatures that go on foot by assigning it to each of these two parts, using a description for the results of the division. For if you want to give them names, it will be more complicated than necessary.

c5 Y.S.: How then should it be put?

E.S.: Like this: by saying that when the knowledge that has to do with the management of creatures that go by foot is divided into two, one section is allocated to the horned part of the herd, the other to the hornless part.

41 Y.S.: Let it be put like this; in any case it's sufficiently clear.

E.S.: Now, as for the next step, it's perfectly obvious to us that the king tends a stunted herd of hornless creatures.

d5 Y.S.: How couldn't it be clear?

E.S.: So by breaking this up let's try to assign what falls to him.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ γε.

Πότερον οὖν βούλει τῷ σχιστῷ τε καὶ τῷ καλουμένῳ μώνυχι διαιρείν αὐτὴν ἢ τῆ κοινογονία τε καὶ ἰδιογονία; μανθάνεις γάρ που.

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον:

Ότι τὸ μὲν τῶν ἵππων καὶ ὄνων πέφυκεν ἐξ ἀλλήλων γεννᾶν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ναί.

Τὸ δέ γε λοιπὸν ἔτι τῆς λείας ἀγέλης τῶν ἡμέρων άμιγὲς γένει πρὸς ἄλληλα.

5

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πώς δ' οὔ:

Τί δ'; ὁ πολιτικὸς ἄρ' ἐπιμέλειαν ἔχειν φαίνεται πότερα κοινογενοῦς φύσεως ἤ τινος ἰδιογενοῦς;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον ὅτι τῆς ἀμείκτου.

ΞE. Ταύτην δη δεί καθάπερ τὰ ἔμπροσθεν, ώς ἔοικεν, ήμᾶς 10 δίχα διαστέλλειν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Delta \in \hat{i}$   $\gamma \hat{a} \rho$   $o \hat{i} \nu$ .

Καὶ μὴν τό γε ζῷον, ὅσον ἥμερον καὶ ἀγελαῖον, σχεδὸν πλην γενοίν δυοίν παν ήδη κατακεκερμάτισται, τὸ γὰρ τῶν κυνών οὐκ ἐπάξιον καταριθμεῖν γένος ώς ἐν ἀγελαίοις θρέμμασιν.

266

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐ γὰρ οὖν, ἀλλὰ τίνι δὴ τὼ δύο διαιρῶμεν;

\*Ωιπερ καὶ δίκαιόν γε Θεαίτητόν τε καὶ σὲ διανέμειν, έπειδή καὶ γεωμετρίας ἄπτεσθον.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Tω̂:

Τῆ διαμέτρω δήπου καὶ πάλιν τῆ τῆς διαμέτρου ΞF. διαμέτρω.

10

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma = \hat{\iota} \hat{\Pi} \epsilon \varsigma$ :

Ή φύσις, ἣν τὸ γένος ἡμῶν τῶν ἀνθρώτιων κέκτηται, μῶν ἄλλως πως εἰς τὴν πορείαν πέφυκεν ἢ καθάπερ ἡ διάμετρος ή δυνάμει δίπους;

ΝΕ, ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἄλλως.

Καὶ μὴν ή γε τοῦ λοιποῦ γένους πάλιν ἐστὶ κατὰ δύναμιν αὖ τῆς ἡμετέρας δυνάμεως διάμετρος, εἴπερ δυοῖν

 $d 10 \tau \hat{n}$  n: πη n |  $a 5 \delta ι \alpha ι ρ \hat{\omega} μ ε ν$  n:  $\delta ι \alpha ι ρ \hat{\omega} μ ε ν$  n, O

Y.S.: Yes, certainly.

E.S.: Well, do you want to divide it by the split-hooved and the sod10 called 'single-hooved', or by interbreeding and non-interbreeding? I think you grasp the point.

Y.S.: What's that?

el E.S.: That horses and donkeys are naturally such as to breed from one another.

Y.S.: Yes.

e5

E.S.: Whereas what is still left of the smooth-fronted herd of tame creatures is unmixed in breeding, one with another.

Y.S.: Ouite.

E.S.: So: does the statesman, then, seem to take care of an interbreeding or of some non-interbreeding sort?

Y.S.: Clearly, of the non-mixing sort.

E.S.: This, then, it seems, we must separate into two, as we did in the e10 previous cases.

Y.S.: Indeed we must.

266 E.S.: Now those living creatures that are tame and live in herds have pretty well all now been cut into their pieces, except for two classes. For it is not worth our while to count the class of dogs as among creatures living in herds.

Y.S.: No indeed. But what are we to use to divide the two classes? a5 E.S.: Something that is absolutely appropriate for Theaetetus and you to use in your distributions, since it's geometry the two of you engage

Y.S.: What is it?

in.

E.S.: The diagonal, one could say, and then again the diagonal of the diagonal. a10

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: The nature which the family-class of us humans possesses surely ы isn't endowed for the purpose of transporting itself any differently from the diagonal with a potency of two feet?

Y.S.: No.

E.S.: And what's more the nature of the remaining class is in its turn **b**5 in potency a diagonal of our potency, if indeed it is endowed with two

γέ έστι ποδοίν δὶς πεφυκυία.	(266)
ΝΕ. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πώς δ' οὐκ ἔστι; καὶ δὴ καὶ σχεδὸν δ βούλει	
δηλοῦν μανθάνω.	
ΞΕ. Πρὸς δὴ τούτοις ἔτερον αὖ τι τῶν πρὸς γέλωτα	10
εὐδοκιμησάντων ἄν, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἆρα καθορῶμεν ἡμῖν	
γεγονός εν τοις διηρημένοις;	С
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;	•
ΕΕ. Τανθρώπινον ήμων άμα γένος συνειληχὸς καὶ	
•	
συνδεδραμηκός γένει τῷ τῶν ὄντων γενναιοτάτῳ καὶ ἄμα	_
εὐχερεστάτω.	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καθορῶ καὶ μάλ' ἀτόπως συμβαῖνον.	
ΞΕ. Τί δ'; οὐκ εἰκὸς ὕστατα ἀφικνεῖσθαι τὰ βραδύτατα;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί, τοῦτό γε.	
ΞΕ. Τόδε δὲ οὐκ ἐννοοῦμεν, ώς ἔτι γελοιότερος ὁ βασιλεὺς	
φαίνεται μετά της άγέλης συνδιαθέων καὶ σύνδρομα	10
πεπορευμένος τῷ τῶν ἀνδρῶν αὖ πρὸς τὸν εὐχερῆ βίον	
άριστα γεγυμνασμένω;	d
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Παντάπασι μέν οὖν.	
ΞΕ. Νῦν γάρ, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἐκεῖνό ἐστι καταφανὲς μᾶλλον	
τὸ ἡηθὲν τότ' ἐν τῆ περὶ τὸν σοφιστὴν ζητήσει.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον:	5
ΞΕ. "Ότι τῆ τοιᾶδε μεθόδω τῶν λόγων οὕτε σεμνοτέρου	
μαλλον ἐμέλησεν ἢ μή, τόν τε σμικρότερον οὐδὲν ἠτίμακε	
πρὸ τοῦ μείζονος, ἀεὶ δὲ καθ' αὐτὴν περαίνει τάληθέστατον.	
ΝΕ. $\Sigma\Omega$ . "Εοικεν.	
ΕΕ. Οὐκοῦν μετὰ τοῦτο, ἵνα μή με φθῆς ἐρωτήσας τὴν	10
βραχυτέραν όδὸν ἥτις τότε ἦν ἐπὶ τὸν τοῦ βασιλέως ὅρον,	е
αὐτός σοι πρότερον ἔλθω;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Σφόδρα γε.	
ΞΕ. Λέγω δὴ δεῖν τότε εὐθὺς τὸ πεζὸν τῷ δίποδι πρὸς τὸ	
τετράπουν γένος διανείμαι, κατιδόντα δὲ τἀνθρώπινον ἔτι	5
μόνω τῷ πτηνῷ συνειληχὸς τὴν δίποδα ἀγέλην πάλιν τῷ ψιλῷ	
ra) TO TTERRALES TELLET TURBEST SE MITTE RA) TOT' TEN	

 $c \; 6 \; \mu \alpha \lambda$  ' d t o t with c :  $\mu$  á l  $\alpha$  t d  $10 \; \mu \epsilon \; \phi \theta \eta \varsigma \; c$  :  $\mu$  '  $\xi \phi \theta \eta \varsigma \; n$  :  $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta \varsigma \; n$ 

της ανθρωπονομικης δηλωθείσης τέχνης, φέροντα τὸν

(266) times two feet.

Y.S.: Of course it is – and I actually almost understand what you want to show.

E.S.: And there's more – do we see, Socrates, that there's something else resulting in our divisions that would itself have done well as a comic turn?

Y.S.: What's that?

E.S.: That our human family-class has shared the field and run together with the noblest and also most easy-going class of existing things?

Y.S.: I see it turning out very oddly indeed.

E.S.: Well, isn't it reasonable to expect the slowest – or sow-est – to come in last?

Y.S.: Yes, I can agree with that.

e10 E.S.: And don't we notice that the king looks even more ridiculous, when he continues to run, along with his herd, and has traversed convergent paths, with the man who for his part is best trained of all

for the easy-going life?

Y.S.: Absolutely right.

E.S.: Yes, Socrates, and what we said before, in our inquiry about the sophist, is now plainer.

d5 Y.S.: What was that?

E.S.: That such a method of argument as ours is not more concerned with what is more dignified than with what is not, and neither does it at all despise the smaller more than the greater, but always reaches the truest conclusion by itself.

Y.S.: It seems so.

el E.S.: Well then, after this, so that you don't get in before me and ask what the shorter way is – the one we spoke of earlier – to the definition of the king, shall I go first and show you the way?

Y.S.: Very much so.

E.S.: Then I say that in this case one must immediately distribute what goes on foot by opposing the two-footed to the four-footed class, and when one sees the human still sharing the field with the winged alone, one must go on to cut the two-footed herd by means of the non-feathered and the feathered; and when it has been cut, and the

πολιτικόν καὶ βασιλικόν οἶον ἡνίοχον εἰς αὐτὴν ἐνστήσαντα, παραδοῦναι τὰς τῆς πόλεως ἡνίας ὡς οἰκείας καὶ αὐτῷ ταύτης οὔσης τῆς ἐπιστήμης.

10

NE. ΣΩ. Καλώς καὶ καθαπερεὶ χρέος ἀπέδωκάς μοι τὸν λόγον, προσθεὶς τὴν ἐκτροπὴν οἷον τόκον καὶ ἀναπληρώσας αὐτόν.

267

ΞΕ. Φέρε δὴ καὶ συνείρωμεν ἐπανελθόντες ἐπὶ τὴν ἀρχὴν μέχρι τῆς τελευτῆς τὸν λόγον τοῦ ὀνόματος τῆς τοῦ πολιτικοῦ τέχνης.

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Τῆς γνωστικῆς τοίνυν ἐπιστήμης ἡμῖν ἦν κατ' ἀρχὰς μέρος ἐπιτακτικόν τούτου δὲ ἀπεικασθὲν τὸ μόριον αὐτεπιτακτικὸν ἐρρήθη. ζωοτροφικὴ δὲ πάλιν αὐτεπιτακτικῆς οὐ τὸ σμικρότατον τῶν γενῶν ἀπεσχίζετο καὶ ζωοτροφικῆς εἶδος ἀγελαιοτροφικόν, ἀγελαιοτροφικοῦ δ' αὖ πεζονομικόν τοῦ δὲ πεζονομικοῦ μάλιστα ἀπετέμνετο τέχνη τῆς ἀκεράτου φύσεως θρεπτική. ταύτης δ' αὖ τὸ μέρος οὐκ ἔλαττον τριπλοῦν συμπλέκειν ἀναγκαῖον, ἂν εἰς ἕν τις αὐτὸ ὄνομα συναγαγεῖν βουληθῆ, γενέσεως ἀμείκτου νομευτικὴν ἐπιστήμην προσαγορεύων. τὸ δ' ἀπὸ τούτου τμῆμα, ἐπὶ ποίμνη δίποδι μέρος ἀνθρωπονομικὸν ἔτι λειφθὲν μόνον, τοῦτ' αὐτό ἐστιν ἤδη τὸ ζητηθέν, ἄμα βασιλικὸν ταὐτὸν κληθὲν καὶ πολιτικόν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Παντάπασι μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. <sup>†</sup>Αρά γ', ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀληθῶς ἡμῖν τοῦτο καθάπερ σὺ νῦν εἴρηκας οὕτως ἐστὶ καὶ πεπραγμένον;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον δή;

ΞΕ. Τὸ παντάπασιν ίκανῶς εἰρῆσθαι τὸ προτεθέν. ἢ τοῦτ' αὐτὸ καὶ μάλιστα ἡ ζήτησις ἐλλείπει, τὸ τὸν λόγον εἰρῆσθαι μέν πως, οὐ μὴν παντάπασί γε τελέως ἀπειργάσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς εἶπες;

ΞΕ. 'Εγώ νῷν πειράσομαι τοῦτ' αὐτὸ ὃ διανοοῦμαι νῦν ἔτι μᾶλλον δηλώσαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγοις ἄν.

5

d

e 10 καὶ αὐτῷ n: αὐτῷ n | b 7 ἀμείκτου e: μικτοῦ m | νομευτικὴν e: νομευτικῆς m

expertise of human-herding has then and there been brought into the light, one must lift the expert in statesmanship and kingship like a charioteer into it and instal him there, handing over the reins of the city as belonging to him, and because this expert knowledge is his.

Y.S.: That's well done, and as it were you've paid me the account I asked for as if it were a debt, adding the digression as a kind of interest, making up the sum.

E.S.: Come on, then: let's go back to the beginning and gather together from there to the end our account of the name of the expertise of the statesman.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

e10

a5

b1

**b**5

c1

d1

E.S.: Well then: of theoretical knowledge, we had at the beginning a directive part; and of this, the section we wanted was by analogy said to be 'self-directing'. Then again, rearing of living creatures, not the smallest of the classes of self-directing knowledge, was split off from it; then a herd-rearing form from rearing of living creatures, and from that, in turn, rearing of what goes on foot; and from that, as the relevant part, was cut off the expertise of rearing the hornless sort. Of this in turn the part must be woven together as not less than triple, if one wants to bring it together into a single name, calling it expert knowledge of rearing of non-interbreeding creatures. The segment from this, a part relating to a two-footed flock, concerned with rearing of human beings, still left on its own – this very part is now what we were looking for, the same thing we call both kingly and

statesmanlike. Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Is it really the case, Socrates, that we have actually done this, as you have just said?

Y.S.: Done what?

E.S.: Given a completely adequate response to the matter we raised. Or is our search lacking especially in just this respect, that our account of the matter has been stated in a certain way, but has not been finished off to complete perfection?

Y.S.: How do you mean?

E.S.: I shall try now to show, for both of us, still more clearly just what I am thinking of.

d5 Y.S.: Please go ahead.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν τῶν νομευτικῶν ἡμῖν πολλῶν φανεισῶν ἄρτι τεχνῶν μία τις ἦν ἡ πολιτικὴ καὶ μιᾶς τινος ἀγέλης ἐπιμέλεια;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Ταύτην δέ γε διώριζεν ὁ λόγος οὐχ ἵππων εἶναι 10 τροφὸν οὐδ' ἄλλων θηρίων, ἀλλ' ἀνθρώπων κοινοτροφικὴν ἐπιστήμην.

ΝΕ, ΣΩ, Οὕτως,

ΞΕ. Τὸ δὴ τῶν νομέων πάντων διάφορον καὶ τὸ τῶν βασιλέων θεασώμεθα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Εἴ τις τῶν ἄλλων τῳ, τέχνης ἄλλης ὄνομα ἔχων, κοινῆ τῆς ἀγέλης σύντροφος εἶναί φησι καὶ προσποιεῖται.

5

5

b

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς φής;

ΞΕ. Οἷον οἵ τε ἔμποροι καὶ γεωργοὶ καὶ σιτουργοὶ πάντες, καὶ πρὸς τούτοις γυμνασταὶ καὶ τὸ τῶν ἰατρῶν γένος, οἶσθ' ὅτι τοῖς περὶ τὰ ἀνθρώπινα νομεῦσιν, οὕς πολιτικοὺς ἐκαλέσαμεν, παντάπασι τῷ λόγῳ διαμάχοιντ' ἂν οὖτοι σύμπαντες, ὡς σφεῖς τῆς τροφῆς ἐπιμελοῦνται τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης, οὐ μόνον ἀγελαίων ἀνθρώπων ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς τῶν ἀρχόντων αὐτῶν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ὀρθώς ἂν λέγοιεν;

ΞΕ. Ίσως, καὶ τοῦτο μὲν ἐπισκεψόμεθα, τόδε δὲ ἴσμεν, ὅτι βουκόλῳ γε οὐδεὶς ἀμφισβητήσει περὶ τούτων οὐδενός, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς τῆς ἀγέλης τροφὸς ὁ βουφορβός, αὐτὸς ἰατρός, αὐτὸς οἷον νυμφευτὴς καὶ περὶ τοὺς τῶν γιγνομένων τόκους καὶ λοχείας μόνος ἐπιστήμων τῆς μαιευτικῆς. ἔτι τοίνυν παιδιᾶς καὶ μουσικῆς ἐφ' ὅσον αὐτοῦ τὰ θρέμματα φύσει μετείληφεν, οὐκ ἄλλος κρείττων παραμυθεῖσθαι καὶ κηλῶν πραϋνειν, μετά τε ὀργάνων καὶ ψιλῷ τῷ στόματι τὴν τῆς αὐτοῦ ποίμνης ἄριστα μεταχειριζόμενος μουσικήν, καὶ δὴ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων πέρι νομέων ὁ αὐτὸς τρόπος. ἡ γάρ;

ΝΕ, ΣΩ. 'Ορθότατα.

ΞΕ. Πῶς οὖν ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος ὀρθὸς φανεῖται καὶ ἀκέραιος ὁ

e 7 οί τε n: οί n, O | a 6 άμφισβητήσει n: άμφισβητεί n

E.S.: Well then, of the many kinds of expertise to do with rearing herds that appeared in our view just now, statesmanship was one, and was care of some one sort of herd?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And our account defined it not as rearing of horses, or of other animals, but as knowledge of the collective rearing of human beings.

Y.S.: Just so.

268

E.S.: Then let us look at the difference between all herdsmen, on the one hand, and kings on the other.

Y.S.: What's that?

E.S.: Let us see if in the case of any other herdsman anyone who has the title of another expertise claims or pretends to share the rearing of the herd with him.

beings in the herd, but for that of the rulers as well.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: Like this: that merchants, farmers, millers and bakers, all of them, and gymnastic trainers too, and doctors as a class – all of these, as you well know, would loudly contend against the herdsmen concerned with things human whom we called statesmen that it is they that care for human rearing, not merely for that of the human

25 Y.S.: Well, would they be right?

E.S.: Perhaps. That we'll consider, but what we know is that with a cowherd no one will dispute about any of these things, but the herdsman is by himself rearer of the herd, by himself its doctor, by himself its matchmaker, as it were, and sole expert in the midwife's

art when it comes to the births of offspring and confinements. Again, to the extent that the nature of his charges allows them to partake in play and music, no one else is more capable of comforting them and soothing them with his incantations, performing best, as he does, the

music that belongs to his flock with instruments or with unaccompanied voice. And it's the same way with all other herdsmen. True? Y.S.: Quite right.

E.S.: So how will our account of the king appear to us right and

περὶ τοῦ βασιλέως, ὅταν αὐτὸν νομέα καὶ τροφὸν ἀγέλης ἀνθρωπίνης θῶμεν μόνον ἐκκρίνοντες μυρίων ἄλλων ἀμφισβητούντων;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ὀρθῶς ὀλίγον ἔμπροσθεν ἐφοβήθημεν ὑποπτεύσαντες μὴ λέγοντες μέν τι τυγχάνοιμεν σχῆμα βασιλικόν, οὐ μὴν ἀπειργασμένοι γε εἶμέν πω δι' ἀκριβείας τὸν πολιτικόν, ἔως ἂν τοὺς περικεχυμένους αὐτῷ καὶ τῆς συννομῆς αὐτῷ ἀντιποιουμένους περιελόντες καὶ χωρίσαντες ἀπ' ἐκείνων καθαρὸν μόνον αὐτὸν ἀποφήνωμεν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθότατα μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Τοῦτο τοίνυν, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἡμῖν ποιητέον, εἰ μὴ μέλλομεν ἐπὶ τῷ τέλει καταισχῦναι τὸν λόγον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν οὐδαμῶς τοῦτό γε δραστέον.

ΞΕ. Πάλιν τοίνυν ἐξ ἄλλης ἀρχῆς δεῖ καθ' ἐτέραν ὁδὸν τορευθῆναί τινα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποίαν δή:

ΞΕ. Σχεδὸν παιδιὰν ἐγκερασαμένους συχνῷ γὰρ μέρει δεῖ μεγάλου μύθου προσχρήσασθαι, καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν δή, καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς πρόσθεν, μέρος ἀεὶ μέρους ἀφαιρουμένους ἐπ' ἄκρον ἀφικνεῖσθαι τὸ ζητούμενον. οὐκοῦν χρή;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. `Αλλὰ δὴ τῷ μύθῳ μου πάνυ πρόσεχε τὸν νοῦν, καθάπερ οἱ παῖδες· πάντως οὐ πολλὰ ἐκφεύγεις παιδιὰς ἔτη.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Aévois  $\mathring{a}\nu$ .

ΞΕ. \*Ην τοίνυν καὶ ἔτι ἔσται τῶν πάλαι λεχθέντων πολλά τε ἄλλα καὶ δὴ καὶ τὸ περὶ τὴν 'Ατρέως τε καὶ Θυέστου λεχθεῖσαν ἔριν φάσμα, ἀκήκοας γάρ που καὶ ἀπομνημονεύεις ὅ φασι γενέσθαι τότε.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ περὶ τῆς χρυσῆς ἀρνὸς ἴσως σημεῖον φράζεις.

ΞΕ. Οὐδαμῶς, ἀλλὰ τὸ τιερὶ τῆς μεταβολῆς δύσεώς τε καὶ ἀνατολῆς ἡλίου καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἄστρων, ὡς ἄρα ὅθεν μὲν ἀνατέλλει νῦν εἰς τοῦτον τότε τὸν τόπον ἐδύετο, ἀνέτελλε δ' ἐκ τοῦ ἐναντίου, τότε δὲ δὴ μαρτυρήσας ἄρα ὁ θεὸς ᾿Ατρεῖ

 $d\,3\,\mu\acute{\rm e}\lambda\lambda\rm{o}\mu\rm{e}\nu$  c:  $\mu\acute{\rm e}\lambda\lambda\rm{o}\iota\mu\rm{e}\nu$  m | e  $5\,\pi\rm{a}\iota\delta\iota\grave{a}\rm{s}$  c:  $\pi\rm{a}\iota\delta\iota a\rm{s}$  n:  $\pi\rm{a}\iota\delta\iota\acute{a}\rm{s}$  n:  $\pi\rm{a}\iota\delta\iota\acute{a}\rm{s}$  n.

10

d

10

269

5

complete, when we posit him as sole herdsman and rearer of the human herd, separating him off on his own from tens of thousands of others who dispute the title with him?

Y.S.: There's no way in which it can.

es E.S.: Then our fears a little earlier were right, when we suspected that we should prove in fact to be describing some kingly figure, but not yet accurately to have finished the statesman off, until we remove those who crowd round him, pretending to share his herding function with him, and having separated him from them, we reveal him on his

own, uncontaminated with anyone else?

d1 Y.S.: Yes, absolutely right.

E.S.: Well then, Socrates, this is what we must do, if we are not going to bring disgrace on our argument at its end.

Y.S.: That is something we must certainly avoid doing at all costs.

E.S.: Then we must travel some other route, starting from another point.

Y.S.: What route is that?

E.S.: By mixing in, as one might put it, an element of play: we must bring in a large part of a great story, and as for the rest, we must then

- as in what went before – take away part from part in each case and so arrive at the furthest point of the object of our search. So should we do it?

Y.S.: Absolutely.

e5 E.S.: In that case, pay complete attention to my story, as children do; you certainly haven't left childish games behind for more than a few years.

Y.S.: Please go ahead.

E.S.: Then I'll begin. There have occurred in the past, and will occur in the future, many of the things that have been told through the ages; one is the portent relating to the quarrel between Atreus and Thyestes.

e10 I imagine you remember hearing what people say happened then.

Y.S.: You're referring, perhaps, to the sign of the golden lamb.

269 E.S.: Not at all; rather to that of the changing of the setting and rising of the sun and the other stars — it's said that they actually began setting in the region from which they now rise, and rising from the opposite region, and that then after having given witness in favour of

μετέβαλεν αὐτὸ ἐπὶ τὸ νῦν σχῆμα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγεται γὰρ οὖν δὴ καὶ τοῦτο.

6

(269)

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν αὖ καὶ τήν γε βασιλείαν ἣν ἦρξε Κρόνος πολλῶν ἀκηκόαμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πλείστων μὲν οὖν.

b

5

d

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τὸ τοὺς ἔμπροσθεν φύεσθαι γηγενεῖς καὶ μὴ ἐξ ἀλλήλων γεννᾶσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοῦτο εν τῶν πάλαι λεχθέντων.

ΞΕ. Ταῦτα τοίνυν ἔστι μὲν σύμπαντα ἐκ ταὐτοῦ πάθους, καὶ πρὸς τούτοις ἔτερα μυρία καὶ τούτων ἔτι θαυμαστότερα, διὰ δὲ χρόνου πλῆθος τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν ἀπέσβηκε, τὰ δὲ διεσπαρμένα εἴρηται χωρὶς ἕκαστα ἀπ' ἀλλήλων. ὃ δ' ἐστὶν πᾶσι τούτοις αἴτιον τὸ πάθος οὐδεὶς εἴρηκεν, νῦν δὲ δὴ λεκτέον εἰς γὰρ τὴν τοῦ βασιλέως ἀπόδειξιν πρέψει ῥηθέν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστ' εἶπες, καὶ λέγε μηδὲν ἐλλείπων.

ΞΕ. 'Ακούοις ἄν. τὸ γὰρ πᾶν τόδε τοτὲ μὲν αὐτὸς ὁ θεὸς συμποδηγεῖ πορευόμενον καὶ συγκυκλεῖ, τοτὲ δὲ ἀνῆκεν, ὅταν αἱ περίοδοι τοῦ προσήκοντος αὐτῷ μέτρον εἰλήφωσιν ἤδη χρόνου, τὸ δὲ πάλιν αὐτόματον εἰς τἀναντία περιάγεται, ζῷον ὂν καὶ φρόνησιν εἰληχὸς ἐκ τοῦ συναρμόσαντος αὐτὸ κατ' ἀρχάς. τοῦτο δὲ αὐτῷ τὸ ἀνάπαλιν ἰέναι διὰ τόδ' ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἔμφυτον γέγονε.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Διὰ τὸ ποῖον δή;

ΞΕ. Τὸ κατὰ ταὐτὰ καὶ ὡσαύτως ἔχειν ἀεὶ καὶ ταὐτὸν εἶναι τοῖς πάντων θειοτάτοις προσήκει μόνοις, σώματος δὲ φύσις οὐ ταύτης τῆς τάξεως. ὃν δὲ οὐρανὸν καὶ κόσμον ἐπωνομάκαμεν, πολλῶν μὲν καὶ μακαρίων παρὰ τοῦ γεννήσαντος μετείληφεν, ἀτὰρ οὖν δὴ κεκοινώνηκέ γε καὶ σώματος ὅθεν αὐτῷ μεταβολῆς ἀμοίρῳ γίγνεοθαι διὰ παντὸς ἀδύνατον, κατὰ δύναμίν γε μὴν ὅτι μάλιστα ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ κατὰ ταὐτὰ μίαν φορὰν κινεῖται διὸ τὴν ἀνακύκλησιν εἴληχεν, ὅτι σμικροτάτην τῆς αὐτοῦ κινήσεως παράλλαξιν. αὐτὸ δὲ ἑαυτὸ στρέφειν ἀεὶ σχεδὸν οὐδενὶ δυνατὸν πλὴν τῷ τῶν κινουμένων αὖ πάντων ἡγουμένῳ κινεῖν δὲ τούτῳ τοτὲ

- (269) Atreus the god changed everything to its present configuration.
- a6 Y.S.: Yes indeed, they do say this as well.
  - E.S.: And what's more, we've also heard from many about the kingship exercized by Kronos.
- b1 Y.S.: Yes, from a great many.
  - E.S.: And what of the report that earlier men were born from the earth and were not reproduced from each other?
  - Y.S.: This too is one of the things that have been told through the ages.
- E.S.: Well, all these things together are consequences of the same state of affairs, and besides these thousands of others still more astonishing than these; but through the great lapse of time since then some have been obliterated, while others have been reported in a scattered way, each separate from one another. But as for the state of affairs that is responsible for all of these things, no one has related it, and we should relate it now; for once it has been described, it will be a fitting contribution towards our exposition of the king.
  - Y.S.: I very much like what you say; go on, and leave nothing out.
- E.S.: Listen then. This universe the god himself sometimes accompanies, guiding it on its way and helping it move in a circle, while at other times he lets it go, when its circuits have completed the measure of the time allotted to it, and of its own accord it revolves
- backwards, in the opposite direction, being a living creature and having had intelligence assigned to it by the one who fitted it together in the beginning. This backward movement is inborn in it from necessity, for the following reason.
  - Y.S.: What reason, exactly?
- E.S.: Remaining permanently in the same state and condition and being permanently the same belongs only to the most divine things of all, and the category of body is not of this order. Now the thing to which we have given the name of 'heavens' and 'world-order' certainly has a portion of many blessed things from its progenitor, but on the other hand it also has its share of body; in consequence it is
- impossible for it to be altogether exempt from change, although as far as is possible, given its capacities, it moves in the same place, in the same way, with a single motion; and this is why it has reverse rotation
- as its lot, which is the smallest possible variation of its movement. To turn itself by itself for ever is, I dare say, impossible for anything except the one who guides all the things which, unlike him, are in

μὲν ἄλλως, αὖθις δὲ ἐναντίως οὐ θέμις. ἐκ πάντων δὴ τούτων τὸν κόσμον μήτε αὐτὸν χρὴ φάναι στρέφειν ἑαυτὸν ἀεί, μήθ' ὅλον ἀεὶ ὑπὸ θεοῦ στρέφεσθαι διττὰς καὶ ἐναντίας περιαγωγάς, μήτ' αὖ δύο τινὲ θεὼ φρονοῦντε ἑαυτοῖς ἐναντία στρέφειν αὐτόν, ἀλλ' ὅπερ ἄρτι ἐρρήθη καὶ μόνον λοιπόν, τοτὲ μὲν ὑπ' ἄλλης συμποδηγεῖσθαι θείας αἰτίας, τὸ ζῆν πάλιν ἐπικτώμενον καὶ λαμβάνοντα ἀθανασίαν ἐπισκευαστὴν παρὰ τοῦ δημιουργοῦ, τοτὲ δ' ὅταν ἀνεθῆ, δι' ἑαυτοῦ αὐτὸν ἰέναι, κατὰ καιρὸν ἀφεθέντα τοιοῦτον, ὥστε ἀνάπαλιν πορεύεσθαι πολλὰς περιόδων μυριάδας διὰ δὴ τὸ μέγιστον ὂν καὶ ἰσορροπώτατον ἐπὶ μικροτάτου βαῖνον ποδὸς ἰέναι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεται γοῦν δὴ καὶ μάλα εἰκότως εἰρῆσθαι πάνθ' ὅσα διελήλυθας.

ΞΕ. Λογισάμενοι δὴ συννοήσωμεν τὸ πάθος ἐκ τῶν νῦν λεχθέντων, ὃ πάντων ἔφαμεν εἶναι τῶν θαυμαστῶν αἴτιον. ἔστι γὰρ οὖν δὴ τοῦτ' αὐτό.

5

5

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Τὸ τὴν τοῦ παντὸς φορὰν τοτὲ μὲν ἐφ' ἃ νῦν κυκλεῖται φέρεσθαι, τοτὲ δ' ἐπὶ τἀναντία.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πώς δή;

ΞΕ. Ταύτην τὴν μεταβολὴν ἡγεῖσθαι δεῖ τῶν περὶ τὸν 1 οὐρανὸν γιγνομένων τροπῶν πασῶν εἶναι μεγίστην καὶ στελεωτάτην τροπήν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εοικ€ γοῦν.

ΞΕ. Μεγίστας τοίνυν καὶ μεταβολάς χρὴ νομίζειν γίγνεσθαι τότε τοῖς ἐντὸς ἡμῖν οἰκοῦσιν αὐτοῦ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοῦτο εἰκός.

ΞΕ. Μεταβολάς δὲ μεγάλας καὶ πολλάς καὶ παντοίας συμφερομένας άρ' οὐκ ἴσμεν τὴν τῶν ζώων φύσιν ὅτι χαλεπῶς ἀνέχεται;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ;

ΞΕ. Φθοραὶ τοίνυν ἐξ ἀνάγκης τότε μέγισται συμβαίνουσι τῶν τε ἄλλων ζώων, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων γένος ὀλίγον τι περιλείπεται περὶ δὲ τούτους ἄλλα τε παθήματα

c 9 μήθ' όλον n: μητ' αὖ όλον n, O | a 7 διὰ δὴ e: διὰ δὲ n: διὰ n

movement; and for this to cause movement now in one way, now in the opposite way is not permitted. From all of these considerations, it follows that one must not say either that the cosmos always turns itself by itself, or in any way that it is turned by god in a pair of opposed revolutions, or again that some pair of gods turns it whose thoughts are opposed to each other, but rather what was said just now and is the sole remaining possibility, that at times it is helped by the guidance of another, divine, cause, acquiring life once more and receiving a restored immortality from its craftsman, while at other times, when it is let go, it goes on its own way under its own power, having been let go at such a time as to travel backwards for many tens of thousands of revolutions because of the very fact that its movement com-

smallest of bases.
Y.S.: It certainly seems that everything you have gone through is very reasonable.

E.S.: Then drawing on what has just been said, let's reflect on the state of affairs we said was responsible for all those astonishing things. In fact it's just this very thing.

bines the effects of its huge size, perfect balance, and its resting on the

Y.S.: What's that?

270

**a**5

**b**5

c5

E.S.: That the movement of the universe is now in the direction of its present rotation, now in the opposite direction.

Y.S.: How do you mean?

E.S.: We must suppose that this change is, of the turnings that occur in the heavens, the greatest and the most complete turning of all.

Y.S.: Yes, it certainly seems so.

E.S.: We must then suppose that the greatest changes, too, occur then for us who live within the universe.

Y.S.: That too seems likely.

E.S.: And don't we recognise that living creatures by their nature have difficulty in tolerating changes that are simultaneously large, great in number, and of all different sorts?

c10 Y.S.: Certainly we do.

E.S.: Necessarily, then, there occur at that time cases of destruction of other living creatures on a very large scale, and in particular human-kind survives only in small numbers; many new and astonishing

πολλὰ καὶ θαυμαστὰ καὶ καινὰ συμπίπτει, μέγιστον δὲ τόδε καὶ συνεπόμενον τῆ τοῦ παντὸς ἀνειλίξει τότε, ὅταν ἡ τῆς νῦν καθεστηκυίας ἐναντία γίγνηται τροπή.

5

10

271

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον:

"Ην ήλικίαν έκαστον είχε των ζώων, αύτη πρώτον μέν ΞF. **ἔ**στη πάντων, καὶ ἐπαύσατο πᾶν ὅσον ἦν θνητὸν ἐπὶ τὸ γεραίτερον ίδεῖν πορευόμενον, μεταβάλλον δὲ πάλιν ἐπὶ τούναντίον οίον νεώτερον καὶ άπαλώτερον ἐφύετο καὶ τῶν μέν πρεσβυτέρων αί λευκαὶ τρίχες ἐμελαίνοντο, τῶν δ' αὖ γενειώντων αί παρειαὶ λεαινόμεναι πάλιν ἐπὶ παρελθοῦσαν ὤραν ἕκαστον καθίστασαν, τῶν δὲ ἡβώντων τὰ σώματα λεαινόμενα καὶ σμικρότερα καθ' ἡμέραν καὶ νύκτα έκάστην γιγνόμενα πάλιν είς την τοῦ νεογενοῦς παιδὸς φύσιν ἀπήει, κατά τε τὴν ψυχὴν καὶ κατὰ ἀφομοιούμενα τὸ δ' ἐντεῦθεν ἤδη μαραινόμενα κομιδῆ τὸ πάμπαν έξηφανίζετο. τῶν δ' αὖ βιαίως τελευτώντων ἐν τῷ τότε χρόνω τὸ τοῦ νεκροῦ σώμα τὰ αὐτὰ ταῦτα πάσχον παθήματα διὰ τάχους ἄδηλον ἐν ὀλίγαις ἡμέραις διεφθείρετο. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Γένεσις δὲ δὴ τίς τότ' ἦν, ὧ ξένε, ζώων; καὶ τίνα τρόπον έξ άλλήλων έγεννῶντο;

Δῆλον, ὧ Σώκρατες, ὅτι τὸ μὲν ἐξ ἀλλήλων οὐκ ἦν ἐν τῆ τότε φύσει γεννώμενον, τὸ δὲ γηγενὲς εἶναί ποτε γένος λεχθὲν τοῦτ' ἦν τὸ κατ' ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον, ἐκ γῆς πάλιν άναστρεφόμενον, ἀπεμνημονεύετο δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν ἡμετέρων προγόνων τῶν πρώτων, οἱ τελευτώση μὲν τῆ προτέρα περιφορά τὸν έξης χρόνον ἐγειτόνουν, τῆσδε δὲ κατ' ἀρχὰς έφύοντο τούτων γὰρ οὖτοι κήρυκες ἐγένονθ' ἡμῖν τῶν λόγων, οἳ νῦν ὑπὸ πολλῶν οὐκ ὀρθῶς ἀπιστοῦνται, τὸ γὰρ ἐντεῦθεν οξμαι χρή συννοείν. έπόμενον γάρ έστι τῷ τοὺς πρεοβύτας ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ παιδὸς ἰέναι φύσιν, ἐκ τῶν τετελευτηκότων αὖ, πάλιν κειμένων  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ έv γĥ. έκει συνισταμένους καὶ άναβιωσκομένους, έπεσθαι τη τροπή συνανακυκλουμένης τάναντία τῆς γενέσεως, καὶ γηγενεῖς δὴ κατὰ τοῦτον τὸν λόγον έξ ἀνάγκης φυομένους, ούτως ἔχειν τοὔνομα καὶ τὸν

e 10 τὸ τοῦ νεκροῦ σῶμα n: τὸ τοῦ νεκροῦ n | b 4 ἐπόμενον e: ἐχόμενον m | b 7 ἔπεσθαι τῆ τροπῆ n: τῆ τροπῆ n

things happen to them, but the greatest is the one I shall describe, one that is in accordance with the retrogradation of the universe at the time when its turning becomes the opposite of the one that now obtains.

Y.S.: What kind of thing do you mean?

đ5

E.S.: First, the visible age of each and every creature, whatever it was, stopped increasing, and everything that was mortal ceased moving in the direction of looking older, but changing back in the opposite e1 direction grew as it were younger, more tender; the white hairs of older men became black, while in turn the cheeks of those who had their beards became smooth again, returning each to his past bloom, and the bodies of those in their puberty, becoming smoother and e5 smaller each day and night, went back to the form of new-born children, becoming like them both in mind and in body; and from then on they proceeded to waste away until they simply disappeared altogether. As for those who died a violent death at that time, the e10 body of the dead person underwent the same effects and quickly 271 dissolved to nothing in a few days.

Y.S.: But, Stranger, how did living creatures come into being in that time? And in what way were they produced from each other?

E.S.: It's clear, Socrates, that reproduction from one another was not a5 part of the nature of things then, but it was the earth-born race which has been said to have existed at one time that was the one that existed then, returning again from the earth; it was remembered by our first ancestors, who bordered on the ending of the previous period, living b1 in the succeeding time, and grew up at the beginning of this one; they became our messengers for the accounts of the earth-born, which are nowadays wrongly disbelieved by many people. For I think we must reflect on what is implied by what we have said. It follows on the passage of old men to childhood that from the dead, lying in the earth, **b**5 men should be put together again there and come back to life, following the direction of the reversal, with coming-into-being turning round with it to the opposite direction, and since they would according to this argument necessarily come into existence as earthc1

λόγον, όσους μὴ θεὸς αὐτῶν εἰς ἄλλην μοῖραν ἐκόμισεν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κομιδή μὲν οὖν τοῦτό γε ἔπεται τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν. άλλὰ δὴ τὸν βίον ὃν ἐπὶ τῆς Κρόνου φὴς εἶναι δυνάμεως,

πότερον εν εκείναις ην ταίς τροπαίς η εν ταίσδε; την μεν γάρ των ἄστρων τε καὶ ἡλίου μεταβολὴν δῆλον ώς ἐν

έκατέραις συμπίπτει ταῖς τροπαῖς γίγνεσθαι.

Καλώς τῷ λόγω συμπαρηκολούθηκας. ο δ' ήρου περί τοῦ πάντα αὐτόματα γίγνεσθαι τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, ἥκιστα τῆς νῦν έστι καθεστηκυίας φοράς, άλλ' ήν καὶ τοῦτο τῆς ἔμπροσθεν. τότε γὰρ αὐτῆς πρῶτον τῆς κυκλήσεως ἦρχεν ἐπιμελούμενος όλης ὁ θεός, ώς δ' αὖ κατὰ τόπους ταὐτὸν τοῦτο, ὑπὸ θεῶν άρχόντων πάντη τὰ τοῦ κόσμου μέρη διειλημμένα καὶ δὴ καὶ τὰ ζῷα κατὰ γένη καὶ ἀγέλας οἶον νομῆς θεῖοι διειλήφεσαν δαίμονες, αὐτάρκης εἰς πάντα ἕκαστος ἑκάστοις ών οίς αὐτὸς ἔνεμεν, ώστε οὔτ' ἄγριον ῆν οὐδὲν οὔτε άλλήλων έδωδαί, πόλεμός τε οὐκ ἐνῆν οὐδὲ στάσις τὸ παράπαν άλλα θ' όσα της τοιαύτης έστὶ κατακοσμήσεως έπόμενα, μυρία ἂν εἴη λέγειν. τὸ δ' οὖν τῶν ἀνθρώπων λεχθέν αὐτομάτου πέρι βίου διὰ τὸ τοιόνδε εἴρηται. Θεὸς ένεμεν αὐτοὺς αὐτὸς ἐπιστατῶν, καθάπερ νῦν ἄνθρωποι, ζῷον ον έτερον θειότερον, άλλα γένη φαυλότερα αύτων νομεύουσι νέμοντος δὲ ἐκείνου πολιτεῖαί τε οὐκ ἦσαν οὐδὲ κτήσεις γυναικών καὶ παίδων, ἐκ γῆς γὰρ ἀνεβιώσκοντο πάντες, οὐδὲν μεμνημένοι τῶν πρόσθεν ἀλλὰ τὰ μὲν τοιαῦτα ἀπῆν πάντα, καρπούς δὲ ἀφθόνους εἶχον ἀπό τε δένδρων καὶ πολλής ύλης άλλης, οὐχ ὑπὸ γεωργίας φυομένους, ἀλλ' αὐτομάτης ἀναδιδούσης τῆς γῆς. γυμνοὶ δὲ καὶ ἄστρωτοι θυραυλοῦντες τὰ πολλὰ ἐνέμοντο· τὸ γὰρ τῶν ώρῶν αὐτοῖς άλυπον ἐκέκρατο, μαλακὰς δὲ εὐνὰς εἶχον ἀναφυομένης ἐκ γῆς πόας ἀφθόνου, τὸν δὴ βίον, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀκούεις μὲν τὸν τῶν ἐτιὶ Κρόνου τόνδε δ' ὃν λόγος ἐτιὶ Διὸς εἶναι, τὸν νυνί, παρών αὐτὸς ἤσθησαι, κρίναι δ' αὐτοίν τὸν εὐδαιμονέστερον ἆρ' ἂν δύναιό τε καὶ ἐθελήσειας; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.

272

5

d 4 ώς δ' αὖ κατὰ e: ώς νῦν κατὰ m: ώς νῦν <καὶ>κατὰ e: ώς δ' αὖ κατὰ  $O \mid d 5$  πάντη m: πάντ' ἦν e: παντῆ ἦν e  $\mid b 2$  δν e: ὡς n: ώς ὁ η

born, would thus acquire the name and have the report told about them – all those of them, that is, whom god did not take off to another destiny.

Y.S.: Yes, quite; this does seem to follow on what went before. But as for the life which you say there was in the time of Kronos' power – was it in those turnings or in these? For it is clear that it falls out that the change affecting the stars and the sun occurs in each set of turnings.

E.S.: You have been keeping up with the argument well. As for what d1 you asked, about everything's springing up of its own accord for human beings, it belongs least to the movement that now obtains; it too belonged to the one before. For then the god began to rule and take care of the rotation itself as a whole, and as for the regions, in d5 their turn, it was just the same, the parts of the world-order having everywhere been divided up by gods ruling over them; moreover divine spirits had divided living things between them, like herdsmen, by kind and by herd, each by himself providing independently for all e1 the needs of those he tended, so that none of them was savage, nor did they eat each other, and there was no war or internal dissent at all; and as for all the other things that belong as consequences to such an arrangement, there would be tens of thousands of them to report. But с5 to return to what has been reported about a life for human beings without toil, the origin of the report is something like this. A god tended them, taking charge of them himself, just as now human beings, themselves a kind of living creature, but different and more divine, pasture other kinds of living creatures more lowly than themselves; and given his tendance, they had no political constitutions, nor 272 acquired wives and children, for all of them came back to life from the earth, remembering nothing of the past; but while they lacked things of this sort, they had an abundance of fruits from trees and many other plants, not growing through cultivation but because the a5 earth sent them up of its own accord. For the most part they would feed outdoors, naked and without bedding; for the blend of the seasons was without painful extremes, and they had soft beds from abundant grass that sprung from the earth. What I describe, then, b1 Socrates, is the life of those who lived in the time of Kronos; as for this one, which they say is in the time of Zeus, the present one, you are familiar with it from personal experience. Would you be able and

willing to judge which of the two is the more fortunate?

b5 Y.S.: Not at all.

с5

ΞΕ. Βούλει δῆτα ἐγώ σοι τρόπον τινὰ διακρίνω; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

Εὶ μὲν τοίνυν οἱ τρόφιμοι τοῦ Κρόνου, παρούσης αὐτοῖς ούτω πολλής σχολής καὶ δυνάμεως πρὸς τὸ μὴ μόνον άνθρώποις άλλά καὶ θηρίοις διά λόγων συγγίγνεσθαι, κατεχρώντο τούτοις σύμπασιν ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν, μετά τε θηρίων καὶ μετ' άλλήλων όμιλοῦντες, καὶ πυνθανόμενοι παρά πάσης φύσεως εί τινά τις ίδίαν δύναμιν έχουσα ήσθετό τι διάφορον τῶν ἄλλων εἰς συναγυρμὸν φρονήσεως, εὔκριτον ὅτι τῶν νῦν οἱ τότε μυρίω πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν διέφερον εὶ δ' ἐμπιμπλάμενοι σίτων άδην καὶ ποτών διελέγοντο πρὸς άλλήλους καὶ τὰ θηρία μύθους οίοι δὴ καὶ τὰ νῦν περὶ αὐτῶν λέγονται, καὶ τοῦτο, ώς γε κατὰ τὴν ἐμὴν δόξαν ἀποφήνασθαι, καὶ μάλ' εὔκριτον. ὅμως δ' οὖν ταῦτα μὲν ἀφῶμεν, ἔως ἂν ἡμῖν μηνυτής τις ἱκανὸς φανῆ, ποτέρως οί τότε τὰς ἐπιθυμίας εἶχον περί τε ἐπιστημῶν καὶ τῆς τῶν λόγων χρείας οὖ δ' ἔνεκα τὸν μῦθον ἡγείραμεν, τοῦτο λεκτέον, ἵνα τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο εἰς τὸ πρόσθεν περαίνωμεν. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ πάντων τούτων χρόνος ἐτελεώθη καὶ μεταβολην έδει γίγνεσθαι, καὶ δη καὶ τὸ γήινον ήδη παν άνήλωτο γένος, πάσας έκάστης της ψυχης τὰς γενέσεις ἀποδεδωκυίας, όσα ην έκάστη προσταχθέν τοσαῦτα εἰς γην σπέρματα πεσούσης, τότε δὴ τοῦ παντὸς ὁ μὲν κυβερνήτης, οἷον πηδαλίων οἴακος ἀφέμενος, εἰς τὴν αύτοῦ περιωπὴν άπέστη, τὸν δὲ δὴ κόσμον πάλιν ἀνέστρεφεν είμαρμένη τε καὶ σύμφυτος ἐπιθυμία, πάντες οὖν οἱ κατὰ τοὺς τόπους συνάρχοντες τῷ μεγίστω δαίμονι θεοί, γνόντες ἤδη τὸ γιγνόμενον, ἀφίεσαν αὖ τὰ μέρη τοῦ κόσμου τῆς αὑτῶν έπιμελείας ὁ δὲ μεταστρεφόμενος καὶ συμβάλλων, ἀρχῆς τε καὶ τελευτής ἐναντίαν ὁρμὴν ὁρμηθείς, σεισμὸν πολὺν ἐν έαυτῶ ποιῶν ἄλλην αὖ φθορὰν ζώων παντοίων ἀπηργάσατο. μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα προελθόντος ἱκανοῦ χρόνου, θορύβων τε καὶ ταραχής ήδη παυόμενος καὶ τῶν σεισμῶν γαλήνης έπιλαβόμενος είς τε τὸν εἰωθότα δρόμον τὸν ἑαυτοῦ

10

5

273

5

c7[μύθους] O | οἷοι n: οἷα n, O | d 6 τοῦτο n: τοῦτον n

E.S.: Then do you want me to make some sort of decision for you? Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, if, with so much leisure available to them, and so much possibility of their being able to get together in conversation not **b10** only with human beings but also with animals - if the nurslings of c1 Kronos used all these advantages to do philosophy, talking both with animals and with each other, and inquiring from all kinds of creatures whether any one of them had some capacity of its own that enabled it to see better in some way than the rest with respect to the gathering together of wisdom, the judgement is easy, that those who lived then с5 were far, far more fortunate than those who live now; but if they spent their time gorging themselves with food and drink and exchanging stories with each other and with the animals of the sort that even now d1 are told about them, this too, if I may reveal how it seems to me, at least, is a matter that is easily judged. But however that may be, let us leave it to one side, until such time as someone appears who is qualified to inform us in which of these two ways the desires of men of that time were directed in relation to kinds of knowledge and the đ5 need for talk; we must now state the point of our rousing our story into action, in order to move forward and bring what follows to its end. When the time of all these things had been completed and the hour for change had come, and in particular all the earth-born race e1 had been used up, each soul having rendered its sum of births, falling to the earth as seed as many times as had been laid down for each, at that point the steersman of the universe, after letting go, as it were, of the bar of the steering-oars, retired to his observation-post, and as for e5 the world-order, its allotted and innate desire turned it back again in the opposite direction. So all the gods who ruled over the regions together with the greatest divinity, seeing immediately what was happening, let go in their turn the parts of the world-order that 273 belonged to their charge; and as it turned about and came together with itself, impelled with opposing movements, both the one that was beginning and the one that was now ending, it produced a great tremor in itself, which in its turn brought about another destruction of all sorts of living things. After this, when sufficient time had clapsed, a5 it began to cease from noise and confusion and attained calm from its tremors, and set itself in order, into the accustomed course that

κατακοσμούμενος ήει, ἐπιμέλειαν καὶ κράτος ἔχων αὐτὸς τῶν έν αύτῷ τε καὶ έαυτοῦ, τὴν τοῦ δημιουργοῦ καὶ πατρὸς άπομνημονεύων διδαχήν είς δύναμιν. κατ' άρχὰς μὲν οὖν άκριβέστερον ἀπετέλει, τελευτών δὲ ἀμβλύτερον τούτων δὲ αὐτῷ τὸ σωματοειδὲς τῆς συγκράσεως αἴτιον, τὸ τῆς πάλαι ποτε φύσεως σύντροφον, ὅτι πολλῆς ἦν μετέχον ἀταξίας πρίν είς τὸν νῦν κόσμον ἀφικέσθαι. παρὰ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ συνθέντος πάντα καλὰ κέκτηται παρὰ δὲ τῆς ἔμπροσθεν έξεως, ὅσα χαλεπὰ καὶ ἄδικα ἐν οὐρανῷ γίγνεται, ταῦτα ἐξ έκείνης αὐτός τε έχει καὶ τοῖς ζώοις ἐναπεργάζεται. μετὰ μὲν οὖν τοῦ κυβερνήτου τὰ ζῶα τρέφων ἐν αὑτῶ σμικρὰ μὲν φλαῦρα, μεγάλα δὲ ἐνέτικτεν ἀγαθά χωριζόμενος δὲ ἐκείνου τὸν ἐγγύτατα χρόνον ἀεὶ τῆς ἀφέσεως κάλλιστα πάντα διάγει, προϊόντος δὲ τοῦ χρόνου καὶ λήθης ἐγγιγνομένης ἐν αὐτῷ μᾶλλον καὶ δυναστεύει τὸ τῆς παλαιᾶς ἀναρμοστίας πάθος, τελευτώντος δὲ ἐξανθεῖ τοῦ χρόνου, καὶ σμικρὰ μὲν πολλήν δè τ'nν των έναντίων έπεγκεραννύμενος έπὶ διαφθοράς κίνδυνον αύτοῦ τε άφικνεῖται καὶ τῶν ἐν αύτῷ. διὸ δὴ καὶ τότ' ἤδη θεὸς ὁ κοσμήσας αὐτόν, καθορῶν ἐν ἀπορίαις ὄντα, κηδόμενος ἵνα μή χειμασθείς ύπὸ ταραχής διαλυθείς είς τὸν τής άνομοιότητος ἄπειρον ὄντα πόντον δύη, πάλιν ἔφεδρος αὐτοῦ τῶν πηδαλίων γιγνόμενος, τὰ νοσήσαντα καὶ λυθέντα ἐν τῆ καθ' έαυτὸν προτέρα περιόδω στρέψας, κοσμεῖ τε καὶ έπανορθών άθάνατον αὐτὸν καὶ ἀγήρων ἀπεργάζεται. τοῦτο μέν οὖν τέλος άπάντων εἴρηται τὸ δ' ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ βασιλέως ἀπόδειξιν ίκανὸν ἐκ τοῦ πρόσθεν ἁπτομένοις τοῦ λόγου. στρεφθέντος γὰρ αὖ τοῦ κόσμου τὴν ἐτιὶ τὴν νῦν γένεσιν όδὸν τὸ τῆς ἡλικίας αὖ πάλιν ἵστατο καὶ καινὰ τἀναντία ἀπεδίδου τοῖς τότε. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ὑπὸ σμικρότητος ὀλίγου δέοντα ήφανίοθαι τῶν ζώων ηὐξάνετο, τὰ δ' ἐκ γῆς νεογενῆ σώματα πολιὰ φύντα πάλιν ἀποθνήσκοντα εἰς γῆν κατήει. καὶ τάλλά τε πάντα μετέβαλλε, ἀπομιμούμενα συνακολουθοῦντα τῷ τοῦ παντὸς παθήματι, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὸ τῆς

b 8 πάντα καλὰ π: πάντα τὰ καλὰ π | d 7 πόντον e (from e.g. Simplicius): τόπον m

274

ы belongs to it, itself taking charge of and mastering both the things within it and itself, because it remembered so far as it could the teaching of its craftsman and father. At the beginning it fulfilled it more accurately, but in the end more dimly; the cause of this was the bodily element in its mixture, its accompaniment since its origins long **b**5 in the past, because this element was marked by great disorder before entering into the present world-order. For from the one who put it together the world possesses all fine things; but from its previous c1 condition, everything that is bad and unjust in the heavens - this it both has itself from that source, and produces in its turn in living creatures. So while it reared living creatures in itself in company with c5 the steersman, it created only slight evils, and great goods; but in separation from him, during all the time closest to the moment of letting go, it manages everything very well, but as time moves on and forgetfulness increases in it, the condition of its original disharmony d1 also takes greater control of it, and, as this time ends, comes to full flower, and the goods it mixes in are slight, but the admixture it causes of the opposite is great, and it reaches the point where it is in danger of destruction, both of itself and of the things in it. It is for this reason that now the god who ordered it, seeing it in difficulties, d5 and concerned that it should not, storm-tossed as it is, be broken apart in confusion and sink into the boundless sea of unlikeness, takes his e1 position again at its steering-oars, and having turned round what had become diseased and been broken apart in the previous rotation, when it was left to itself, orders it and by setting it straight renders it immortal and ageless. What has been said, then, is the end-point of everything; as for what is relevant to our showing the nature of the king, it is sufficient if we take up the account from what went before. е5 When the world-order had been turned back again on the course that leads to the kind of coming-into-being which obtains now, the movement of the ages of living creatures once again stopped and produced new effects which were the opposite of what previously happened. For those living creatures that were close to disappearing through smallness began to increase in size, while those bodies that e10 had just been born from the earth already grey-haired began to die again and return into the earth. And everything else changed, imitating and following on the condition of the universe, and in 274

κυήσεως καὶ γεννήσεως καὶ τροφής μίμημα συνείπετο τοίς (274)πασιν ὑπ' ἀνάγκης οὐ γὰρ ἐξῆν ἔτ' ἐν γῆ δι' ἑτέρων συνιστάντων φύεσθαι ζώον, άλλὰ καθάπερ τώ προσετέτακτο αὐτοκράτορα εἶναι τῆς αὑτοῦ πορείας, οὕτω δὴ 5 κατὰ ταὐτὰ καὶ τοῖς μέρεσιν αὐτοῖς δι' αὑτῶν, καθ' ὅσον οἷόν τ' ην, φύειν τε καὶ γεννάν καὶ τρέφειν προσετάττετο ύπὸ τῆς ὁμοίας ἀγωγῆς, οὖ δὲ ἔνεκα ὁ λόγος ὥρμηκε πᾶς, b έπ' αὐτῷ νῦν ἐσμὲν ἤδη, περὶ μὲν γὰρ τῶν ἄλλων θηρίων πολλά ἂν καὶ μακρά διεξελθεῖν γίγνοιτο, ἐξ ὧν ἕκαστα καὶ δι' ας αιτίας μεταβέβληκε περί δε ανθρώπων βραχύτερα καί μαλλον προσήκοντα. της γαρ τοῦ κεκτημένου καὶ νέμοντος ήμας δαίμονος ἀπερημωθέντες ἐπιμελείας, τών πολλών αὖ θηρίων, ὅσα χαλεπὰ τὰς φύσεις ἦν, ἀπαγριωθέντων, αὐτοὶ δὲ ἀσθενεῖς ἄνθρωποι καὶ ἀφύλακτοι γεγονότες διηρπάζοντο ὑπ' αὐτῶν, καὶ ἔτ' ἀμήχανοι καὶ ἄτεχνοι κατὰ τοὺς πρώτους ήσαν χρόνους, άτε της μέν αὐτομάτης τροφης ἐπιλελοιπυίας, πορίζεσθαι δὲ οὐκ ἐπιστάμενοί πω διὰ τὸ μηδεμίαν αὐτοὺς χρείαν πρότερον αναγκάζειν, έκ τούτων πάντων έν μεγάλαις ἀπορίαις ἦσαν. ὅθεν δὴ τὰ πάλαι λεχθέντα παρὰ θεῶν δῶρα ήμιν δεδώρηται μετ' ἀναγκαίας διδαχής καὶ παιδεύσεως, πῦρ μὲν παρὰ Προμηθέως, τέχναι δὲ παρ' Ἡφαίστου καὶ τῆς συντέχνου, σπέρματα δὲ αὖ καὶ φυτὰ παρ' ἄλλων καὶ πάνθ' d όπόσα τὸν ἀνθρώπινον βίον συγκατεσκεύακεν ἐκ τούτων γέγονεν, ἐπειδὴ τὸ μὲν ἐκ θεῶν, ὅπερ ἐρρήθη νυνδή, τῆς ἐπιμελείας ἐπέλιπεν ἀνθρώπους, δι' ἑαυτῶν τε ἔδει τήν τε διαγωγήν καὶ τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν αὐτοὺς αύτῶν ἔχειν καθάπερ 5 όλος ὁ κόσμος, ὧ συμμιμούμενοι καὶ συνεπόμενοι τὸν ἀεὶ χρόνον νῦν μὲν οὕτως, τοτὲ δὲ ἐκείνως ζῶμέν τε καὶ φυόμεθα. καὶ τὸ μὲν δὴ τοῦ μύθου τέλος ἐχέτω, χρήσιμον δὲ αὐτὸν ποιησόμεθα πρὸς τὸ κατιδεῖν ὅσον ἡμάρτομεν ἀποφηνάμενοι τὸν βασιλικόν τε καὶ πολιτικὸν ἐν τῶ πρόσθε λόγω. 5

NE. ΣΩ. Πῶς οὖν καὶ πόσον ἁμάρτημα φης εἶναι γεγονὸς ἡμῖν;

d l άλλων e: άλλήλων m

particular, there was a change to the mode of conception, birth and (274)rearing, which necessarily imitated and kept pace with the change to everything; for it was no longer possible for a living creature to grow within the earth under the agency of others' putting it together, but a5 just as the world-order had been instructed to be master of its own motion, so too in the same way its parts were instructed themselves to perform the functions of conception, birth and rearing so far as possible by themselves, under the agency of a similar impulse. We ь1 are now at the point that our account has all along been designed to reach. To go through the changes that have occurred in relation to each kind of animals, and from what causes, would involve a description of considerable length; those that relate to human beings will be shorter to relate and more to the point. Having been deprived of b5 the care of the god who had us as his own and pastured us, and since for their part the majority of animals - as many as had an agressive nature had gone wild, human beings, by themselves weak and defenceless, were preved on by them, and in those first times were still without c1 resources and without expertise of any kind, because although their spontaneous supply of food was no longer available to them, they did not yet know how to provide for themselves, in the absence of any need to do so previously. As a result of all of this they were in great с5 difficulties. This is why the gifts from the gods, of which we have ancient reports, have been given to us, along with an indispensable requirement for teaching and education: fire from Prometheus, crafts from Hephaestus and his fellow-craftworker, and again seeds and d1 plants from others; and everything that has helped to establish human life has come about from these things, since care from the gods, as has just been said, ceased to be available to human beings, and they had to live their lives through their own resources and take care for d5 themselves, just like the world-order as a whole, which we imitate and follow for all time, now living and growing in this way, now in the way we did then. As for the matter of our story, let it now be el ended, and we shall put it to use in order to see how great our mistake was when we gave our account of the expert in kingship and statesmanship in our preceding argument.

Y.S.: So how do you say we made a mistake, and how great was it?

e5

ΞΕ. Τη μèν βραχύτερον, τη δè μάλα γενναίον καὶ πολλῷ μείζον καὶ πλέον ἢ τότε.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς:

ΞΕ. "Ότι μὲν ἐρωτώμενοι τὸν ἐκ τῆς νῦν περιφορᾶς καὶ γενέσεως βασιλέα καὶ πολιτικὸν τὸν ἐκ τῆς ἐναντίας περιόδου ποιμένα τῆς τότε ἀνθρωπίνης ἀγέλης εἴπομεν, καὶ ταῦτα θεὸν ἀντὶ θνητοῦ, ταύτη μὲν πάμπολυ παρηνέχθημεν ὅτι δὲ συμπάσης τῆς πόλεως ἄρχοντα αὐτὸν ἀπεφήναμεν, ὅντινα δὲ τρόπον οὐ διείπομεν, ταύτη δὲ αὖ τὸ μὲν λεχθὲν ἀληθές, οὐ μὴν ὅλον γε οὐδὲ σαφὲς ἐρρήθη, διὸ καὶ βραχύτερον ἢ κατ' ἐκεῖνο ἡμαρτήκαμεν.

275

10

5

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Aληθη̂.

ΞΕ. Δεῖ τοίνυν τὸν τρόπον, ὡς ἔοικε, διορίσαντας τῆς ἀρχῆς τῆς πόλεως οὕτω τελέως τὸν πολιτικὸν ἡμῖν εἰρῆσθαι προσδοκᾶν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς.

ΞΕ. Διὰ ταῦτα μὴν καὶ τὸν μῦθον παρεθέμεθα, ἵνα ἐνδείξαιτο περὶ τῆς ἀγελαιοτροφίας μὴ μόνον ὡς πάντες αὐτῆς ἀμφιοβητοῦσι τῷ ζητουμένῳ τὰ νῦν, ἀλλὰ κἀκεῖνον αὐτὸν ἐναργέστερον ἴδοιμεν, ὃν προσήκει μόνον κατὰ τὸ παράδειγμα ποιμένων τε καὶ βουκόλων τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ἐπιμέλειαν ἔχοντα τροφῆς τούτου μόνον ἀξιωθῆναι τοῦ προσρήματος.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. Οἷμαι δέ γ', ὧ Σώκρατες, τοῦτο μὲν ἔτι μεῖζον ἢ κατὰ βασιλέα εἶναι τὸ σχῆμα τὸ τοῦ θείου νομέως, τοὺς δ' ἐνθάδε νῦν ὄντας πολιτικοὺς τοῖς ἀρχομένοις ὁμοίους τε εἶναι μᾶλλον πολὺ τὰς φύσεις καὶ παραπλησιαίτερον παιδείας μετειληφέναι καὶ τροφῆς.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάντως που.

ΞΕ. Ζητητέοι γε μὴν οὐδὲν ἂν εἴησαν οὔθ' ἦττον οὔτε μᾶλλον, εἴθ' οὕτως εἴτ' ἐκείνως πεφύκασιν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τῆδε δὴ πάλιν ἐπανέλθωμεν. ἣν γὰρ ἔφαμεν

c 9 τῆδε c: τί δὲ m

E.S.: In one way it was lesser, in another it was very high-minded, and much greater and more extensive than in the other case.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: In that when asked for the king and statesman from the present rotation and mode of generation we replied with the shepherd from

the opposite period, the one of the human herd that existed then, and that a god instead of mortal – in that way we went very greatly astray; but in that we revealed him as ruling over the whole city together, but did not specify in what manner, in this way, by contrast, what was

said was true, but the whole of it was not said, nor was it clear, which is why our mistake was lesser than in the other respect.

Y.S.: Truc.

E.S.: So we should define the manner of his rule over the city; it's in this way that we should expect our discussion of the statesman to reach its completion.

Y.S.: Right.

a10

E.S.: It was just for these reasons that we introduced our story, in order that it might demonstrate in relation to herd-rearing not only that everyone now disputes this function with the person we are looking for, but also in order that we might see more plainly that very

person, whom alone, in accordance with the example of shepherds and cowherds, having charge of human rearing, it is appropriate to think worthy of this name alone.

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: But in my view, Socrates, this figure of the divine herdsman is still greater than that of a king, and the statesmen who belong to our present era are much more like their subjects in their natures and have shared in an education and nurture closer to theirs.

c5 Y.S.: I suppose you must be right.

E.S.: Yet they will be neither less nor more worth looking for, whether their natures are of the latter or of the former kind.

Y.S.: Quite.

E.S.: Then let's go back by the following route. The kind of expertise

αὐτεπιτακτικὴν μὲν εἶναι τέχνην ἐπὶ ζώοις, οὐ μὴν ἰδία γε ἀλλὰ κοινῆ τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ἔχουσαν, καὶ προσείπομεν δὴ τότε γε εὐθὺς ἀγελαιοτροφικήν — μέμνησαι γάρ;

10

d

5

5

276

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Ταύτης τοίνυν τη διημαρτάνομεν τον γαρ πολιτικον οὐδαμοῦ συνελάβομεν οὐδ' ἀνομάσαμεν, ἀλλ' ἡμᾶς ἔλαθεν κατὰ τὴν ὀνομασίαν ἐκφυγών.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς:

ΞΕ. Τοῦ τὰς ἀγέλας ἐκάστας τρέφειν τοῖς μὲν ἄλλοις που πᾶσι μέτεστι νομεῦσι, τῷ πολιτικῷ δὲ οὐ μετὸν ἐπηνέγκαμεν τοὕνομα, δέον τῶν κοινῶν ἐπενεγκεῖν τι σύμπασιν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθη λέγεις, είπερ ἐπύγχανέ γε ὄν.

ΞΕ. Πῶς δ' οὐκ ἦν τό γε θεραπεύειν που πᾶσι κοινόν, μηδὲν διορισθείσης τροφῆς μηδέ τινος ἄλλης πραγματείας; ἀλλ' ἤ τινα ἀγελαιοκομικὴν ἢ θεραπευτικὴν ἢ καί τινα ἐπιμελητικὴν αὐτὴν ὀνομάσασιν ὡς κατὰ πάντων ἐξῆν περικαλύπτειν καὶ τὸν πολιτικὸν ἄμα τοῖς ἄλλοις, ἐπειδὴ δεῖν τοῦτ' ἐσήμαινεν ὁ λόγος.

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Όρθ $\hat{\omega}$ ς, ἀλλ' ή μετὰ τοῦτο διαίρεσις αὖ τίνα τρόπον ἐγίγνετ' ἄν;

ΞΕ. Κατὰ ταὐτὰ καθ' ἄπερ ἔμπροσθεν διηρούμεθα τὴν ἀγελαιοτροφικὴν πεζοῖς τε καὶ ἀπτῆσι, καὶ ἀμείκτοις τε καὶ ἀκεράτοις, τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἄν που τούτοις διαιρούμενοι καὶ τὴν ἀγελαιοκομικὴν τήν τε νῦν καὶ τὴν ἐπὶ Κρόνου βασιλείαν περιειληφότες ἂν ἦμεν ὁμοίως ἐν τῷ λόγῳ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεται ζητώ δὲ αὖ τί τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο.

ΞΕ. Δῆλον ὅτι λεχθέντος οὕτω τοῦ τῆς ἀγελαιοκομικῆς ὀνόματος οὐκ ἄν ποτε ἐγένεθ' ἡμῖν τό τινας ἀμφισβητεῖν ὡς οὐδ' ἐπιμέλεια τὸ παράπαν ἐστίν, ὥσπερ τότε δικαίως ἡμφεσβητήθη μηδεμίαν εἶναι τέχνην ἐν ἡμῖν ἀξίαν τούτου τοῦ θρεπτικοῦ προσρήματος, εἰ δ' οὖν τις εἴη, πολλοῖς πρότερον αὐτῆς καὶ μᾶλλον προσήκειν ἤ τινι τῶν βασιλέων. ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Ορθῶς.

ΞΕ. Ἐπιμέλεια δέ γε ἀνθρωπίνης συμπάσης κοινωνίας

d 1–2 τότε γε n: τότε n, O

we said was 'self-directing' in the case of living creatures, but which took its care of them not as individuals but in groups, and which we then went on immediately to call herd-rearing – you remember?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: Well, we missed in a way in our aim at this; for we did not at all succeed in grasping the statesman along with the rest or name him, but he eluded us in our naming without our noticing.

Y.S.: How so?

**d5** 

el

e5

Ы1

b5

E.S.: All the other kinds of herdsmen, I think, share the feature of rearing their several herds, but although the statesman does not we still applied the name to him, when we should have applied to all of them one of the names that belongs in common to them.

Y.S.: What you say is true, if indeed there is such a name.

E.S.: And how would – perhaps – 'looking after' not have been common to them all, without any specification of it as 'rearing', or any other sort of activity? By calling it some kind of expertise in 'herd-keeping' or 'looking after', or 'caring for', as applying to them all, we could have covered the statesman too as well as the rest, given that this was the requirement our argument indicated.

Y.S.: Correct. But in what way would the division following this be made?

E.S.: In the same way as we previously divided herd-rearing by footed and wingless, and non-interbreeding and hornless — by dividing herd-keeping too by these same things, I think, we would have included in our account both the present kind of kingship and that in the time of Kronos alike.

Y.S.: It seems so; but again I ask what step follows this.

E.S.: It's clear that if we had used the name 'herd-keeping' like this, no one would ever have contended with us on the grounds that there is no such thing as caring at all, as then, justly, it was contended that there was no kind of expertise available that deserved this appellation of 'rearing', but if there really were such a thing, that many people had a prior and better claim to it than any of our kings.

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: But care of the whole human community together - no other

285-16 By 2057-6-5-1 5-15-1 1077-1-1	(270
οὐδεμία ἂν ἐθελήσειεν ἐτέρα μᾶλλον καὶ προτέρα τῆς	
βασιλικής φάναι καὶ κατὰ πάντων ἀνθρώπων ἀρχής εἶναι	С
τέχνη.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Λέγεις ὀρθῶς.	
$\Xi E$ . Μετὰ ταῦτα δέ γε, $\hat{\omega}$ Σώκρατες, $\hat{\alpha}$ ρ' ἐννοοῦμεν ὅτι	
πρὸς αὐτῷ δὴ τῷ τέλει συχνὸν αὖ διημαρτάνετο;	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;	
ΞΕ. Τόδε, ώς ἄρ' εἰ καὶ διενοήθημεν ὅτι μάλιστα τῆς	
δίποδος ἀγέλης εἶναί τινα θρεπτικὴν τέχνην, οὐδέν τι	
μαλλον ήμας έδει βασιλικήν αὐτήν εὐθὺς καὶ πολιτικήν ώς	
ἀποτετελεσμένην προσαγορεύειν.	10
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί $\mu\eta\nu$ ;	
ΞΕ. Πρώτον μέν, ὃ λέγομεν, τοὔνομα μετασκευωρήσασθαι,	
πρὸς τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν μᾶλλον προσαγαγόντας ἢ τὴν τροφήν,	d
έπειτα ταύτην τέμνειν οὐ γὰρ σμικρὰς ἂν ἔχοι τμήσεις ἔτι.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . $\Pi$ oí $\alpha_S$ :	
ΞΕ. *Ηι τε τὸν θεῖον ἄν που διειλόμεθα νομέα χωρὶς καὶ	
τὸν ἀνθρώπινον ἐπιμελητήν.	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθῶς.	-
ΞΕ. Αὖθις δέ γε τὴν ἀπονεμηθεῖσαν ἐπιμελητικὴν δίχα	
τέμνειν ἀναγκαῖον ἡν.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Tiv;	
ΞΕ. Τῷ βιαίψ τε καὶ ἑκουσίψ.	10
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Tí $\delta\eta$ ;	
ΞΕ. Καὶ ταύτη που τὸ πρότερον ἁμαρτάνοντες εὐηθέστερα	e
τοῦ δέοντος εἰς ταὐτὸν βασιλέα καὶ τύραννον συνέθεμεν,	C
·	
ἀνομοιοτάτους ὄντας αὐτούς τε καὶ τὸν τῆς ἀρχῆς ἑκατέρου	
τρόπον.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Aληθη̂.	5
ΞΕ. Νῦν δέ γε πάλιν ἐπανορθούμενοι, καθάπερ εἶπον, τὴν	
ἀνθρωπίνην ἐπιμελητικὴν δίχα διαιρώμεθα, τῷ βιαίῳ τε καὶ	
έκουσίω;	
NE SO Hám uày oữy	

ΞΕ. Καὶ τὴν μέν γέ που τῶν βιαίων τυραννικήν, τὴν δὲ 10

(276) kind of expertise would be prepared to say that it had a better and

prior claim to being that than kingly rule, which is over all human beings.

Y.S.: What you say is correct.

E.S.: But after that, Socrates, do we see that at the very end of our account we again made a large mistake?

Y.S.: What sort of mistake?

E.S.: It was this, that even if we had been quite convinced that there was some expertise dealing with the rearing of the two-footed herd, we should certainly not for that reason immediately have called it that

of the king and statesman, as if that were the end of the matter.

Y.S.: What should we have done?

E.S.: First of all, as we are saying, we should have altered the name,

aligning it more with caring for things than with rearing, and then we should have cut this; for it would still offer room for cuts of no small size.

Y.S.: Where would they be?

E.S.: I imagine, where we would have divided off the divine herdsman, on one side, and the human carer on the other.

Y.S.: Correct.

d5

E.S.: But again we ought to have cut the art of the carer resulting from this apportionment into two.

Y.S.: By using what distinction?

E.S.: That between the enforced and the voluntary.

Y.S.: Why so?

E.S.: I think we made a mistake before in this way too, by behaving more simple-mindedly than we should have, putting king and tyrant into the same category, when both they themselves and the manner of their rule are very unlike one another.

e5 Y.S.: True.

E.S.: But now should we set things to rights again, and, as I said, should we divide the expertise of the human carer into two, by using the categories of the enforced and the voluntary?

Y.S.: Absolutely.

e10 E.S.: And should we perhaps call tyrannical the part that relates to

έκούσιον καὶ έκουσίων διπόδων ἀγελαιοκομικὴν ζώων προσειπόντες πολιτικήν, τὸν ἔχοντα αὖ τέχνην ταύτην καὶ ἐπιμέλειαν ὄντως ὄντα βασιλέα καὶ πολιτικὸν ἀποφαινώμεθα; Καὶ κινδυνεύει γε, ὧ ξένε, τελέως ἂν ἡμῖν οὕτως έχειν ή περί τὸν πολιτικὸν ἀπόδειξις.

277 5

Καλώς ἄν, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἡμῖν ἔχοι. δεῖ δὲ μὴ σοὶ μόνω ταῦτα, ἀλλὰ κάμοὶ μετὰ σοῦ κοινῆ συνδοκεῖν. νῦν δὲ κατά γε τὴν ἐμὴν οὔπω φαίνεται τέλεον ὁ βασιλεὺς ἡμῖν σχῆμα ἔχειν, ἀλλὰ καθάπερ ἀνδριαντοποιοὶ παρὰ καιρὸν ἐνίοτε σπεύδοντες πλείω καὶ μείζω τοῦ δέοντος ἔκαστα τῷ ἔργω ἐπεμβαλλόμενοι βραδύνουσι, καὶ νῦν ἡμεῖς, ἵνα δὴ πρὸς τῷ ταχύ καὶ μεγαλοπρεπώς δηλώσαιμεν τὸ τῆς ἔμπροσθεν άμάρτημα διεξόδου, τῷ βασιλεῖ νομίσαντες πρέπειν μεγάλα παραδείγματα ποιείσθαι, θαυμαστόν ὄγκον ἀράμενοι τοῦ μύθου, μείζονι τοῦ δέοντος ήναγκάσθημεν αὐτοῦ μέρει προσχρήσασθαι· διὸ μακροτέραν τὴν ἀπόδειξιν πεποιήκαμεν καὶ πάντως τῷ μύθῳ τέλος οὐκ ἐπέθεμεν, ἀλλ' ἀτεχνῶς ὁ λόγος ήμιν ώσπερ ζώον τὴν ἔξωθεν μὲν περιγραφὴν ἔοικεν ίκανῶς ἔχειν, τὴν δὲ οἶον τοῖς φαρμάκοις καὶ τῆ συγκράσει τῶν χρωμάτων ἐνάργειαν οὐκ ἀπειληφέναι πω, γραφῆς δὲ καὶ συμπάσης χειρουργίας λέξει καὶ λόγω δηλοῦν πᾶν ζώον μάλλον πρέπει τοῖς δυναμένοις ἔπεσθαι τοῖς δ' ἄλλοις διὰ χειρουργιῶν.

5

5

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τοῦτο μὲν ὀρθώς ὅπη δὲ ἡμῖν οὔπω φὴς ἱκανῶς ειρησθαι δήλωσον.

Χαλεπόν, ὧ δαιμόνιε, μὴ παραδείγμασι χρώμενον ίκανῶς ένδείκνυσθαί τι τῶν μειζόνων. κινδυνεύει γὰρ ἡμῶν ἔκαστος οἷον ὄναρ εἰδως ἄπαντα πάντ' αὖ πάλιν ώσπερ ὕπαρ ἀγνοεῖν. Πώς τοῦτ' εἶπες; NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .

ΞE. Καὶ μάλ' ἀτόπως ἔοικά γε ἐν τῷ παρόντι κινήσας τὸ περί της ἐπιστήμης πάθος ἐν ἡμίν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί δή:

Παραδείγματος, ὧ μακάριε, αὖ μοι καὶ τὸ παράδειγμα αὐτὸ δεδέηκεν.

a 7 τω ζργω c: των ζργων m, O

subjects who are forced, and the herd-keeping that is voluntary and relates to willing two-footed living creatures that which belongs to statesmanship, displaying, in his turn, the person who has this expertise and cares for his subjects in this way as being genuinely king and statesman?

Y.S.: Yes, Stranger, and it's likely that in this way our exposition concerning the statesman would reach completion.

E.S.: It would be a fine thing for us, Socrates. But this mustn't be just your view alone; I too have got to share it in common with you. And as it is, according to my view our discussion does not yet seem to have given a complete shape to the king, but just as sculptors sometimes hurry when it is not appropriate to do so and actually lose time by making additions and increasing the size of the various parts of their work beyond what is necessary, so too in our case, I suppose in order to give a grand as well as a quick demonstration of the

appropriate to the king to give large-scale illustrations, and took upon ourselves an astonishing mass of material in the shape of the story, so forcing ourselves to use a greater part of it than necessary; thus we have made our exposition longer, and have in every way failed to

mistake in the route we previously took, we thought it was

apply a finish to our story, and our account, just like a portrait, seems adequate in terms of its superficial outline, but not yet to have received its proper clarity, as it were with paints and the mixing together of colours. But it is not painting or any other kind of handicraft, but speech and discourse, which constitute the more fitting

medium for exhibiting every kind of living creature, for those who are able to follow; for the rest, it will be through handicrafts.

Y.S.: That much is correct; but show me how you say we have not yet given an adequate account.

d1 E.S.: It's a hard thing, my fine friend, to demonstrate any of the greater subjects without using models. It looks as if each of us knows everything in a kind of dreamlike way, and then again is ignorant of everything as it were when awake.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

a5

b1

b5

E.S.: I do seem now to have stirred up the subject of what happens to us in relation to knowledge in a very odd way.

Y.S.: In what way is that?

E.S.: It has turned out, my dear fellow, that the idea of a 'model' itself in its turn also has need of a model to demonstrate it.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν; λέγε μηδὲν ἐμοῦ γε ἕνεκα ἀποκνῶν.

ΞΕ. Λεκτέον ἐπειδὴ καὶ σύ γε ἔτοιμος ἀκολουθεῖν. τοὺς γάρ που παῖδας ἴσμεν, ὅταν ἄρτι γραμμάτων ἔμπειροι γίγνωνται —

5

278

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. "Ότι τῶν στοιχείων ἔκαστον ἐν ταῖς βραχυτάταις καὶ ράσταις τῶν συλλαβῶν ἱκανῶς διαισθάνονται, καὶ τἀληθῆ φράζειν περὶ ἐκεῖνα δυνατοὶ γίγνονται.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὕ;

ΞΕ. Ταὐτὰ δέ γε ταῦτα ἐν ἄλλαις ἀμφιγνοοῦντες πάλιν δόξη τε ψεύδονται καὶ λόγω.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. \*Αρ' οὖν οὐχ ὧδε ῥᾶστον καὶ κάλλιστον ἐπάγειν αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ τὰ μήπω γιγνωσκόμενα;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

'Ανάγειν πρώτον ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα ἐν οἷς ταὐτὰ ταῦτα ὀρθώς έδόξαζον, άναγαγόντας δὲ τιθέναι παρὰ τὰ γιγνωσκόμενα, καὶ παραβάλλοντας ἐνδεικνύναι τὴν αὐτὴν όμοιότητα καὶ φύσιν ἐν ἀμφοτέραις οὖσαν ταῖς συμπλοκαῖς. μέχριπερ αν πασι τοις αγνοουμένοις τα δοξαζόμενα αληθώς παρατιθέμενα δειχθή, δειχθέντα δέ, παραδείγματα ούτω γιγνόμενα, ποιήση τῶν στοιχείων ἔκαστον πάντων ἐν πάσαις ταῖς συλλαβαῖς τὸ μὲν ἔτερον ώς τῶν ἄλλων ἔτερον ὄν, τὸ δè ταύτὸν ယ်င ταύτὸν  $\alpha \in i$ κατὰ ταὐτὰ έαυτῶ προσαγορεύεσθαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Παντάπασι μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν τοῦτο μὲν ἱκανῶς συνειλήφαμεν, ὅτι παραδείγματός γ' ἐστὶ τότε γένεσις, ὁπόταν ὂν ταὐτὸν ἐν ἑτέρφ διεσπασμένφ δοξαζόμενον ὀρθῶς καὶ συναχθὲν περὶ ἑκάτερον καὶ συνάμφω μίαν ἀληθῆ δόξαν ἀποτελῆ;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεται.

ΞΕ. Θαυμάζοιμεν ἂν οὖν εἰ ταὐτὸν τοῦτο ἡμῶν ἡ ψυχὴ φύσει περὶ τὰ τῶν πάντων στοιχεῖα πεπονθυῖα τοτὲ μὲν ὑπ' ἀληθείας περὶ ε̈ν ἔκαστον ἔν τισι συνίσταται, τοτὲ δὲ περὶ

c7 καὶ συνάμφω n: ώς συνάμφω n,  $O \mid d2$  έν τισι συνίσταται n: έν τι συνίσταται n: έν τισιν ίσταται c

Y.S.: How so? Explain, and don't hold back for my sake. e1

> E.S.: Explain I must, in view of your own readiness to follow. I suppose we recognize that when children are just acquiring skill in reading and writing -

Y.S.: Recognize what? e5

> E.S.: That they distinguish each of the individual letters well enough in the shortest and easiest syllables, and come to be capable of indicating what is true in relation to them.

Y.S.: Of course. 278

> E.S.: But then once again they make mistakes about these very same letters in other syllables, and think and say what is false.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, isn't this the easiest and best way of leading them on a5 to the things they're not yet recognizing?

Y.S.: What way?

E.S.: To take them first back to those cases in which they were getting these same things right, and having done that, to put these beside what they're not yet recognizing, and by comparing them demonstrate that

bl there is the same kind of thing with similar features in both combinations, until the things that they are getting right have been shown set beside all the ones that they don't know, and once they

have been shown like this, and so become models, they bring it about ь5 that each of all the individual letters is called both different, on the basis that it is different from the others, and the same, on the basis c1

that it is always the same as and identical to itself, in all syllables.

Y.S.: Absolutely right.

E.S.: Well then, have we grasped this point adequately, that we come to be using a model when being the same thing in something different c5 and distinct, it is correctly identified, and having been brought together with the original thing, it brings about a single true judgement about each separately and both together?

Y.S.: It seems so.

E.S.: Then would we be surprised if our minds by their nature experienced this same thing in relation to the individual 'letters' of d1 everything, now collecting themselves in some cases with the aid of άπαντα ἐν ἑτέροις αὖ φέρεται, καὶ τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν ἁμῆ γέ πη τῶν συγκράσεων ὀρθῶς δοξάζει, μετατιθέμενα δ' εἰς τὰς τῶν πραγμάτων μακρὰς καὶ μὴ ῥαδίους συλλαβὰς ταὐτὰ ταῦτα πάλιν ἀγνοεῖ;

5

10

279

5

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ θαυμαστόν γε οὐδέν.

ΞΕ. Πῶς γάρ, ὧ φίλε, δύναιτο ἄν τις ἀρχόμενος ἀπὸ δόξης ψευδοῦς ἐπί τι τῆς ἀληθείας καὶ μικρὸν μέρος ἀφικόμενος κτήσασθαι φρόνησιν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Σχεδὸν οὐδαμῶς.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ταῦτα εἰ ταύτη πέφυκεν, οὐδὲν δὴ πλημμελοῖμεν ἂν ἐγώ τε καὶ σὺ πρῶτον μὲν ἐπιχειρήσαντες ὅλου παραδείγματος ἰδεῖν τὴν φύσιν ἐν σμικρῷ κατὰ μέρος ἄλλῳ παραδείγματι, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα μέλλοντες, ἐπὶ τὸ τοῦ βασιλέως μέγιστον ὂν ταὐτὸν εἶδος ἀπ' ἐλαττόνων φέροντές ποθεν, διὰ παραδείγματος ἐπιχειρεῖν αὖ τὴν τῶν κατὰ πόλιν θεραπείαν τέχνη γνωρίζειν, ἵνα ὕπαρ ἀντ' ὀνείρατος ἡμῖν γίγνηται;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν ὀρθώς.

ΞΕ. Πάλιν δὴ τὸν ἔμπροσθε λόγον ἀναληπτέον, ὡς ἐπειδὴ τῷ βασιλικῷ γένει τῆς περὶ τὰς πόλεις ἐπιμελείας ἀμφισβητοῦσι μυρίοι, δεῖ δὴ πάντας ἀποχωρίζειν τούτους καὶ μόνον ἐκεῖνον λείπειν καὶ πρὸς τοῦτο δὴ παραδείγματος ἔφαμεν δεῖν τινος ἡμῖν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ μάλα.

ΞΕ. Τί δῆτα παράδειγμά τις ἄν, ἔχον τὴν αὐτὴν πολιτικῆ πραγματείαν, σμικρότατον παραθέμενος ἱκανῶς ἂν εὕροι τὸ ζητούμενον; βούλει πρὸς Διός, ὧ Σώκρατες, εἰ μή τι πρόχειρον ἔτερον ἔχομεν, ἀλλ' οὖν τήν γε ὑφαντικὴν προελώμεθα; καὶ ταύτην, εἰ δοκεῖ, μὴ πᾶσαν, ἀποχρήσει γὰρ ἴσως ἡ περὶ τὰ ἐκ τῶν ἐρίων ὑφάσματα· τάχα γὰρ ἂν ἡμῖν καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος αὐτῆς μαρτυρήσειε προαιρεθὲν ὅ βουλόμεθα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί γὰρ οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τί δῆτα οὐ, καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς ἔμπροσθε τέμνοντες μέρη

d 8 γάρ m: ἄρα c | c 6 παραδείγματος m: πράγματος c | c 7 μέλλοντες m: μεταβάλλοντες c | a 7 πολιτικῆ c: πολιτικὴν m

truth in relation to each single thing, now, in others, all at sea in relation to all of them, and somehow or other getting the constituents of the combinations themselves right, but once again not knowing these same things when they are transferred into the long syllables of

these same things when they are transferred into the long syllables of things and the ones that are not easy?

Y.S.: There would be absolutely nothing surprising in it.

E.S.: Right, my friend: how could anyone begin from false belief and get to even a small part of the truth, and so acquire wisdom?

Y.S.: I dare say it's impossible.

E.S.: Well, if that's the way it is, the two of us would not at all be in the wrong in having first attempted to see the nature of models as a whole in their turn in the specific case of a further small model, with the intention then of bringing, in order to apply it to the case of the king, which is of the greatest importance, something of the same sort from smaller things somewhere, in an attempt once more through the use of a model to recognize in an expert, systematic way what looking after those in the city is, so that it may be present to us in our waking state instead of in a dream?

Y.S.: Absolutely right.

E.S.: Then we must take up once again what we were saying before, to the effect that since tens of thousands of people dispute the role of caring for cities with the kingly class, what we have to do is to separate all these off and leave the king on his own; and it was just for this purpose that we said we needed a model.

Y.S.: Very much so.

E.S.: So what model, occupied in the same activities as statesmanship, on a very small scale, could one compare with it, and so discover in a satisfactory way what we are looking for? By Zeus, Socrates, if we don't have anything else to hand, well, there is weaving – do you want us to choose that? Not all of it, if you agree, since perhaps the weaving of cloth from wool will suffice; maybe it is this part of it, if

we choose it, which would provide the testimony we want.

Y.S.: I've certainly no objection.

E.S.: Why then don't we do the very same thing we did in what

μερών έκαστον διηρούμεθα, καὶ νῦν περὶ ὑφαντικὴν ταὐτὸν τοῦτ' ἐδράσαμεν, καὶ κατὰ δύναμιν ὅτι μάλιστα διὰ βραχέων ο ταχὺ πάντ' ἐπελθόντες πάλιν ἤλθομεν ἐπὶ τὸ νῦν χρήσιμον;

5

280

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς λέγεις;

ΞΕ. Αὐτὴν τὴν διέξοδον ἀπόκρισίν σοι ποιήσομαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστ' είπες.

Έστι τοίνυν πάντα ήμιν όπόσα δημιουργοθμεν καί ΞE. κτώμεθα, τὰ μὲν ἕνεκα τοῦ ποιεῖν τι, τὰ δὲ τοῦ μὴ πάσχειν άμυντήρια καὶ τῶν ἀμυντηρίων τὰ μὲν ἀλεξιφάρμακα καὶ θεία καὶ ἀνθρώπινα, τὰ δὲ προβλήματα τῶν δὲ προβλημάτων τὰ μὲν πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον ὁπλίσματα, τὰ δὲ φράγματα καὶ τών φραγμάτων τὰ μὲν παραπετάσματα, τὰ δὲ πρὸς χειμώνας καὶ καύματα άλεξητήρια τών δὲ άλεξητηρίων τὰ μέν στεγάσματα, τὰ δὲ σκεπάσματα καὶ τῶν σκεπασμάτων ύποπετάσματα μέν ἄλλα, περικαλύμματα δέ περικαλυμμάτων δὲ τὰ μὲν ὁλόσχιστα, σύνθετα δὲ ἔτερα τῶν δὲ συνθέτων τὰ μὲν τρητά, τὰ δὲ ἄνευ τρήσεως συνδετά καὶ τῶν ἀτρήτων τὰ μὲν νεύρινα φυτῶν ἐκ γῆς, τὰ δὲ τρίχινα τῶν δὲ τριχίνων τὰ μὲν ὕδασι καὶ γῆ κολλητά, τὰ δὲ αὐτὰ αὑτοῖς συνδετά, τούτοισι δὴ τοῖς ἐκ τῶν ἑαυτοῖς συνδουμένων ἐργασθεῖσιν ἀμυντηρίοις καὶ σκεπάσμασι τὸ μὲν όνομα ίμάτια ἐκαλέσαμεν τὴν δὲ τῶν ἱματίων μάλιστα ἐπιμελουμένην τέχνην, ὥσπερ τότε τὴν τῆς πόλεως πολιτικὴν εἴπομεν, οὕτω καὶ νῦν ταύτην προσείπωμεν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ πράγματος ίματιουργικήν; φώμεν δὲ καὶ ὑφαντικήν, ὅσον ἐπὶ τῆ τῶν ἱματίων ἐργασία μέγιστον ἦν μόριον, διαφέρειν πλὴν ὀνόματι ταύτης τῆς ἱματιουργικῆς, καθάπερ κάκει τότε την βασιλικήν της πολιτικης;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ὁρθότατά γε.

ΞΕ. Τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο δὴ συλλογισώμεθα ὅτι τὴν ἱματίων ὑφαντικὴν οὕτω ἡηθεῖσάν τις τάχ' ἂν ἱκανῶς εἰρῆσθαι δόξειεν, μὴ δυνάμενος συννοεῖν ὅτι τῶν μὲν ἐγγὺς συνεργῶν οὕπω διώρισται, πολλῶν δὲ ἑτέρων συγγενῶν ἀπεμερίσθη. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποίων, εἰπέ, συγγενῶν;

preceded, dividing each thing by cutting parts of parts, now too in relation to weaving, and get back to what is useful in the present context after covering everything as briefly and quickly as we can?

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: I shall make my answer to you by just going through it.

c5 Y.S.: An excellent suggestion.

e1

280

a5

ь1

E.S.: Well then: all the things we make and acquire are either for the sake of doing something or things that protect us from something's

happening to us; of preventives, some are charms, whether divine or human, warding things off, others forms of defence; of forms of defence some are ways of arming for war, others forms of protection; of forms of protection some are screens, others means of warding off cold and hot weather; of the latter type of protectives some are

shelters, others coverings; of coverings one sort consists of things spread under, a different sort of things put round; of things put round, some are cut out in one piece, a different sort are compound; of the

compound some are perforated, others bound together without perforation; of the unperforated some are made of the 'sinews' of things growing from the earth, others of hair; of those made of hair, some are stuck together by means of water and earth, others are

themselves bound together with themselves. It is to these preventives and coverings manufactured from materials that are being bound together with themselves that we give the name 'clothes'; as for the

expertise that especially has charge of clothes – just as before we gave the name of 'statesmanship' to the sort of expertise that especially had charge of the state, so too now shall we call this sort 'the art of clothes-making', from the thing itself? And shall we say that weaving too, in so far as it represented the largest part in relation to the

manufacture of clothes, does not differ at all, except in name, from this art of clothes-making, just as in that other case we said that the art of kingship did not differ from that of statesmanship?

Y.S.: Yes; absolutely correct.

E.S.: As for what comes next, let's reflect that someone might perhaps suppose that weaving had been adequately described when put like this, being unable to grasp that it had not yet been divided off from those co-operative arts that border on it, while it had been parcelled off from many other related ones.

Y.S.: Tell me – which related ones?

ΞΕ. Οὐχ ἔσπου τοῖς λεχθεῖσιν, ὡς φαίνη πάλιν οὖν ἔοικεν ἐπανιτέον ἀρχόμενον ἀπὸ τελευτῆς. εἰ γὰρ συννοεῖς τὴν οἰκειότητα, τὴν μὲν διετέμομεν ἀπ' αὐτῆς νυνδή, τὴν τῶν στρωμάτων σύνθεσιν περιβολῆ χωρίζοντες καὶ ὑποβολῆ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Μανθάνω.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν τὴν ἐκ τῶν λίνων καὶ σπάρτων καὶ πάντων ὁπόσα φυτῶν ἄρτι νεῦρα κατὰ λόγον εἴπομεν, δημιουργίαν πᾶσαν ἀφείλομεν· τήν τε αὖ πιλητικὴν ἀφωρισάμεθα καὶ τὴν τρήσει καὶ ῥαφῆ χρωμένην σύνθεσιν, ἦς ἡ πλείστη σκυτοτομική.

5

5

281

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

Καὶ τοίνυν τὴν τῶν ὁλοσχίστων σκεπασμάτων θεραπείαν δερματουργικήν καὶ τὰς τῶν στεγασμάτων, ὅσαι τε έν οἰκοδομική καὶ ὅλη τεκτονική καὶ ἐν ἄλλαις τέχναις ρευμάτων στεκτικαί γίγνονται, συμπάσας ἀφείλομεν, ὅσαι τε περὶ τὰς κλοπὰς καὶ τὰς βία πράξεις διακωλυτικὰ ἔργα παρέχονται τέχναι φραγμάτων. περί ἐπιθηματουργίας οὖσαι καὶ τὰς τῶν θυρωμάτων πήξεις, γομφωτικής ἀπονεμηθείσαι μόρια τέχνης τήν τε όπλοποιικήν ἀπετεμόμεθα, μεγάλης καὶ παντοίας τῆς προβληματουργικῆς τμήμα οὖσαν δυνάμεως καὶ δὴ καὶ τὴν μαγευτικὴν τὴν περὶ τὰ ἀλεξιφάρμακα κατ' ἀρχὰς εὐθὺς διωρισάμεθα σύμπασαν, καὶ λελοίπαμεν, ώς δόξαιμεν ἄν, αὐτὴν τὴν ζητηθεῖσαν άμυντικήν χειμώνων, έρεοῦ προβλήματος έργαστικήν, ὄνομα δὲ ὑφαντικὴν λεχθεῖσαν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Γεοικε γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλ' οὐκ ἔστι πω τέλεον, ὧ παῖ, τοῦτο λελεγμένον. ὁ γὰρ ἐν ἀρχῆ τῆς τῶν ἱματίων ἐργασίας ἁπτόμενος τοὐναντίον ὑφῆ δρᾶν φαίνεται.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πώς:

ΞΕ. Τὸ μὲν τῆς ὑφῆς συμπλοκή τίς ἐστί που.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Τὸ δέ γε τῶν συνεστώτων καὶ συμπεπιλημένων 5 διαλυτική.

E.S.: It appears that you didn't follow what's been said; so it seems we must go back again, starting from the end. If you grasp the kinship in this case, we cut off one 'related' expertise from it just now, separating off the putting together of blankets by means of the distinction between putting round and putting under.

Y.S.: I understand.

E.S.: What's more, we took away all craftwork out of flax, esparto, and all of what we just now by analogy called 'sinews' of plants; again we divided off both the art of felting and the sort of putting together that uses perforation and sewing, of which the largest is the art of cobbling.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Still further, working with skins, which looks after coverings cut in a single piece, and those kinds of activity that look after shelters, all those involved in building and carpentry in general and, in other

- kinds of expertise, contriving shelter from inflowing water all of these we took away, and all those kinds of expertise in forms of protection that offer preventive products in relation to thefts and violent acts, and that have to do with the carrying out of the work of
- lid-making, and fixings to doorways, those assigned as parts of the art of joinery; and we cut away the art of arms-manufacture, a segment of that great and varied capacity which is defence-production; and then
- again our first and immediate move was to divide off the whole of the art of magic which is concerned with protective charms, and we have left behind as we might suppose the very expertise we looked for, which protects us against cold weather, productive of a woollen defence, and called by the name of weaving.
- e5 Y.S.: Yes, that seems to be so.

E.S.: But put like this, my boy, it is not yet complete. The person who puts his hand first to the production of clothes seems to do the opposite of weaving.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: The business of weaving, I suppose, is a kind of intertwining.

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: But in fact what I'm talking about is a matter of breaking apart things that are combined, even matted, together.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον δή;

ΞΕ. Τὸ τῆς τοῦ ξαίνοντος τέχνης ἔργον. ἢ τὴν ξαντικὴν τολμήσομεν ὑφαντικὴν καὶ τὸν ξάντην ὡς ὄντα ὑφάντην καλεῖν:

(281)

10

b

5

10

С

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν τήν γε αὖ στήμονος ἐργαστικὴν καὶ κρόκης εἴ τις ὑφαντικὴν προσαγορεύει, παράδοξόν τε καὶ ψεῦδος ὄνομα λέγει.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; κναφευτικὴν σύμπασαν καὶ τὴν ἀκεστικὴν πότερα μηδεμίαν ἐπιμέλειαν μηδέ τινα θεραπείαν ἐσθῆτος θῶμεν, ἢ καὶ ταύτας πάσας ὡς ὑφαντικὰς λέξομεν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.

ΞΕ. `Αλλὰ μὴν τῆς γε θεραπείας ἀμφισβητήσουσιν αὖται σύμπασαι καὶ τῆς γενέσεως τῆς τῶν ἱματίων τῆ τῆς ὑφαντικῆς δυνάμει, μέγιστον μὲν μέρος ἐκείνη διδοῦσαι, μεγάλα δὲ καὶ σφίσιν αὐταῖς ἀπονέμουσαι.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ  $\gamma\epsilon$ .

ΣΕ. Πρὸς τοίνυν ταύταις ἔτι τὰς τῶν ἐργαλείων δημιουργοὺς τέχνας, δι' ὧν ἀποτελεῖται τὰ τῆς ὑφῆς ἔργα, δοκεῖν χρὴ τό γε συναιτίας εἶναι προσποιήσεσθαι παντὸς ὑφάσματος.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθότατα.

ΞΕ. Πότερον οὖν ἡμῖν ὁ περὶ τῆς ὑφαντικῆς λόγος, οὖ προειλόμεθα μέρους, ἱκανῶς ἔσται διωρισμένος, ἐὰν ἄρ' αὐτὴν τῶν ἐπιμελειῶν ὁπόσαι περὶ τὴν ἐρεᾶν ἐσθῆτα, εἰς τὴν καλλίστην καὶ μεγίστην πασῶν τιθῶμεν ἢ λέγοιμεν μὲν ἄν τι ἀληθές, οὐ μὴν σαφές γε οὐδὲ τέλεον, πρὶν ἂν καὶ ταύτας αὐτῆς πάσας περιέλωμεν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν μετὰ ταῦτα ποιητέον ὃ λέγομεν, ἵν' ἐφεξῆς ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος ἵη;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ;

ΞΕ. Πρώτον μὲν τοίνυν δύο τέχνας οὔσας περὶ πάντα τὰ

c 4 προσποιήσεσθαι e: προσποιήσασθαι m

(281) Y.S.: What is it you're referring to?

E.S.: The function of the art of the carder. Or shall we dare to call the art of carding the art of weaving and the carder as if he were a weaver?

a10 Y.S.: Certainly not.

E.S.: And then too if someone calls the art of manufacturing warp and woof 'weaving', he is using a name that is not only odd but false.

Y.S.: Quite.

b1

c5

E.S.: And what about these cases? Are we to posit the whole of the art of fulling, and clothes-mending, as being no sort of care for

clothes, nor as any sort of looking after them, or shall we refer to all of these too as arts of weaving?

Y.S.: Certainly not.

E.S.: Yet all of these will dispute the role of looking after and the production of clothes with the capacity of the weaving art, conceding

a very large part to it, but assigning large shares to themselves too.

c1 Y.S.: Certainly.

E.S.: Then again, in addition to these, we must suppose that the kinds of expertise responsible for crafting the tools through which what weaving does is completed will also lay claim to being at least a contributory cause of every woven article.

Y.S.: Ouite correct.

E.S.: So will our account of that part of the art of weaving we selected be sufficiently definite, if we turn out to set it down as finest and

greatest of all those kinds of care that exist in relation to woollen clothing; or would we be saying something true, but not clear, or complete, until such time as we remove all of these too from around it?

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: Then after this we must do as we say, in order that our account may proceed in due order?

Y.S.: Ouite.

E.S.: Well then, let's look at two kinds of expertise that exist in

δρώμενα θεασώμεθα.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Tivas:

10

5

10

282

ΞΕ. Τὴν μὲν γενέσεως οὖσαν συναίτιον, τὴν δ' αὐτὴν αἰτίαν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς:

ΣΕ. "Οσαι μὲν τὸ πρᾶγμα αὐτὸ μὴ δημιουργοῦσι, ταῖς δὲ δημιουργούσαις ὄργανα παρασκευάζουσιν, ὧν μὴ παραγενομένων οὐκ ἄν ποτε ἐργασθείη τὸ προστεταγμένον ἐκάστη τῶν τεχνῶν, ταύτας μὲν συναιτίους, τὰς δὲ αὐτὸ τὸ πρᾶγμα ἀπεργαζομένας αἰτίας.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Έχει γοῦν λόγον.

ΞΕ. Μετὰ τοῦτο δὴ τὰς μὲν περί τε ἀτράκτους καὶ κερκίδας καὶ ὁπόσα ἄλλα ὄργανα τῆς περὶ τὰ ἀμφιέσματα γενέσεως κοινωνεῖ, πάσας συναιτίους εἴπωμεν, τὰς δὲ αὐτὰ θεραπευούσας καὶ δημιουργούσας αἰτίας;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθότατα.

ΞΕ. Τῶν αἰτιῶν δὴ πλυντικὴν μὲν καὶ ἀκεστικὴν καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν περὶ ταῦτα θεραπευτικήν, πολλῆς οὕσης τῆς κοσμητικῆς, τοὐνταῦθα αὐτῆς μόριον εἰκὸς μάλιστα περιλαμβάνειν ὀνομάζοντας πᾶν τῆ τέχνη τῆ κναφευτικῆ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς.

5

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ξαντική γε καὶ νηστικὴ καὶ πάντα αὖ τὰ περὶ τὴν ποίησιν αὐτὴν τῆς ἐσθῆτος ἦς λέγομεν μέρη, μία τίς ἐστι τέχνη τῶν ὑπὸ πάντων λεγομένων, ἡ ταλασιουργική.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τῆς δὴ ταλασιουργικῆς δύο τμήματά ἐστον, καὶ τούτοιν ἑκάτερον ἄμα δυοῖν πεφύκατον τέχναιν μέρη.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}\varsigma$ :

ΞΕ. Τὸ μὲν ξαντικὸν καὶ τὸ τῆς κερκιστικῆς ἥμισυ καὶ ὅσα τὰ συγκείμενα ἀπ' ἀλλήλων ἀφίστησι, πᾶν τοῦτο ὡς ἕν φράζειν τῆς τε ταλασιουργίας αὐτῆς ἐστί που; καὶ μεγάλα τινὲ κατὰ πάντα ἡμῖν ἤστην τέχνα, ἡ συγκριτική τε καὶ διακριτική.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

α δ ξαντική ... νηστικὴ c: ξαντικήν ... νηστικὴν m | γε n: τε n | b δ καὶ μεγάλα m: καὶ <ἄμα> μεγάλα c

relation to all the things that are done.

d10 Y.S.: Which are they?

E.S.: One which is a contributory cause of production, one which is itself a cause.

Y.S.: How so?

e1 E.S.: Those which do not craft the thing itself, but which provide tools for those that do, tools which, if they were not present, what has been assigned to each kind of expertise would never be accomplished – these are what I mean by contributory causes, while those that bring the thing itself to completion are causes.

Y.S.: That seems to make sense.

E.S.: Then as a next step shall we call contributory causes all those that are concerned with spindles and shuttles and whatever other tools share in the process of production in relation to garments, and causes

e10 those that look after and craft garments themselves?

Y.S.: Quite correct.

E.S.: Then of the causes, washing and mending and the whole business of looking after clothes in these spheres – given the extensiveness of the area covered by the art of preparation, it's perfectly reasonable to encompass this part of it by calling it all 'the art of the fuller'.

a5 Y.S.: Right.

E.S.: Again, carding and spinning and everything relating to the making of clothes itself, whose parts we're talking about, is some single expertise among those spoken of by everybody, namely woolworking.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Next, of wool-working there are two segments, and each of these is a part of two kinds of expertise at once.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: What has to do with carding, and half of the art of the shuttle, and all those activities that put apart from each other things that are together – all of this we can, I suppose, declare as one and as belonging to wool-working itself? And there were, we agreed, two great kinds of expertise in every sphere, that of combination and that of separation.

Y.S.: Yes.

ΞΕ. Τῆς τοίνυν διακριτικῆς ἥ τε ξαντικὴ καὶ τὰ νυνδὴ (282) ἡηθέντα ἄπαντά ἐστιν· ἡ γὰρ ἐν ἐρίοις τε καὶ στήμοσι ε διακριτική, κερκίδι μὲν ἄλλον τρόπον γιγνομένη, χερσὶ δὲ ἔτερον, ἔσχεν ὅσα ἀρτίως ὀνόματα ἐρρήθη.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Αὖθις δὴ πάλιν συγκριτικῆς μόριον ἄμα καὶ ταλασιουργίας ἐν αὐτῆ γιγνόμενον λάβωμεν ὅσα δὲ τῆς διακριτικῆς ἦν αὐτόθι, μεθιῶμεν σύμπαντα, δίχα τέμνοντες τὴν ταλασιουργίαν διακριτικῷ τε καὶ συγκριτικῷ τμήματι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Διηρήσθω.

ΞΕ. Τὸ συγκριτικὸν τοίνυν αὖ σοι καὶ ταλασιουργικὸν ἄμα μόριον, ὧ Σώκρατες, διαιρετέον, εἴπερ ἱκανῶς μέλλομεν τὴν προρρηθεῖσαν ὑφαντικὴν αἱρήσειν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν χρή.

ΞΕ. Χρὴ μὲν οὖν καὶ λέγωμέν γε αὐτῆς τὸ μὲν εἶναι : στρεπτικόν, τὸ δὲ συμπλεκτικόν.

NE. ΣΩ. <sup>†</sup>Αρ' οὖν μανθάνω; δοκεῖς γάρ μοι τὸ περὶ τὴν τοῦ στήμονος ἐργασίαν λέγειν στρεπτικόν.

ΞΕ. Οὐ μόνον γε, ἀλλὰ καὶ κρόκης ἢ γένεσιν ἄστροφόν τινα αὐτῆς εὑρήσομεν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.

ΞΕ. Διόρισαι δὴ καὶ τούτοιν ἐκάτερον ἴσως γὰρ ὁ ε διορισμὸς ἔγκαιρος ἄν σοι γένοιτο.

10

5

10

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi \hat{\eta}$ ;

ΞΕ. Τῆδε· τῶν περὶ ξαντικὴν ἔργων μηκυνθέν τε καὶ σχὸν πλάτος λέγομεν εἶναι κάταγμά τι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Τούτου δὴ τὸ μὲν ἀτράκτῳ τε στραφὲν καὶ στερεὸν νῆμα γενόμενον στήμονα μὲν φάθι τὸ νῆμα, τὴν δὲ ἀπευθύνουσαν αὐτὸ τέχνην εἶναι στημονονητικήν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. "Όσα δέ γε αὖ τὴν μὲν συστροφὴν χαύνην λαμβάνει, τῆ δὲ τοῦ στήμονος ἐμπλέξει πρὸς τὴν τῆς γνάψεως ὁλκὴν ἐμμέτρως τὴν μαλακότητα ἴσχει, ταῦτ' ἄρα κρόκην μὲν τὰ

c 7 μεθιώμεν e: μετίωμεν m

(282) E.S.: Well then, it's to the art of separation that belong that of carding and all the things just mentioned; for separation in the case of wool and the warp, happening in one distinct way by means of a shuttle, in another by means of the hands, has acquired as many names as we referred to a moment ago.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

es E.S.: Then again, by contrast, let us take hold of a part that is simultaneously of combination and of wool-working and takes place in it; and whatever parts there were here of separation, let's let all of them go, cutting wool-working into two by means of the cut between separation and combination.

Y.S.: Count it as divided.

E.S.: Then in its turn, Socrates, you should divide the part that is simultaneously combination and wool-working, if indeed we are going to capture the aforesaid art of weaving.

Y.S.: Then I must.

E.S.: Indeed you must: and let's say that of it part is twisting, part intertwining.

Y.S.: Do I understand correctly? By twisting, you seem to me to be talking about what relates to the manufacture of the warp.

E.S.: Not only of the warp, but of the woof too; or are we going to find some origin for that which doesn't involve twisting?

Y.S.: Certainly not.

E.S.: Well, define each of these two things too; perhaps you might find defining them timely.

Y.S.: Define them how?

E.S.: Like this: among the products relating to carding, do we say, when it's drawn out to a certain length and has acquired breadth, that there's a 'flock' of wool?

Y.S.: Yes.

d10

E.S.: Well, of this, the yarn that has been twisted by the spindle and made firm you'll call the warp, and the expertise that guides its production 'warp-spinning'.

e10 Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: But those threads that in their turn get a loose twisting, and have a softness appropriate to the twining in of the warp in relation to the drawing out in the dressing process, you'll call these, the products of

νηθέντα, τὴν δὲ ἐπιτεταγμένην αὐτοῖς εἶναι τέχνην κροκονητικήν φῶμεν. 283 NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Ορθότατα. Καὶ μὴν τό γε τῆς ὑφαντικῆς μέρος ὃ προυθέμεθα, παντί που δηλον ήδη, τὸ γὰρ συγκριτικής τής ταλασιουργία μόριον ὅταν εὐθυπλοκία κρόκης καὶ στήμονος 5 ἀπεργάζηται πλέγμα, τὸ μὲν πλεχθὲν σύμπαν ἐσθῆτα ἐρεᾶν, τὴν δ' ἐπὶ τούτω τέχνην οὖσαν προσαγορεύομεν ὑφαντικήν. 'Ορθότατα. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Εἶεν τί δή ποτε οὖν οὐκ εὐθὺς ἀπεκρινάμεθα ΞE. πλεκτικήν είναι κρόκης καὶ στήμονος ύφαντικήν, άλλὰ περιήλθομεν έν κύκλω πάμπολλα διοριζόμενοι μάτην: NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Οὔκουν ἔμοιγε, ὧ ξένε, μάτην οὐδὲν τῶν ἡηθέντων ἔδοξ∈ ὑηθῆναι. Καὶ θαυμαστόν γε οὐδέν ἀλλὰ τάχ' ἄν, ὧ μακάριε, δόξειε. πρὸς δὴ τὸ νόσημα τὸ τοιοῦτον, ἂν ἄρα πολλάκις ύστερον ἐπίη - θαυμαστὸν γὰρ οὐδέν - λόγον ἄκουσόν τινα προσήκοντα περί πάντων τῶν τοιούτων ἡηθῆναι. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Λέγε μόνον. Πρώτον τοίνυν ίδωμεν πάσαν τήν τε ύπερβολήν καὶ τήν έλλειψιν, ίνα κατὰ λόγον ἐπαινῶμεν καὶ ψέγωμεν τὰ μακρότερα τοῦ δέοντος έκάστοτε λεγόμενα καὶ τἀναντία περὶ 5 τὰς τοιάσδε διατριβάς. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν χρή. Περὶ δὴ τούτων αὐτῶν ὁ λόγος ἡμῖν οἶμαι γιγνόμενος όρθῶς ἂν γίγνοιτο. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τίνων: 10 Μήκους τε πέρι καὶ βραχύτητος καὶ πάσης ὑπεροχῆς τε καὶ ἐλλείψεως. ἡ γάρ που μετρητικὴ περὶ πάντ' ἐστὶ

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ταῦτα.

ΞΕ. Διέλωμεν τοίνυν αὐτὴν δύο μέρη δεῖ γὰρ δὴ πρὸς ὃ νῦν σπεύδομεν.

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγοις ἂν τὴν διαίρεσιν ὅπη.

a 1 κροκονητικήν n: τήν κροκονητικήν n, O: τινὰ κροκονητικήν  $c \mid b$  5 [καὶ θαυμαστόν γε οὐδέν] e

the spinning, the woof, and the expertise that is set over their production – let's call it 'woof-spinning'.

Y.S.: Quite correct.

283

a5

E.S.: And as for the part of weaving that we put forward for investigation, I suppose that's now clear to anyone. When the part of combination, that combination which is contained in wool-working, produces something intertwined, by the regular intertwining of woof and warp, the whole product of the intertwining we refer to as a piece of woollen clothing, and the expertise that is over this as weaving.

Y.S.: Ouite correct.

E.S.: Good; so why ever, then, didn't we immediately reply that weaving was an intertwining of woof and warp, and instead went round in a circle defining a whole collection of things to no purpose?

Y.S.: To me at least, Stranger, nothing of what has been said seemed to have been said to no purpose.

E.S.: And that isn't at all surprising, I may say; but perhaps, my dear fellow, it might seem so. So against such a malady, in case it should come upon you later (that wouldn't be at all surprising), listen to something which it is appropriate to say about all such things

something which it is appropriate to say about all such things.

Y.S.: Tell me.

E.S.: First, then, let's look at excess and deficiency in general, so that we may distribute praise and censure proportionately on each occasion when things are said at greater length than necessary and

occasion when things are said at greater length than necessary when the opposite occurs in relation to such discussions.

Y.S.: That's what we must do, then.

E.S.: If we talked about these very things, I think we'd be proceeding correctly.

c10 Y.S.: What things?

E.S.: About length and brevity and excess and deficiency in general. I suppose the art of measurement relates to all these things.

Y.S.: Yes.

d5

E.S.: Then let's divide it into two parts; that's what we need towards our present objective.

Y.S.: Please tell me how we should divide it.

ΞΕ. Τῆδε· τὸ μὲν κατὰ τὴν πρὸς ἄλληλα μεγέθους καὶ σμικρότητος κοινωνίαν, τὸ δὲ κατὰ τὴν τῆς γενέσεως ἀναγκαίαν οὐσίαν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς λέγεις;

10

ΣΕ. \*Αρ' οὐ κατὰ φύσιν δοκεῖ σοι τὸ μεῖζον μηδενὸς ἐτέρου δεῖν μεῖζον λέγειν ἢ τοῦ ἐλάττονος, καὶ τοὔλαττον αὖ τοῦ μείζονος ἔλαττον, ἄλλου δὲ μηδενός;

•

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $^*$ Εμοιγε.

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τὸ τὴν τοῦ μετρίου φύσιν ὑπερβάλλον καὶ ὑπερβαλλόμενον ὑπ' αὐτῆς ἐν λόγοις εἴτε καὶ ἐν ἔργοις ἆρ' οὐκ αὖ λέξομεν ὡς ὄντως γιγνόμενον, ἐν ῷ καὶ διαφέρουσι μάλιστα ἡμῶν οἵ τε κακοὶ καὶ οἱ ἀγαθοί;

r 51 :

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Φαίνεται.

ΞΕ. Διττὰς ἄρα ταύτας οὐοίας καὶ κρίσεις τοῦ μεγάλου καὶ τοῦ σμικροῦ θετέον, ἀλλ' οὐχ ὡς ἔφαμεν ἄρτι πρὸς ἄλληλα μόνον δεῖν, ἀλλ' ὥσπερ νῦν εἴρηται μᾶλλον τὴν μὲν πρὸς ἄλληλα λεκτέον, τὴν δ' αὖ πρὸς τὸ μέτριον οὖ δὲ ἕνεκα, μαθεῖν ἆρ' ἂν βουλοίμεθα;

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν:

ΞΕ. Εὶ πρὸς μηδὲν ἔτερον τὴν τοῦ μείζονος ἐάσει τις φύσιν ἢ πρὸς τοὕλαττον, οὐκ ἔσται ποτὲ πρὸς τὸ μέτριον ἡ νάο:

284

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν τὰς τέχνας τε αὐτὰς καὶ τἄργα αὐτῶν σύμπαντα διολοῦμεν τούτω τῷ λόγω, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὴν ζητουμένην νῦν πολιτικὴν καὶ τὴν ἡηθεῖσαν ὑφαντικὴν ἀφανιοῦμεν; ἄπασαι γὰρ αἱ τοιαῦταί που τὸ τοῦ μετρίου πλέον καὶ ἔλαττον οὐχ ὡς οὐκ ὂν ἀλλ' ὡς ὂν χαλεπὸν περὶ τὰς πράξεις παραφυλάττουσι, καὶ τούτω δὴ τῷ τρόπω τὸ μέτρον σώζουσαι πάντα ἀγαθὰ καὶ καλὰ ἀπεργάζονται.

ь

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἂν τὴν πολιτικὴν ἀφανίσωμεν, ἄπορος ἡμῖν ἡ μετὰ τοῦτο ἔσται ζήτησις τῆς βασιλικῆς ἐπιστήμης;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ μάλα.

5

d 8 τὸ δὲ κατὰ π: τὸ δὲ τὸ κατὰ π | c 6 οἱ ἀγαθοί π: ἀγαθοί π, O | a 6 διολοῦμεν c: διελοῦμεν m

E.S.: This way: one part will correspond to the sharing by things in greatness and smallness in relation to each other, the other to what producing things necessarily is.

d10 Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: Does it not seem to you that by its nature the greater has to be said to be greater than nothing other than the less, and the less in its turn less than the greater, and than nothing else?

Y.S.: It does.

e1

e5

e10

E.S.: What about this: shan't we also say that there really is such a thing as what exceeds the class of what is in due measure and what is exceeded by it, in what we say or indeed what we do, which is just that respect in which those of us who are bad and those who are good most differ?

Y.S.: It seems so.

E.S.: In that case we must posit that the great and the small exist and are objects of judgement in these twin ways, and not as we said just before, that we must suppose them to exist only in relation to each other, but rather as we have now said, we must speak of their existing in one way in relation to each other, and in another in relation towhat is in due measure. Do we want to know why?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: If someone will admit the existence of the class of the greater in relation to nothing other than the less, it will never be in relation to what is in due measure – you agree?

Y.S.: That's so.

E.S.: Well, with this account of things we shall destroy – shan't we? –
both the various kinds of expertise themselves and their products, and
in particular we shall make the one we're looking for now,
statesmanship, disappear, and the one we said was weaving. For I
imagine all such kinds of expertise guard against the more and less
than what is in due measure not as something which is not but as
something which is and is troublesome in relation to what they do,
and it is by preserving measure in this way that they produce all good
and fine things.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: If, then, we make the art of statesmanship disappear, our search after that for the knowledge of kingship will lack any way forward?

ь5 Y.S.: Very much so.

ΞΕ. Πότερον οὖν, καθάπερ ἐν τῷ σοφιστῆ προσηναγκάσαμεν εἶναι τὸ μὴ ὄν, ἐπειδὴ κατὰ τοῦτο διέφυγεν ἡμᾶς ὁ λόγος, οὕτω καὶ νῦν τὸ πλέον αὖ καὶ ἔλαττον μετρητὰ προσαναγκαστέον γίγνεσθαι μὴ πρὸς ἄλληλα μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ μετρίου γένεσιν; οὐ γὰρ δὴ δυνατόν γε οὕτε πολιτικὸν οὕτ' ἄλλον τινὰ τῶν περὶ τὰς πράξεις ἐπιστήμονα ἀναμφισβητήτως γεγονέναι τούτου μὴ ουνομολογηθέντος.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ νῦν ὅτι μάλιστα χρὴ ταὐτὸν ποιεῖν.

ΞΕ. Πλέον, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἔτι τοῦτο τὸ ἔργον ἣ κεῖνο − καίτοι κἀκείνου γε μεμνήμεθα τὸ μῆκος ὅσον ἦν − ἀλλ' ὑποτίθεοθαι μὲν τὸ τοιόνδε περὶ αὐτῶν καὶ μάλα δίκαιον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. "Ως ποτε δεήσει τοῦ νῦν λεχθέντος πρὸς τὴν περὶ αὐτὸ τἀκριβὲς ἀπόδειξιν. ὅτι δὲ πρὸς τὰ νῦν καλῶς καὶ ἱκανῶς δείκνυται, δοκεῖ μοι βοηθεῖν μεγαλοπρεπῶς ἡμῖν οὖτος ὁ λόγος, ὡς ἄρα ἡγητέον ὁμοίως τὰς τέχνας πάσας εἶναι, μεῖζόν τε ἄμα καὶ ἔλαττον μετρεῖσθαι μὴ πρὸς ἄλληλα μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ μετρίου γένεσιν. τούτου τε γὰρ ὄντος ἐκεῖνα ἔστι, κἀκείνων οὐσῶν ἔστι καὶ τοῦτο, μὴ δὲ ὄντος ποτέρου τούτων οὐδέτερον αὐτῶν ἔσται ποτέ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τοῦτο μὲν ὀρθώς ἀλλὰ τί δὴ τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο;

ΞΕ. Δῆλον ὅτι διαιροῖμεν ἂν τὴν μετρητικήν, καθάπερ ἐρρήθη, ταύτη δίχα τέμνοντες, ἐν μὲν τιθέντες αὐτῆς μόριον συμπάσας τέχνας ὁπόσαι τὸν ἀριθμὸν καὶ μήκη καὶ βάθη καὶ πλάτη καὶ ταχυτῆτας πρὸς τοὐναντίον μετροῦσιν, τὸ δὲ ἔτερον, ὁπόσαι πρὸς τὸ μέτριον καὶ τὸ πρέπον καὶ τὸν καιρὸν καὶ τὸ δέον καὶ πάνθ' ὁπόσα εἰς τὸ μέσον ἀπωκίσθη τῶν ἐσχάτων.

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Καὶ μέγα γε έκάτερον τμῆμα εἶπες, καὶ πολὺ διαφέρον ἀλλήλοιν.

10

285

ΞΕ. "Ο γὰρ ἐνίοτε, ὧ Σώκρατες, οἰόμενοι δή τι σοφὸν φράζειν πολλοὶ τῶν κομψῶν λέγουσιν, ὡς ἄρα μετρητικὴ περὶ πάντ' ἐστὶ τὰ γιγνόμενα, τοῦτ' αὐτὸ τὸ νῦν λεχθὲν ὂν τυγχάνει. μετρήσεως μὲν γὰρ δή τινα τρόπον πάνθ' ὁπόσα

d 2 τάκριβές m: άκριβη e | d 7 έκεινα έστι m: έκειναι είσί e | τοῦτο e: ταῦτα m | e 5 ταχυτητας n: παχύτητας n

E.S.: Is it the case then that just as with the sophist we compelled what is not into being as well as what is, when our argument escaped us down this route, so now it is that we must compel the more and less, in their turn, to become measurable not only in relation to each other but also in relation to the coming-into-being of what is in due measure? For if this has not been agreed, it is certainly not possible for either the statesman or anyone else who possesses knowledge of subjects relating to things done to have come into being in an undisputed way.

Y.S.: Then now too we must do the same as much as we can.

es E.S.: This task, Socrates, is even greater than the former one – and we remember what the length of *that* was; still, it's very definitely fair to propose the following hypothesis about the subject in question.

Y.S.: What's that?

c1

e10

d1 E.S.: That at some time we shall need what has now been said towards the demonstration in relation to the precise truth itself. But as for what is being shown well and adequately in proportion to our present concerns, this argument seems to me to come to our aid in magnificent fashion, namely that we should surely suppose that it is similarly the case that all the various kinds of expertise exist, and at the same time that greater and less are measured not only in relation to each other but also in relation to the coming-into-being of what is in due measure. For both, if the latter is the case, then so is the former, and if it is the case that the kinds of expertise exist, the other is the case too; but if one or the other is not the case, then neither of them will ever be.

Y.S.: This much is right; but what is it that follows after this?

E.S.: It's clear we would divide the art of measurement, cutting it in two in just the way we said, positing as one part of it all those kinds of expertise that measure the number, lengths, depths, breadths and speeds of things in relation to the opposite, and as the other, all those that measure in relation to what is in due measure, what is fitting, the right moment, what is as it ought to be – everything that removes itself from the extremes to the middle.

Y.S.: Each of the two sections you refer to is indeed a large one, and very different from the other.

E.S.: Yes, Socrates, and what sometimes many of the sophisticated say, all the time supposing themselves to be expressing something wise, to the effect that there is in fact an art of measurement relating to everything that comes into being — is actually this very thing we

ἔντεχνα μετείληφεν διὰ δὲ τὸ μὴ κατ' εἴδη συνειθίοθαι σκοπεῖν διαιρουμένους ταῦτά τε τοσοῦτον διαφέροντα συμβάλλουσιν εὐθὺς εἰς ταὐτὸν ὅμοια νομίσαντες, καὶ τοὐναντίον αὖ τούτου δρῶσιν ἔτερα οὐ κατὰ μέρη διαιροῦντες, δέον, ὅταν μὲν τὴν τῶν πολλῶν τις πρότερον αἴοθηται κοινωνίαν, μὴ προαφίστασθαι πρὶν ἂν ἐν αὐτῆ τὰς διαφορὰς ἴδη πάσας ὁπόσαιπερ ἐν εἴδεσι κεῖνται, τὰς δὲ αὖ παντοδαπὰς ἀνομοιότητας, ὅταν ἐν πλήθεσιν ὀφθῶσιν, μη δυνατὸν εἶναι δυσωπούμενον παύεοθαι πρὶν ἂν σύμπαντα τὰ οἰκεῖα ἐντὸς μιᾶς ὁμοιότητος ἔρξας γένους τινὸς οὐσία περιβάληται. ταῦτα μὲν οὖν ἱκανῶς περί τε τούτων καὶ περὶ τῶν ἐλλείψεων καὶ ὑπερβολῶν εἰρήσθω φυλάττωμεν δὲ μόνον ὅτι δύο γένη περὶ αὐτὰ ἐξηύρηται τῆς μετρητικῆς, καὶ ἄ φαμεν αὕτ' εἶναι μεμνώμεθα.

(285)

5

5

10

đ

5

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Μεμνησόμεθα.

ΞΕ. Μετὰ τοῦτον δὴ τὸν λόγον ἔτερον προσδεξώμεθα περὶ αὐτῶν τε τῶν ζητουμένων καὶ περὶ πάσης τῆς ἐν τοῖς τοιοῖσδε λόγοις διατριβῆς.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον:

ΞΕ. Εἴ τις ἀνέροιτο ἡμᾶς τὴν περὶ γράμματα συνουσίαν τῶν μανθανόντων, ὁπόταν τις ὁτιοῦν ὄνομα ἐρωτηθῆ τίνων ἐστὶ γραμμάτων, πότερον αὐτῷ τότε φῶμεν γίγνεσθαι τὴν ζήτησιν ἑνὸς ἕνεκα μᾶλλον τοῦ προβληθέντος ἢ τοῦ περὶ πάντα τὰ προβαλλόμενα γραμματικωτέρω γίγνεσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον ὅτι τοῦ περὶ ἄπαντα.

ΞΕ. Τί δ' αὖ νῦν ἡμῖν ἡ περὶ τοῦ πολιτικοῦ ζήτησις; ἔνεκα αὐτοῦ τούτου προβέβληται μᾶλλον ἢ τοῦ περὶ πάντα διαλεκτικωτέροις γίγνεσθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοῦτο δῆλον, ὅτι τοῦ περὶ πάντα.

ΞΕ. <sup>†</sup>Η που τὸν τῆς ὑφαντικῆς γε λόγον αὐτῆς ταύτης ἔνεκα θηρεύειν οὐδεὶς ἂν ἐθελήσειεν νοῦν ἔχων. ἀλλ' οἷμαι τοὺς πλείστους λέληθεν ὅτι τοῖς μὲν τῶν ὄντων ῥαδίως καταμαθεῖν αἰσθηταί τινες ὁμοιότητες πεφύκασιν, ἃς οὐδὲν χαλεπὸν δηλοῦν, ὅταν αὐτῶν τις βουληθῆ τῷ λόγον αἰτοῦντι

d 10 ραδίως m: ραδίοις e | e 1 αισθηταί e: αισθητικαί m

(285) have just said. For in a certain way it is the case that all those things that are the products of the various kinds of expertise share in measurement; but because of their not being accustomed to carrying on their investigations by dividing according to classes, the people in

on their investigations by dividing according to classes, the people in question both throw these things together at once, despite the degree of difference between them, thinking them alike, and correspondingly they do the opposite of this by dividing other things not according to

parts, when the rule is that when one perceives first the community of the many things, one should not desist until one sees in it all those differences that are located in classes, and conversely, with the various unlikenesses, when they are seen in multitudes, one should be incapable of pulling a face and stopping before one has penned all the

related things within one likeness and surrounded them in some real class. So let this be sufficient talk about these things, and about modes of defect and excess; and let's just keep hold of the fact that

modes of defect and excess; and let's just keep hold of the fact that two kinds of art of measurement have been discovered in relation to them, and let's remember what we say they are.

Y.S.: We shall remember.

E.S.: After this account, then, let's admit another one in relation both to the very things we are inquiring into and to the whole business of discussions of this sort.

Y.S.: About what?

d5

d10

E.S.: If someone were to ask us about the session of pupils learning about letters – when one of them is asked what letters make up some word or other, are we to say that for him on that occasion the inquiry

takes place more for the sake of the single question that has been set before him, or for the sake of his becoming more able to answer all questions relating to letters?

Y.S.: Clearly for the sake of his being able to answer all.

E.S.: What then about our inquiry now about the statesman? Has it been set before us more for the sake of that very thing, or for the sake of our becoming more able dialecticians in relation to all subjects?

Y.S.: That's clear too – for the sake of our being more able in relation to all.

E.S.: I certainly don't suppose that anyone with any sense would want to hunt down the definition of *weaving* for the sake of weaving itself. But I think the majority of people don't recognize that to some of the

things that are there are certain perceptible likenesses which are there to be easily understood, and which it is not at all hard to point out,

περί του μὴ μετὰ πραγμάτων ἀλλὰ χωρὶς λόγου ῥαδίως ἐνδείξασθαι τοῖς δ' αὖ μεγίστοις οὖσι καὶ τιμιωτάτοις οὖκ ἔστιν εἴδωλον οὐδὲν πρὸς τοὺς ἀνθρώπους εἰργασμένον ἐναργῶς, οὖ δειχθέντος τὴν τοῦ πυνθανομένου ψυχὴν ὁ βουλόμενος ἀποπληρῶσαι, πρὸς τῶν αἰσθήσεών τινα προσαρμόττων, ἱκανῶς πληρώσει. διὸ δεῖ μελετᾶν λόγον ἐκάστου δυνατὸν εἶναι δοῦναι καὶ δέξασθαι τὰ γὰρ ἀσώματα, κάλλιστα ὄντα καὶ μέγιστα, λόγω μόνον ἄλλω δὲ οὐδενὶ σαφῶς δείκνυται, τούτων δὲ ἔνεκα πάντ' ἐστὶ τὰ νῦν λεγόμενα. ῥάων δ' ἐν τοῖς ἐλάττοσιν ἡ μελέτη παντὸς πέρι μᾶλλον ἢ περὶ τὰ μείζω.

286

b

5

10

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστ' εἶπες.

 $\Xi E$ .  ${}^{\dagger}\Omega \nu$  τοίνυν χάριν ἄπανθ' ἡμῖν ταῦτ' ἐρρήθη περὶ τούτων, μνησθώμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τίνων;

ΞΕ. Ταύτης τε οὐχ ἥκιστα αὐτῆς ἔνεκα τῆς δυσχερείας ἣν περὶ τὴν μακρολογίαν τὴν περὶ τὴν ὑφαντικὴν ἀπεδεξάμεθα δυσχερῶς, καὶ τὴν περὶ τὴν τοῦ παντὸς ἀνείλιξιν καὶ τὴν τοῦ σοφιστοῦ περὶ τῆς τοῦ μὴ ὄντος οὐσίας, ἐννοοῦντες ὡς ἔσχε μῆκος πλέον, καὶ ἐπὶ τούτοις δὴ πᾶσιν ἐπεπλήξαμεν ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς, δείσαντες μὴ περίεργα ἄμα καὶ μακρὰ λέγοιμεν. ἵν' οὖν εἰς αὖθις μηδὲν πάσχωμεν τοιοῦτον, τούτων ἕνεκα πάντων τὰ πρόσθε νῷν εἰρῆσθαι φάθι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ταῦτ' ἔσται. λέγε ἑξῆς μόνον.

ΞΕ. Λέγω τοίνυν ὅτι χρὴ δὴ μεμνημένους ἐμὲ καὶ σὲ τῶν νῦν εἰρημένων τόν τε ψόγον ἑκάστοτε καὶ ἔπαινον ποιεῖσθαι βραχύτητος ἄμα καὶ μήκους ὧν ἂν ἀεὶ πέρι λέγωμεν, μὴ πρὸς ἄλληλα τὰ μήκη κρίνοντες ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸ τῆς μετρητικῆς μέρος ὅ τότε ἔφαμεν δεῖν μεμνῆσθαι, πρὸς τὸ πρέπον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. Οὐ τοίνυν οὐδὲ πρὸς τοῦτο πάντα. οὔτε γὰρ πρὸς τὴν ἡδονὴν μήκους άρμόττοντος οὐδὲν προσδεησόμεθα, πλὴν εἰ πάρεργόν τι τό τε αὖ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ προβληθέντος ζήτησιν,

b 9 περί: πέρι O | c 3 πάντων m: πάντα n, e

when one wants to make an easy demonstration to someone who asks for an account of one of these things, involving no trouble and without recourse to verbal means; conversely, for those things that are greatest and most valuable, there is no image at all which has been worked in plain view for the use of mankind, the showing of which will enable the person who wants to satisfy the mind of an inquirer to satisfy it adequately by fitting it to one of the senses. That is why one

as must practise at being able to give and receive an account of each thing; for the things that are without body, which are finest and greatest, are shown clearly only by verbal means and by nothing else, and everything that is now being said is for the sake of these things.

But practice in everything is easier in smaller things rather than in relation to the greater.

Y.S.: Very well said.

E.S.: Well then, let's remind ourselves of the reasons why we have said all these things on these subjects.

by Y.S.: What reasons?

286

b1

E.S.: Not least because of that disagreeableness we felt there was in the length of our talk about weaving – and of that about the reversal of the universe, and about the being of the what is not which is the sphere of the sophist, reflecting that it had a rather great length, and in all these cases we rebuked ourselves, out of fear that what we were saying would turn out to be superfluous as well as long. So, say that the foregoing was said by us for the sake of all these cases, in order that we may not suffer any of this sort of misgiving on any future occasion.

Y.S.: I shall do as you say. Tell me what comes next.

E.S.: Well, I say that you and I must be careful to remember what we have now said and distribute censure and praise of both shortness and length, whatever subjects we happen to be talking about on each occasion, judging lengths not in relation to each other but, in accordance with the part of the art of measurement we previously said we must remember, in relation to what is fitting.

Y.S.: Correct.

d5

E.S.: Well, that's right, but we mustn't refer *everything* to this. For one thing, we shan't have any need for a length that fits in relation to pleasure, except perhaps as an incidental consideration; then again, as

ώς ἂν ῥᾶστα καὶ τάχιστα εὕροιμεν, δεύτερον ἀλλ' οὐ πρῶτον ό λόγος ἀγαπᾶν παραγγέλλει, πολὺ δὲ μάλιστα καὶ πρῶτον τὴν μέθοδον αὐτὴν τιμᾶν τοῦ κατ' εἴδη δυνατὸν εἶναι διαιρείν, καὶ δὴ καὶ λόγον, ἄντε παμμήκης λεχθεὶς τὸν άκούσαντα εύρετικώτερον άπεργάζηται, τοῦτον σπουδάζειν καὶ τῷ μήκει μηδὲν ἀγανακτεῖν, ἄντ' αὖ βραχύτερος, ώσαύτως. ἔτι δ' αὖ πρὸς τούτοις τὸν περὶ τὰς τοιάσδε συνουσίας ψέγοντα λόγων μήκη καὶ τὰς ἐν κύκλω περιόδους οὐκ ἀποδεχόμενον, ὅτι χρὴ τὸν τοιοῦτον μὴ πάνυ ταχὰ μηδ' εὐθὺς οὕτω μεθιέναι ψέξαντα μόνον ώς μακρὰ τὰ λεχθέντα, άλλὰ καὶ προσαποφαίνειν οἴεσθαι δεῖν ώς βραχύτερα ἂν γενόμενα τοὺς συνόντας ἀπηργάζετο διαλεκτικωτέρους καὶ τῆς τῶν ὄντων λόγω δηλώσεως εύρετικωτέρους, τῶν δὲ ἄλλων καὶ πρὸς ἄλλ' ἄττα ψόγων καὶ ἐπαίνων μηδὲν φροντίζειν μηδὲ τὸ παράπαν ἀκούειν δοκεῖν τῶν τοιούτων λόγων, καὶ τούτων μέν άλις, εί καὶ σοὶ ταύτη συνδοκεῖ πρὸς δὲ δὴ τὸν πολιτικὸν ἴωμεν πάλιν, τῆς προρρηθείσης ὑφαντικῆς αὐτῷ φέροντες τὸ παράδεινμα.

287

c

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς εἶπες, καὶ ποιῶμεν ἃ λέγεις.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἀπό γε τῶν πολλῶν ὁ βασιλεὺς ὅσαι σύννομοι, μᾶλλον δὲ ἀπὸ πασῶν τῶν περὶ τὰς ἀγέλας διακεχώρισται λοιπαὶ δέ, φαμέν, αἱ κατὰ πόλιν αὐτὴν τῶν τε συναιτίων καὶ τῶν αἰτίων, ἃς πρώτας ἀπ' ἀλλήλων διαιρετέον.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Oρθώς.

ΞΕ. Οἷοθ' οὖν ὅτι χαλεπὸν αὐτὰς τεμεῖν δίχα; τὸ δ' αἴτιον, ώς οἷμαι, προϊοῦσιν οὐχ ἦττον ἔσται καταφανές.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν χρὴ δρᾶν οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Κατὰ μέλη τοίνυν αὐτὰς οἶον ἱερεῖον διαιρώμεθα, ἐπειδὴ δίχα ἀδυνατοῦμεν. δεῖ γὰρ εἰς τὸν ἐγγύτατα ὅτι μάλιστα τέμνειν ἀριθμὸν ἀεί.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς οὖν ποιῶμ $\epsilon$ ν τὰ νῦν;

ΞΕ. "Ωσπερ ἔμπροσθεν" ὁπόσαι παρείχοντο ὄργανα περὶ τὴν ὑφαντικήν, πάσας δήπου τότε ἐτίθεμεν ὡς συναιτίους.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

e 6 πάνυ n: [πάνυ] Ο

for what contributes towards the inquiry into the subject set before us. what we have said commits us to making a second and not a first priority of the question how we might find it most easily and quickly, and to give by far the greatest and primary value to the pursuit itself of the ability to divide by classes, and in particular, if an account is very long but renders the hearer better at finding things, to take this one seriously and not feel at all irritated at its length, and similarly if conversely a shorter one has the same effect; then again, in addition to this, if in relation to such discussions someone finds fault with the length of what is said and will not put up with going round in circles. we must not let such a person go just like that without a backward 287 glance, having just made the simple complaint that what has been said has taken a long time, but we should think it right that he should also demonstrate, in addition, that if it had been shorter it would make the partners in the discussion better dialecticians and better at finding how to display in words the things that are; and our instruction will be to take no notice at all of the other sorts of censure and praise, relating to some other criteria, nor even to seem to hear such things at all when they are said. Now enough of these things, if I have your agreement too; let's go back again to the statesman, and bring the model of weaving, which we talked about before, to bear on it.

Y.S.: Well said – let's do what you say.

E.S.: Well then, the king has been separated off from the many kinds of expertise that share his field – or rather from all of them concerned with herds; there remain, we are saying, those in the city itself that are contributory causes and those that are causes, which we must first divide from each other.

Y.S.: Correct.

e1

e5

a5

ы

b5

c1

с5

E.S.: So do you recognize that it is difficult to cut them into two? The cause, I think, will become more evident if we proceed.

Y.S.: Well, then that's what we should do.

E.S.: Then let's divide them limb by limb, like a sacrificial animal, since we can't do it into two. For we must always cut into the nearest number so far as we can.

Y.S.: So how are we to do it in this case?

E.S.: Just as before: the kinds of expertise that provided tools relating to weaving – all of them, of course, we put down then as contributory causes.

Y.S.: Yes.

ΞΕ. Καὶ νῦν δὴ ταὐτὸν μὲν τοῦτο, ἔτι δὲ μᾶλλον ἢ τόθ' ἡμῖν ποιητέον. ὅσαι γὰρ σμικρὸν ἢ μέγα τι δημιουργοῦσι κατὰ πόλιν ὄργανον, θετέον ἀπάσας ταύτας ὡς οὔσας συναιτίους. ἄνευ γὰρ τούτων οὐκ ἄν ποτε γένοιτο πόλις οὐδὲ πολιτική, τούτων δ' αὖ βασιλικῆς ἔργον τέχνης οὐδέν που θήσομεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐ γάρ.

ΣΕ. Καὶ μὲν δὴ χαλεπὸν ἐπιχειροῦμεν δρᾶν ἀποχωρίζοντες τοῦτο ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων τὸ γένος ὅτι γὰρ οὖν τῶν ὄντων ἔστιν ὡς ἑνός γέ τινος ὄργανον εἰπόντα δοκεῖν εἰρηκέναι τι πιθανόν. ὅμως δὲ ἔτερον αὖ τῶν ἐν πόλει κτημάτων εἴπωμεν τόδε.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Ώς οὐκ ἔστι ταύτην τὴν δύναμιν ἔχον. οὐ γὰρ ἐπὶ γενέσεως αἰτίᾳ πήγνυται, καθάπερ ὄργανον, ἀλλ' ἔνεκα τοῦ δημιουργηθέντος σωτηρίας.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Τοῦτο ὁ δὴ ξηροῖς καὶ ὑγροῖς καὶ ἐμπύροις καὶ ἀπύροις παντοδαπὸν εἶδος ἐργασθὲν ἀγγεῖον [ὁ δὴ] μιᾳ κλήσει προσφθεγγόμεθα, καὶ μάλα γε συχνὸν εἶδος καὶ τῆ ζητουμένη γε, ὡς οἶμαι, προσῆκον οὐδὲν ἀτεχνῶς ἐπιστήμη.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γάρ;

ΞΕ. Τούτων δὴ τρίτον ἔτερον εἶδος κτημάτων πάμπολυ κατοπτέον πεζὸν καὶ ἔνυδρον καὶ πολυπλανὲς καὶ ἀπλανὲς καὶ τίμιον καὶ ἄτιμον, ἕν δὲ ὄνομα ἔχον, διότι πῶν ἕνεκά τινος ἐφέδρας ἐστί, θῶκος ἀεί τινι γιγνόμενον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Όχημα αὐτό που λέγομεν, οὐ πάνυ πολιτικῆς ἔργον, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον πολὺ τεκτονικῆς καὶ κεραμικῆς καὶ χαλκοτυπικῆς.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Μανθάνω.

ΞΕ. Τί δὲ τέταρτον; ἆρ' ἔτερον εἶναι τούτων λεκτέον, ἐν ῷ τὰ πλεῖοτά ἐστι τῶν πάλαι ἡηθέντων, ἐσθής τε σύμπασα καὶ τῶν ὅπλων τὸ πολὺ καὶ τείχη πάντα θ' ὅσα γήινα

d 9 ἔστιν ώς (or ἔστιν) e: ώς ἔστιν m  $\mid$  a 2 πῶς γάρ e: πῶς γὰρ οὐ m

10 288

- 5

10

đ

5

10

5

E.S.: We must do the same thing now too, but to a still greater degree c10 than we did then. For we must put down all those kinds of expertise d1 that produce any tool in the city, whether small or large, as being contributory causes. For without these there would never come to be a city, nor statesmanship, but on the other hand we shan't, I think, put d5 down any of them as the product of the expertise of the king.

Y.S.: No, we shan't.

e1

e5

e10

288

a5

a10

ь1

E.S.: And yet we're trying to do a difficult thing in separating this kind of thing from the rest; in fact it is possible for someone to treat anything you like as a tool of something and seem to have said something credible. Nevertheless let us treat the following in its turn as a different kind of thing among the objects people possess in a city.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: Because it does not have this capacity that tools have. For it is not put together with the purpose of causing the coming-into-being of something, as a tool is, but for the sake of preserving what craftsmen have produced.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: This varied kind of thing which is worked for things liquid and solid, and for things that are prepared on the fire and things that are not, and which we refer to with the single name of 'vessel' - a common kind of thing, and one that, I think, simply does not belong at all to the sort of expert knowledge we are looking for.

Y.S.: Certainly not.

E.S.: We must then observe a third very extensive kind of thing that people possess, different from these others, which is found on land and on water, moves about a lot and is fixed, and is accorded high value and none, but has a single name, because it is all for the sake of some supporting or other, being always a seat for something.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: I suppose we call it by the name of 'vehicle' - not at all a product of the art of statesmanship, but much more of those of carpentry, pottery, and bronze-working.

Y.S.: I see.

E.S.: And what is fourth? Should we say that it is something different

from these, a kind of thing that includes the larger part of the things we mentioned before, all clothing, most armour, and walls, all those περιβλήματα καὶ λίθινα, καὶ μυρία ἔτερα; προβολῆς δὲ ἔνεκα συμπάντων αὐτῶν εἰργασμένων δικαιότατ' ἄν ὅλον προσαγορεύοιτο πρόβλημα, καὶ πολλῷ μᾶλλον τέχνης οἰκοδομικῆς ἔργον καὶ ὑφαντικῆς τὸ πλεῖστον νομίζοιτ' ἀν ὀρθότερον ἢ πολιτικῆς.

(288)

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΣΕ. Πέμπτον δὲ ἆρ' ἂν ἐθέλοιμεν τὸ περὶ τὸν κόσμον καὶ γραφικὴν θεῖναι καὶ ὅσα ταύτη προσχρώμενα καὶ μουσικῆ μιμήματα τελεῖται, πρὸς τὰς ἡδονὰς μόνον ἡμῶν ἀπειργασμένα, δικαίως δ' ἂν ὀνόματι περιληφθέντα ἑνί;

ì ,

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποίω:

ΞΕ. Παίγνιόν πού τι λέγεται.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Τοῦτο τοίνυν τούτοις εν ὄνομα ἄπασι πρέψει προσαγορευθέν οὐ γὰρ σπουδῆς οὐδὲν αὐτῶν χάριν, ἀλλὰ παιδιᾶς ἕνεκα πάντα δρᾶται.

10 d

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοῦτο σχεδόν τι μανθάνω.

ΞΕ. Τὸ δὲ πᾶσιν τούτοις σώματα παρέχον, ἐξ ὧν καὶ ἐν οἷς δημιουργοῦσιν ὁπόσαι τῶν τεχνῶν νῦν εἴρηνται, παντοδαπὸν εἶδος πολλῶν ἐτέρων τεχνῶν ἔκγονον ὄν, ἆρ' οὐχ ἔκτον θήσομεν:

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον δὴ λέγεις;

ΞΕ. Χρυσόν τε καὶ ἄργυρον καὶ πάνθ' ὁπόσα μεταλλεύεται καὶ ὅσα δρυστομικὴ καὶ κουρὰ σύμπασα τέμνουσα παρέχει τεκτονικῆ καὶ πλεκτικῆ, καὶ ἔτι φλοιστικὴ φυτῶν τε καὶ ἐμψύχων δέρματα σωμάτων περιαιροῦσα σκυτοτομική, καὶ ὅσαι περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτά εἰσιν τέχναι, καὶ φελλῶν καὶ βύβλων καὶ δεσμῶν ἐργαστικαὶ παρέσχον δημιουργεῖν σύνθετα ἐκ μὴ συντιθεμένων εἴδη γενῶν. ἕν δὲ αὐτὸ προσαγορεύωμεν πᾶν, τὸ πρωτογενὲς ἀνθρώποις κτῆμα καὶ ἀσύνθετον καὶ βασιλικῆς ἐπιστήμης οὐδαμῶς ἔργον ὄν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς.

ΞΕ. Τὴν δὴ τῆς τροφῆς κτῆσιν, καὶ ὅσα εἰς τὸ σῶμα συγκαταμειγνύμενα ἑαυτῶν μέρεσι μέρη σώματος εἰς τὸ

encirclements made out of earth, or out of stone, and tens of thousands of other things? Since all of them together are worked for the purpose of defending, it would be most apposite to call the whole class that of 'defence', and it would be thought to be a product much more of the expertise of the builder and the weaver, most of it, more correctly than it would be thought to belong to that of the statesman.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Would we want to put down as a fifth class the sort of thing relating to decoration, painting, and those representations that are completed by the use of this, and of music, which have been executed solely to give us pleasures, and which would appropriately be embraced by a single name?

c5 Y.S.: What name?

c10

d5

e1

e5

E.S.: I think we talk about something we call a 'plaything'.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Well, this one name will be fittingly given to all of them; for it is not the case that any of them is for the sake of a serious purpose, but all are done for the sake of amusement.

41 Y.S.: This too I pretty well understand.

E.S.: And what provides materials for all these things, from which and in which all of the kinds of expertise that have now been mentioned work – a varied kind of thing that is the offspring of many different kinds of expertise – shall we not put it down as a sixth?

Y.S.: What exactly are you referring to?

E.S.: Gold and silver, and everything that is mined, and what the art of tree-felling and all lopping provides by cutting for the art of the carpenter and the basket-weaver, and again the art of stripping off the outer covering of plants, and the one that removes skins from bodies of living things, the art of the skinner, and all the kinds of expertise that there are in relation to such things, and which by producing cork, and papyrus, and materials for bindings, make possible the crafting of composite kinds of things from kinds that are not being put together. Let us call it all one thing, the first-born and incomposite possession of mankind, which is in no way a product of the knowledge of kingship.

Y.S.: Right.

E.S.: Then that sort of possession that consists in nutrition, and all those things which when they are blended into the body, their

θεραπεῦσαί τινα δύναμιν εἴληχε, λεκτέον ἔβδομον ονομάσαντας αὐτὸ σύμπαν ἡμῶν εἶναι τροφόν, εἰ μή τι κάλλιον έχομεν ἄλλο θέσθαι γεωργική δὲ καὶ θηρευτική καὶ γυμναστική καὶ ἰατρική καὶ μανειρική πάν ὑποτιθέντες ορθότερον ἀποδώσομεν ἢ τῆ πολιτικῆ.

5

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

Σχεδὸν τοίνυν όσα ἔχεται κτήσεως, πλὴν τῶν ἡμέρων ΞE. ζώων, έν τούτοις έπτὰ οἷμαι γένεσιν εἰρῆσθαι, σκόπει δέ ἡν γὰρ δικαιότατα μὲν ἂν τεθὲν κατ' ἀρχὰς τὸ πρωτογενὲς είδος, μετὰ δὲ τοῦτο ὄργανον, ἀγγείον, ὄχημα, πρόβλημα, παίγνιον, θρέμμα. παραλείπομεν δέ, εἴ τι μὴ μέγα λέληθεν, είς τι τούτων δυνατὸν άρμόττειν, οἶον ή τοῦ νομίσματος ίδέα καὶ σφραγίδων καὶ παντὸς χαρακτῆρος, γένος τε γὰρ ἐν αύτοις ταῦτα οὐδὲν ἔχει μέγα σύννομον, ἀλλὰ τὰ μὲν εἰς κόσμον, τὰ δὲ εἰς ὄργανα βία μέν, ὅμως δὲ πάντως έλκόμενα συμφωνήσει. τὰ δὲ περὶ ζώων κτῆσιν τῶν ἡμέρων, πλην δούλων, ή πρότερον άγελαιοτροφική διαμερισθείσα πάντ' είληφυῖα ἀναφανεῖται.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ μεν οὖν.

Τὸ δὲ δὴ δούλων καὶ πάντων ὑπηρετῶν λοιπόν, ἐν οίς ΞE. καὶ μαντεύομαι τοὺς περὶ αὐτὸ τὸ άμφισβητοῦντας τῷ βασιλεῖ καταφανεῖς γενήσεοθαι, καθάπερ τοῖς ὑφάνταις τότε τοὺς περὶ τὸ νήθειν τε καὶ ξαίνειν καὶ όσα άλλα εἴπομεν, οἱ δὲ άλλοι πάντες, ώς συναίτιοι λεχθέντες, άμα τοῖς ἔργοις τοῖς νυνδὴ ἡηθεῖσιν ἀνήλωνται καὶ ἀπεχωρίσθησαν ἀπὸ βασιλικῆς τε καὶ πολιτικῆς πράξεως. Ἐοίκασι γοῦν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .

ΞΕ. Ίθι δὴ σκεψώμεθα τοὺς λοιποὺς προσελθόντες ἐγγύθεν, ίνα αὐτοὺς εἰδῶμεν βεβαιότερον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν χρή.

Τοὺς μὲν δὴ μεγίστους ὑπηρέτας, ὡς ἐνθένδε ἰδεῖν, ΞE. τούναντίον έχοντας εύρίσκομεν οίς ύπωπτεύσαμεν έπιτήδευμα καὶ πάθος.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τίνας:

b 2 < α > παραλείπομεν e, O

own parts with parts of the body, have a capacity for promoting its 289 care, we must say is a seventh, calling it all together 'nurture', unless we have some more attractive term to propose; and if we place it under the arts of the farmer, the hunter, the trainer in the gymnasium, the doctor and the cook we shall be assigning it more correctly than if a5

we give it to the art of the statesman.

Y.S.: Of course.

bi

b5

c1

d1

E.S.: Well then, we have, I think, pretty well dealt with all those things that have to do with possessions, in these seven classes, with the exception of tame living creatures. Look at our list: it would be most appropriate if we put down the 'first-born' class of thing at the beginning, and after this 'tool', 'vessel', 'vehicle', 'defence', 'plaything', 'nourishment'. If anything of no great importance has escaped us, we leave it to one side, because it is capable of fitting into one of these, for example the class consisting of currency, seals, and any sort of engraving. For these do not have any great shared class among them, but if some of them are dragged off into decoration, others into tools, it will be forcibly done, but nevertheless they'll wholly agree to it. As for those things relating to possession of tame living creatures, apart from slaves, the art of herd-rearing which we divided into its parts before will clearly be seen to have caught them

Y.S.: Absolutely.

all.

E.S.: Then what remains is the class of slaves and all those people с5 who are subordinate to others, among whom I strongly suspect that those who dispute with the king about the woven fabric itself will come into view, just as in the case of weaving we found those concerned with spinning and carding and all the other things we mentioned disputing with the weavers over their product. All the others, who have been described as 'contributory causes', have been disposed of along with the products we have just listed, and were each separated off from the practical activity which is the sphere of the art of kingship and statesmanship.

Y.S.: So it seems, at any rate.

E.S.: Come along, then: let's get up close to those people that are left and take a look at them, so that we may get a firmer knowledge of them.

Y.S.: That's what we should do. d5

> E.S.: Well, those who are subordinate to the greatest degree, looked at from our present perspective, we find in a kind of function and condition which are the opposite of what we suspected.

Y.S.: Who are they?

ΞΕ. Τοὺς ἀνητούς τε καὶ τῷ τρόπῳ τούτῳ κτητούς οὓς ἀναμφισβητήτως δούλους ἔχομεν εἰπεῖν, ἥκιστα βασιλικῆς μεταποιουμένους τέχνης.

10

5

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς δ' οὕ;

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τῶν ἐλευθέρων ὅσοι τοῖς νυνδὴ ῥηθεῖσιν εἰς ὑπηρετικὴν ἑκόντες αὑτοὺς τάττουσι, τά τε γεωργίας καὶ τὰ τῶν ἄλλων τεχνῶν ἔργα διακομίζοντες ἐπ' ἀλλήλους καὶ ἀνισοῦντες, οἱ μὲν κατ' ἀγοράς, οἱ δὲ πόλιν ἐκ πόλεως ἀλλάττοντες κατὰ θάλατταν καὶ πεζῆ, νόμισμά τε πρὸς τὰ ἄλλα καὶ αὐτὸ πρὸς αὑτὸ διαμείβοντες, οὓς ἀργυραμοιβούς τε καὶ ἐμπόρους καὶ ναυκλήρους καὶ καπήλους ἐπωνομάκαμεν, μῶν τῆς πολιτικῆς ἀμφιοβητήσουσί τι;

290

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τάχ' ἂν ἴσως τῆς γε τῶν ἐμπορευτικῶν.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλ' οὐ μὴν οὕς γε ὁρῶμεν μισθωτοὺς καὶ θῆτας πασιν έτοιμότατα ὑπηρετοῦντας, μή ποτε βασιλικῆς μεταποιουμένους εὕρωμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γάρ;

ΞΕ. Τί δὲ ἄρα τοὺς τὰ τοιάδε διακονοῦντας ἡμῖν ἐκάστοτε;ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὰ ποῖα εἶπες καὶ τίνας;

ΞΕ. \*Ων τὸ κηρυκικὸν ἔθνος, ὅσοι τε περὶ γράμματα σοφοὶ γίγνονται πολλάκις ὑπηρετήσαντες, καὶ πόλλ' ἄττα ἔτερα περὶ τὰς ἀρχὰς διαπονεῖσθαί τινες ἔτεροι πάνδεινοι, τί τούτους αὖ λέξομεν;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . "Όπερ εἶπες νῦν, ὑπηρέτας, ἀλλ' οὐκ αὐτοὺς ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἄρχοντας.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλὰ οὐ μὴν οἷμαί γε ἐνύπνιον ἰδῶν εἶπον ταύτη πη φανήσεσθαι τοὺς διαφερόντως ἀμφισβητοῦντας τῆς πολιτικῆς. καίτοι σφόδρα γε ἄτοπον ἂν εἶναι δόξειε τὸ ζητεῖν τούτους ἐν ὑπηρετικῆ μοίρα τινί.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κομιδη μέν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Έτι δὴ προσμείξωμεν ἐγγύτερον ἐπὶ τοὺς μήπω βεβασανισμένους. εἰοὶ δὲ οἵ τε περὶ μαντικὴν ἔχοντές τινος ἐπιστήμης διακόνου μόριον ἐρμηνευταὶ γάρ που νομίζονται παρὰ θεῶν ἀνθρώποις.

a3της m: τινες c

el E.S.: Those who are bought, and acquired as possessions by this means; people whom we can indisputably call slaves, and who least pretend to kingly expertise.

Y.S.: Quite.

E.S.: What then of all those among free men who voluntarily place themselves in the service of those we mentioned just now, conveying the products of farming and the other kinds of expertise between them and establishing equality between them, some in market-places, others moving from one city to another whether by sea or by land, exchanging currency both for everything else and for itself – people to whom we give the names of money-changers, merchants, shipowners, and retailers: surely they won't lay claim at all to the art of

statesmanship?
Y.S.: It may be, perhaps, that they will – to that which operates in the sphere of commerce.

E.S.: But those we see placing themselves with complete readiness at the service of all, for hire, as day-labourers – these we shall never find pretending to kingly expertise.

Y.S.: Quite so.

a5

c1

c5

E.S.: What in that case are we to say about those who perform services of the following sorts for us whenever we need them?

Y.S.: What services do you mean, and who is it you're talking about?

E.S.: Those to whom belong the tribe of heralds, and all those who become accomplished at writing by having repeatedly given their services in this respect, and certain others who are very clever at working through many different tasks relating to public offices: what shall we call these in their turn?

Y.S.: What you called them just now – subordinates, and not themselves rulers in cities.

E.S.: But I certainly wasn't dreaming, I think, when I said that it was somewhere here that there would appear those who particularly lay claim to the art of statesmanship. And yet it would seem very odd indeed to look for these in some portion of the subordinate arts.

Y.S.: Yes, quite.

E.S.: Then let's get still closer to those we haven't yet crossquestioned. There are those who have a part of a subordinate kind of expert knowledge in relation to divination; for they are, I believe, ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν καὶ τὸ τῶν ἱερέων αὖ γένος, ὡς τὸ νόμιμόν φησι, παρὰ μὲν ἡμῶν δωρεὰς θεοῖς διὰ θυσιῶν ἐπιστῆμόν ἐστι κατὰ νοῦν ἐκείνοις δωρεῖσθαι, παρὰ δὲ ἐκείνων ἡμῖν εὐχαῖς κτῆσιν ἀγαθῶν αἰτήσασθαι ταῦτα δὲ διακόνου τέχνης ἐστί που μόρια ἀμφότερα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεται γοῦν.

ΞΕ. Ἡδη τοίνυν μοι δοκοῦμεν οἰόν γέ τινος ἴχνους ἐφ' ὁ πορευόμεθα προσάπτεσθαι. τὸ γὰρ δὴ τῶν ἱερέων σχῆμα καὶ τὸ τῶν μάντεων εὖ μάλα φρονήματος πληροῦται καὶ δόξαν σεμνὴν λαμβάνει διὰ τὸ μέγεθος τῶν ἐγχειρημάτων, ὥστε περὶ μὲν Αἴγυπτον οὐδ' ἔξεστι βασιλέα χωρὶς ἱερατικῆς ἄρχειν, ἀλλ' ἐὰν ἄρα καὶ τύχη πρότερον ἐξ ἄλλου γένους βιασάμενος, ὕστερον ἀναγκαῖον εἰς τοῦτο εἰστελεῖσθαι αὐτὸν τὸ γένος ἔτι δὲ καὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων πολλαχοῦ ταῖς μεγίσταις ἀρχαῖς τὰ μέγιστα τῶν περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα θύματα εὕροι τις ἄν προσταττόμενα θύειν. καὶ δὴ καὶ παρ' ὑμῖν οὐχ ἥκιστα δῆλον ὁ λέγω. τῷ γὰρ λαχόντι βασιλεῖ φασιν τῆδε τὰ σεμνότατα καὶ μάλιστα πάτρια τῶν ἀρχαίων θυσιῶν ἀποδεδόσθαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ πάνυ γε.

ΞΕ. Τούτους τε τοίνυν τοὺς κληρωτοὺς βασιλέας ἄμα καὶ ἱερέας, καὶ ὑπηρέτας αὐτῶν, καί τινα ἔτερον πάμπολυν ὄχλον σκεπτέον, ὃς ἄρτι κατάδηλος ἡμῖν γέγονεν ἀποχωρισθέντων τῶν ἔμπροσθεν.

291

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τίνας δ' αὐτοὺς καὶ λέγεις:

ΞΕ. Καὶ μάλα τινὰς ἀτόπους.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί δή;

ΞΕ. Πάμφυλόν τι γένος αὐτῶν, ὥς γε ἄρτι σκοπουμένω φαίνεται. πολλοὶ μὲν γὰρ λέουσι τῶν ἀνδρῶν εἴξασι και Κενταύροις καὶ τοιούτοισιν ἐτέροις, πάμπολλοι δὲ Σατύροις καὶ τοῖς ἀσθενέσι καὶ πολυτρόποις θηρίοις ταχὺ δὲ μεταλλάττουσι τάς τε ἰδέας καὶ τὴν δύναμιν εἰς ἀλλήλους. καὶ μέντοι μοι νῦν, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἄρτι δοκῶ κατανενοηκέναι

considered to be interpreters from gods to men.

Y.S.: Yes.

d1

E.S.: And then too the class of priests, in its turn, has – as custom tells us – expert knowledge about the giving through sacrifices of gifts from us to the gods which are pleasing to them, and about asking from them through prayers for the acquisition of good things for us; and I imagine that both of these things are parts of a subordinate art.

Y.S.: It appears so, at any rate.

E.S.: Well now, it seems to me that at this point we are, as it were, d5 getting close to some sort of trail leading to our destination. For the type of priests and seers is filled full of self-importance and gets a lofty reputation because of the magnitude of what they undertake, so that in Egypt it is not even permitted for a king to hold office without also exercising that of priest, and if in fact he happens to have e1 acceded to power at the beginning by force from another class, it is later necessary for him to be initiated into the class of priests; and again among the Greeks too, in many places, it is to the greatest offices that one would find being assigned the performance of the greatest of the sacrifices in relation to such things. And in fact what e5 I'm saying receives the clearest illustration in your case; for they say that the most solemn and ancestral of the ancient sacrifices are assigned here to the person who becomes king by lot.

Y.S.: Most certainly.

E.S.: Well then, we must look both at these king-priests by lot, and their subordinates, and also a certain other very large crowd of people, which has just become visible to us now that the previous ones have been separated off.

25 Y.S.: But who are the people you mean?

E.S.: Some very odd people indeed.

Y.S.: How, exactly?

E.S.: It's a class mixed out of all sorts, or so it seems to me as I look at it just now. For many of the men resemble lions and centaurs and other such things, and very many resemble satyrs and those animals that are weak but versatile; and they quickly exchange their shapes and capacity for action for each other's. And yet *now*, Socrates, I

τοὺς ἄνδρας.	(291)
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγοις ἄν· ἔοικας γὰρ ἄτοπόν τι καθορᾶν.	6
ΞΕ. Ναί τὸ γὰρ ἄτοπον ἐξ ἀγνοίας πᾶσι συμβαίνει. καὶ	
γὰρ δὴ καὶ νῦν αὐτὸς τοῦτ' ἔπαθον· ἐξαίφνης ἠμφεγνόησα	
κατιδών τὸν περὶ τὰ τῶν πόλεων πράγματα χορόν.	c
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποῖον;	
ΞΕ. Τὸν πάντων τῶν σοφιστῶν μέγιστον γόητα καὶ ταύτης	
της τέχνης έμπειρότατον. ὃν ἀπὸ τῶν ὄντως ὄντων	
πολιτικών καὶ βασιλικών καίπερ παγχάλεπον ὄντα ἀφαιρεῖν	5
άφαιρετέον, εὶ μέλλομεν ἰδεῖν ἐναργῶς τὸ ζητούμενον.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν τοῦτό γε οὐκ ἀνετέον.	
ΞΕ. Οὔκουν δὴ κατά γε τὴν ἐμήν. καί μοι φράζε τόδε.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;	
ΞΕ. ↑Αρ' οὐ μοναρχία τῶν πολιτικῶν ἡμῖν ἀρχῶν ἐστι μία;	d
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	
ΞΕ. Καὶ μετὰ μοναρχίαν εἴποι τις ἂν οἷμαι τὴν ὑπὸ τῶν	
<b>ὀλίγων δυναστείαν.</b>	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὕ;	5
ΞΕ. Τρίτον δὲ σχῆμα πολιτείας οὐχ ἡ τοῦ πλήθους ἀρχή,	
δημοκρατία τοὔνομα κληθεῖσα;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ πάνυ γε.	
ΞΕ. Τρεῖς δ' οὖσαι μῶν οὐ πέντε τρόπον τινὰ γίγνονται,	
δύ' ἐξ ἑαυτῶν ἄλλα πρὸς αὑταῖς ὀνόματα τίκτουσαι;	10
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποῖα δή;	
ΞΕ. Πρὸς τὸ βίαιόν που καὶ έκούσιον ἀποσκοποῦντες νῦν	e
καὶ πενίαν καὶ πλοῦτον καὶ νόμον καὶ ἀνομίαν ἐν αὐταῖς	
γιγνόμενα διπλῆν έκατέραν τοῖν δυοῖν διαιροῦντες μοναρχίαν	
μέν προσαγορεύουσιν ώς δύο παρεχομένην εἴδη δυοίν	
ονόμασι, τυραννίδι, το δε βασιλικῆ.	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;	
ΞΕ. Τὴν δὲ ὑπ' ὀλίγων γε ἐκάστοτε κρατηθεῖσαν πόλιν	
ἀριστοκρατία καὶ ὀλιγαρχία.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ πάνυ γε.	
ΞΕ. Δημοκρατίας γε μήν, ἐάντ' οὖν βιαίως ἐάντε ἑκουσίως	10

(291) think I have identified the men in question.

Y.S.: Please explain; you seem to have something odd in view.

E.S.: Yes; for everyone finds things odd if they are unknown. And this is exactly what happened to me just now: in the moment when I

first saw the chorus of those concerned with the affairs of cities I failed to recognize them.

Y.S.: What chorus?

E.S.: That of the greatest magician of all the sophists, and the most versed in this expertise; although removing him from those who really

are in possession of the art of statesmanship and kingship is a very difficult thing to do, remove him we must, if we are going to see plainly what we are looking for.

Y.S.: But we must certainly not let this slip.

E.S.: Certainly not, as far as my view goes. So tell me this.

Y.S.: What?

d1 E.S.: Is monarchy one of the kinds of rule over cities we recognize?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And after monarchy one would, I think, mention the holding of power by the few.

d5 Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: And isn't a third type of constitution the rule of the multitude, called by the name of 'democracy'?

Y.S.: Most certainly.

E.S.: And being three, don't they in a certain way become five, giving birth to two other names in addition to themselves?

Y.S.: What are these?

e1 E.S.: I think that as things are people refer to the aspects of force and consent, poverty and wealth, law and lawlessness as they occur in them, and divide each of the first two into two, calling monarchy, on

the grounds that it exhibits two forms, by two names, the one 'tyrannical', the other 'kingly' monarchy.

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: And the city which has come to be controlled by a few people they call by the names of 'aristocracy' and 'oligarchy'.

Y.S.: Most certainly.

e10 E.S.: With democracy, on the other hand, whether in fact the

τῶν τὰς οὐσίας ἐχόντων τὸ πλῆθος ἄρχη, καὶ ἐάντε τοὺς 292 νόμους ἀκριβῶς φυλάττον ἐάντε μή, πάντως τοὔνομα οὐδεὶς αὐτῆς εἴωθε μεταλλάττειν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Aλnθñ. ΞE. Τί οὖν; οἰόμεθά τινα τούτων τῶν πολιτειῶν ὀρθὴν εἶναι τούτοις τοῖς ὅροις ὁρισθεῖσαν, ἐνὶ καὶ ὀλίγοις καὶ πολλοῖς, καὶ πλούτω καὶ πενία, καὶ τῷ βιαίω καὶ έκουσίω, καὶ μετὰ γραμμάτων καὶ ἄνευ νόμων συμβαίνουσαν γίγνεσθαι; Τί γὰρ δὴ καὶ κωλύει; Σκόπει δη σαφέστερον τηδε έπόμενος. ΞE. b NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πñ: ΞE. Τῷ ἡηθέντι κατὰ πρώτας πότερον ἐμμενοῦμεν ἢ διαφωνήσομεν: ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τῷ δὴ ποίω λέγεις; 5 ΞΕ. Τὴν βασιλικὴν ἀρχὴν τῶν ἐπιστημῶν εἶναί τινα ἔφαμεν, οίμαι. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Nαí. Καὶ τούτων γε οὐχ άπασῶν, ἀλλὰ κριτικὴν δήπου τινὰ καὶ ἐπιστατικὴν ἐκ τῶν ἄλλων προειλόμεθα. 10 NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ναί. Κάκ τῆς ἐπιστατικῆς τὴν μὲν ἐπ' ἀψύχοις ἔργοις, τὴν δ' ἐπὶ ζώοις καὶ κατὰ τοῦτον δὴ τὸν τρόπον μερίζοντες δεῦρ' ἀεὶ προεληλύθαμεν, ἐπιστήμης οὐκ ἐπιλανθανόμενοι, τὸ δ' ήτις οὐχ ίκανῶς πω δυνάμενοι διακριβώσασθαι. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγεις ὀρθώς. ΞE. Τοῦτ' αὐτὸ τοίνυν ἆρ' ἐννοοῦμεν, ὅτι τὸν ὅρον οὐκ ολίγους οὐδὲ πολλούς, οὐδὲ τὸ ἐκούσιον οὐδὲ τὸ ἀκούσιον, οὐδὲ πενίαν οὐδὲ πλοῦτον γίγνεσθαι περὶ αὐτῶν χρεών, ἀλλά τινα ἐπιστήμην, εἴπερ ἀκολουθήσομεν τοῖς πρόσθεν; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν τοῦτό γε ἀδύνατον μὴ ποιεῖν.

Έξ ἀνάγκης δὴ νῦν τοῦτο οὕτω σκεπτέον, ἐν τίνι ποτε τούτων ἐπιστήμη συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι περί ἀνθρώπων ἀρχῆς, σχεδὸν τῆς χαλεπωτάτης καὶ μενίστης κτήσασθαι. δεῖ νὰρ ίδεῖν αὐτήν, ἵνα θεασώμεθα τίνας ἀφαιρετέον ἀπὸ τοῦ d

multitude rules over those who possess the wealth by force or with their consent, and whether by accurately preserving the laws or not, in any case no one is in the habit of changing its name.

Y.S.: True.

E.S.: What then? Do we suppose that any of these constitutions is correct, when it is defined by these criteria – one, few and many, wealth and poverty, force and consent, and whether it turns out to be accompanied by written laws or without laws?

Y.S.: Why, what actually prevents it?

b1 E.S.: Look at it more clearly, following me this way.

Y.S.: Which?

E.S.: Shall we abide by what we said when we first began, or shall we set ourselves in discord with it?

b5 Y.S.: What was that?

E.S.: We said that kingly rule was one of the kinds of expert knowledge, I think.

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And of these, not of all of them, but we chose out from the rest particularly one who was concerned in some way with making judgements and controlling.

Y.S.: Yes.

d5

el E.S.: And then from the controlling sort, we took one that was set over inanimate products, and one set over living creatures; and it is by splitting things up in just this way that we have been progressing all the time to the point where we are now, not forgetting knowledge, but as for what kind of knowledge it is, not yet being able to give a sufficiently accurate answer.

c5 Y.S.: Your account is correct.

E.S.: Then do we see just this very point, that the criterion in these things must not be few, nor many, nor consent nor the lack of it, nor poverty nor wealth, but some kind of knowledge, if indeed we are going to be consistent with what we said before?

d1 Y.S.: But *that* it's impossible that we should not do.

E.S.: Necessarily, then, we must now consider in which, if any, of these expert knowledge about ruling human beings turns out to occur – practically the most difficult and the most important thing of which to acquire knowledge. For we must catch sight of it, in order to

φρονίμου βασιλέως, οἱ προσποιοῦνται μὲν εἶναι πολιτικοὶ καὶ πείθουσι πολλούς, εἰσὶ δὲ οὐδαμῶς.

ΝΕ. Σ $\Omega$ . Δεῖ γὰρ δὴ ποιεῖν τοῦτο, ώς ὁ λόγος ἡμῖν προείρηκεν.

ΞΕ. Μῶν οὖν δοκεῖ πλῆθός γε ἐν πόλει ταύτην τὴν ἐπιστήμην δυνατὸν εἶναι κτήσασθαι;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ πῶς;

ΞΕ. 'Αλλ' άρα ἐν χιλιάνδρω πόλει δυνατὸν ἑκατόν τινας ἢ καὶ πεντήκοντα αὐτὴν ἱκανῶς κτήσασθαι;

5

10

293

5

b

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ράστη μεντἂν οὕτω γ' εἴη πασῶν τῶν τεχνῶν' ἴσμεν γὰρ ὅτι χιλίων ἀνδρῶν ἄκροι πεττευταὶ τοσοῦτοι πρὸς τοὺς ἐν τοῖς ἄλλοις Έλλησιν οὐκ ἂν γένοιντό ποτε, μή τι δὴ βασιλῆς γε. δεῖ γὰρ δὴ τόν γε τὴν βασιλικὴν ἔχοντα ἐπιστήμην, ἄν τ' ἄρχη καὶ ἐὰν μή, κατὰ τὸν ἔμπροσθε λόγον ὅμως βασιλικὸν προσαγορεύεσθαι.

ΞΕ. Καλώς ἀπεμνημόνευσας. έπόμενον δὲ οἶμαι τούτω, τὴν μὲν ὀρθὴν ἀρχὴν περὶ ἕνα τινὰ καὶ δύο καὶ παντάπασιν ὀλίγους δεῖ ζητεῖν, ὅταν ὀρθὴ γίγνηται.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Τούτους δέ γε, ἐάντε ἐκόντων ἄντ' ἀκόντων ἄρχωσιν, ἐάντε κατὰ γράμματα ἐάντε ἄνευ γραμμάτων, καὶ ἐὰν πλουτοῦντες ἢ πενόμενοι, νομιστέον, ὥσπερ νῦν ἡγούμεθα, κατὰ τέχνην ἡντινοῦν ἀρχὴν ἄρχοντας. τοὺς ἰατροὺς δὲ οὐχ ἥκιστα νενομίκαμεν, ἐάντε ἐκόντας ἐάντε ἄκοντας ἡμᾶς ἰῶνται, τέμνοντες ἢ κάοντες ἤ τινα ἄλλην ἀλγηδόνα προσάπτοντες, καὶ ἐὰν κατὰ γράμματα ἢ χωρὶς γραμμάτων, καὶ ἐὰν πένητες ὄντες ἢ πλούσιοι, πάντως οὐδὲν ἦττον ἰατρούς φαμεν, ἔωσπερ ἀν ἐπιστατοῦντες τέχνη, καθαίροντες εἴτε ἄλλως ἰσχναίνοντες εἴτε καὶ αὐξάνοντες, ὰν μόνον ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ τῷ τῶν σωμάτων, βελτίω ποιοῦντες ἐκ χειρόνων, σώζωσιν οἱ θεραπεύοντες ἕκαστοι τὰ θεραπευόμενα ταύτη θήσομεν, ὡς οἷμαι, καὶ οὐκ ἄλλη, τοῦτον ὅρον ὀρθὸν εἶναι μόνον ἰατρικῆς καὶ ἄλλης ἡστινοσοῦν ἀρχῆς.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κομιδη μέν οὖν.

α 4 δεῖ m: δεῖν c | b 1 κατὰ τέχνην <τούς> c

consider which people we must remove from the wise king, who pretend to be possessors of the art of statesmanship, and persuade many people that they are, but in fact are not at all.

Y.S.: Yes, we must indeed do this, as our argument has already told us.

e1 E.S.: Well, does it seem that a mass of people in the city are capable of acquiring this expertise?

Y.S.: How?

e5

e10

293

E.S.: But in a city of a thousand men, is it possible for a hundred or so, or again fifty, to acquire it adequately?

Y.S.: In that case, it would be quite the easiest of all the kinds of expertise there are; for we know that among a thousand men there would never be so many top *petteia*-players in relation to those among the rest of the Greeks, let alone kings. For it is that man who actually possesses the expert knowledge of kingship, whether he rules or not, who must in any case be called an expert in kingship, according to what we said before.

E.S.: You've remembered well. As a consequence of this, I think, we must look for correct rule in relation to some one person, or two, or altogether few, when it is correct.

a5 Y.S.: We certainly must.

E.S.: Yes, but these, whether they rule over willing or unwilling subjects, whether according to written laws or without them, and if they rule as rich men or poor, we must consider – as we now suppose b1 - as carrying out whatever sort of rule they do on the basis of expertise. More than anything we believe in the doctors, whether they cure us with our consent or without it, by cutting or burning or applying some other painful treatment, and if they do so according to written rules or apart from written rules, and if as poor men or rich, in **b**5 any case we are no less inclined at all to say they are doctors, so long as they are in charge of us on the basis of expertise, purging or otherwise reducing us, or else building us up – it is no matter, if only for the good of our bodies, making them better than they were, each c1 and every one of those who care for them preserves what is in their

care; in this way, as I think, and in no other shall we lay down that this is the only correct definition of medicine and of any other sort of

rule whatsoever. Y.S.: Yes, just so. ΞΕ. 'Αναγκαῖον δὴ καὶ πολιτειῶν, ὡς ἔοικε, ταύτην ὀρθὴν διαφερόντως εἶναι καὶ μόνην πολιτείαν, ἐν ἡ τις ἂν εὑρίσκοι τοὺς ἄρχοντας ἀληθῶς ἐπιστήμονας καὶ οὐ δοκοῦντας μόνον, ἐάντε κατὰ νόμους ἐάντε ἄνευ νόμων ἄρχωσι, καὶ ἑκόντων ἢ ἀκόντων, καὶ πενόμενοι ἢ πλουτοῦντες, τούτων ὑπολογιστέον οὐδὲν οὐδαμῶς εἶναι κατ' οὐδεμίαν ὀρθότητα.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλώς.

ΞΕ. Καὶ ἐάντε γε ἀποκτεινύντες τινὰς ἢ καὶ ἐκβάλλοντες καθαίρωσιν ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ τὴν πόλιν, εἴτε καὶ ἀποικίας οἷον σμήνη μελιττῶν ἐκπέμποντές ποι σμικροτέραν ποιῶσιν, ἤ τινας ἐπεισαγόμενοί ποθεν ἄλλους ἔξωθεν πολίτας ποιοῦντες αὐτὴν αὕξωσιν, ἔωσπερ ἂν ἐπιστήμη καὶ τῷ δικαίῳ προσχρώμενοι σώζοντες ἐκ χείρονος βελτίω ποιῶσι κατὰ δύναμιν, ταύτην τότε καὶ κατὰ τοὺς τοιούτους ὅρους ἡμῖν μόνην ὀρθὴν πολιτείαν εἶναι ἡητέον ὅσας δ' ἄλλας λέγομεν, οὐ γνησίας οὐδ' ὄντως οὔσας λεκτέον, ἀλλὰ μεμιμημένας ταύτην, ἃς μὲν ὡς εὐνόμους λέγομεν, ἐπὶ τὰ καλλίω, τὰς δὲ ἄλλας ἐπὶ τὰ αἰσχίονα μεμιμῆοθαι.

NE. ΣΩ. Τὰ μὲν ἄλλα, ὧ ξένε, μετρίως ἔοικεν εἰρῆσθαι τὸ δὲ καὶ ἄνευ νόμων δεῖν ἄρχειν χαλεπώτερον ἀκούειν ἐρρήθη.

ΞΕ. Μικρόν γε ἔφθης με ἐρόμενος, ὧ Σώκρατες. ἔμελλον γάρ σε διερωτήσειν ταῦτα πότερον ἀποδέχη πάντα, ἤ τι καὶ δυσχεραίνεις τῶν λεχθέντων νῦν δ' ἤδη φανερὸν ὅτι τοῦτο βουλησόμεθα τὸ περὶ τῆς τῶν ἄνευ νόμων ἀρχόντων ὀρθότητος διελθεῖν ἡμᾶς.

294

5

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τρόπον μέντοι τινὰ δῆλον ὅτι τῆς βασιλικῆς ἐστιν ἡ νομοθετική· τὸ δ' ἄριστον οὐ τοὺς νόμους ἐστὶν ἰσχύειν ἀλλ' ἄνδρα τὸν μετὰ φρονήσεως βασιλικόν. οἶοθ' ὅπη;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῆ δὴ λέγεις;

ΞΕ. "Ότι νόμος οὐκ ἄν ποτε δύναιτο τό τε ἄριστον καὶ τὸ δικαιότατον ἀκριβῶς πᾶσιν ἄμα περιλαβὼν τὸ βέλτιστον ἐπιτάττειν αἱ γὰρ ἀνομοιότητες τῶν τε ἀνθρώπων καὶ τῶν πράξεων καὶ τὸ μηδέποτε μηδὲν ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν ἡσυχίαν

E.S.: It must then be the case, it seems, that of constitutions too the one that is correct in comparison with the rest, and alone a constitution, is the one in which the rulers would be found truly possessing expert knowledge, and not merely seeming to do so, whether they rule according to laws or without laws, and over willing or unwilling subjects, and whether the rulers are poor or wealthy—there is no criterion of correctness according to which any of these must be taken into any account at all.

Y.S.: Right.

d5

e1

е5

E.S.: And whether they purge the city for its benefit by putting some people to death or else by exiling them, or whether again they make it smaller by sending out colonies somewhere like swarms of bees, or build it up by introducing people from somewhere outside and making them citizens, so long as they act to preserve it on the basis of expert knowledge and what is just, making it better than it was, so far as they can, this is the constitution which alone we must say is correct, under these conditions and in accordance with criteria of this sort; and all the others we are talking about we must say not to be genuine, and not really to be constitutions at all, but to have imitated this one – those we say are 'law-abiding' for the better, whereas the others have imitated it for the worse.

Y.S.: The rest of it, Stranger, seems to have been said in due measure; but that ideal rule may exist even without laws was a statement harder for a hearer to accept.

E.S.: You got in just a little before me with your question, Socrates.

For I was about to ask you whether you accept all of this, or whether in fact you find any of the things we have said hard to take; but as it is it's already apparent that we'll want a discussion of this matter of the correctness of those who rule without laws.

a5 Y.S.: Quite.

E.S.: Now in a certain sense it is clear that the art of the legislator belongs to that of the king; but the best thing is not that the laws should prevail, but rather the kingly man who possesses wisdom. Do you know why?

Y.S.: What then is the reason?

E.S.: That law could never accurately embrace what is best and most just for all at the same time, and so prescribe what is best; for the dissimilarities between human beings and their actions, and the fact that practically nothing in human affairs ever remains stable, prevent

ἄγειν τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων οὐδὲν ἐῶσιν ἁπλοῦν ἐν οὐδενὶ περὶ (294) ἁπάντων καὶ ἐπὶ πάντα τὸν χρόνον ἀποφαίνεσθαι τέχνην οὐδ' 5 ἡντινοῦν. ταῦτα δὴ συγχωροῦμέν που;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Τὸν δέ γε νόμον ὁρῶμεν σχεδὸν ἐπ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο συντείνοντα, ὥσπερ τινὰ ἄνθρωπον αὐθάδη καὶ ἀμαθῆ καὶ μηδένα μηδὲν ἐῶντα ποιεῖν παρὰ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ τάξιν, μηδ' ἐπερωτᾶν μηδένα, μηδ' ἄν τι νέον ἄρα τῳ συμβαίνη βέλτιον παρὰ τὸν λόγον ὃν αὐτὸς ἐπέταξεν.

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Αληθη ποιεί γὰρ ἀτεχνῶς καθάπερ εἴρηκας νῦν ὁ τομος ἡμῖν ἑκάστοις.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἀδύνατον εὖ ἔχειν πρὸς τὰ μηδέποτε ἁπλᾶ τὸ διὰ παντὸς γιγνόμενον ἁπλοῦν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύει.

 $\Xi E$ . Διὰ τί δή ποτ' οὖν ἀναγκαῖον νομοθετεῖν, ἐπειδήπερ 10 οὐκ ὀρθότατον ὁ νόμος; ἀνευρετέον τούτου τὴν αἰτίαν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ παρ' ὑμῖν εἰσί τινες οἶαι καὶ ἐν ἄλλαις πόλεσιν ἁθρόων ἀνθρώπων ἀσκήσεις, εἴτε πρὸς δρόμον εἴτε πρὸς ἄλλο τι, φιλονικίας ἕνεκα;

5

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ πάνυ γε πολλαί.

ΞΕ. Φέρε νῦν ἀναλάβωμεν πάλιν μνήμη τὰς τῶν τέχνη γυμναζόντων ἐπιτάξεις ἐν ταῖς τοιαύταις ἀρχαῖς.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τὸ ποῖον;

ΣΕ. "Ότι λεπτουργείν οὐκ ἐγχωρείν ἡγοῦνται καθ' ἔνα 10 ἔκαστον, τῷ σώματι τὸ προσῆκον ἑκάστῳ προστάττοντες, ἀλλὰ παχύτερον οἴονται δείν ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ καὶ ἐπὶ ε πολλοὺς τὴν τοῦ λυσιτελοῦντος τοῖς σώμασι ποιείσθαι τάξιν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καλῶς.

ΞΕ. Διὸ δή γε καὶ ἴσους πόνους νῦν διδόντες ἁθρόοις ἄμα μὲν ἐξορμῶσιν, ἄμα δὲ καὶ καταπαύουσι δρόμου καὶ πάλης καὶ πάντων τῶν κατὰ τὰ σώματα πόνων.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εστι ταῦτα.

ΞΕ. Καὶ τὸν νομοθέτην τοίνυν ἡγώμεθα, τὸν ταῖσιν ἀγέλαις

any kind of expertise whatsoever from making any simple decision in any sphere that covers all cases and will last for all time. I suppose this is something we agree about?

Y.S.: Certainly.

E.S.: But we see law bending itself more or less towards this very thing, like some self-willed and ignorant person, who allows no one to do anything contrary to what he orders, nor to ask any questions, not even if after all something new turns out for someone which is better, contrary to the prescription which he himself has laid down.

Y.S.: True; the law does simply as you have just said with regard to each and every one of us.

E.S.: Then it is impossible for what is perpetually simple to be useful in relation to what is never simple?

Y.S.: Very likely.

E.S.: Why then is it ever necessary to make laws, given that law is not something completely correct? We must find out the cause of this.

Y.S.: Certainly.

E.S.: Now it is the case with you, too, that people train in groups in the way they do in other cities, whether for running or for something else, for competitive purposes?

Y.S.: Yes, very frequently.

E.S.: Well, now let's recall to mind the instructions that expert trainers give when they're controlling people in such circumstances.

Y.S.: What are you thinking of?

E.S.: That they think that there is no room for them to make their prescriptions piece by piece to suit each individual, giving the instruction appropriate to the physical condition of each, but they think it necessary to prescribe what will bring physical benefit more roughly, as suits the majority of cases and a large number of people.

Y.S.: Right.

е5

E.S.: And it's just for this reason that as it is they give equally heavy exercises to all the group, starting them off together and stopping them together in their running and wrestling and the rest of their physical exercises.

Y.S.: That's so.

E.S.: Then let's suppose the same about the legislator too, the person

ἐπιστατήσοντα τοῦ δικαίου πέρι καὶ τῶν πρὸς ἀλλήλους συμβολαίων, μή ποθ' ἱκανὸν γενήσεσθαι πᾶσιν άθρόοις προστάττοντα ἀκριβῶς ἐνὶ ἐκάστῳ τὸ προσῆκον ἀποδιδόναι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ γοῦν εἰκός.

ΞΕ. 'Αλλὰ τὸ τοῖς πολλοῖς γε οἶμαι καὶ ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ καί πως οὑτωσὶ παχυτέρως ἐκάστοις τὸν νόμον θήσει, καὶ ἐν γράμμασιν ἀποδιδοὺς καὶ ἐν ἀγραμμάτοις, πατρίοις δὲ ἔθεσι νομοθετῶν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . 'Ορθώς.

ΞΕ. 'Ορθῶς μέντοι. πῶς γὰρ ἄν τις ἱκανὸς γένοιτ' ἄν ποτε, ὧ Σώκρατες, ὥστε διὰ βίου ἀεὶ παρακαθήμενος ἑκάστω δι' ἀκριβείας προστάττειν τὸ προσῆκον; ἐπεὶ τοῦτ' ἄν δυνατὸς ὧν, ὡς οἶμαι, τῶν τὴν βασιλικὴν ὁστισοῦν ὄντως ἐπιστήμην εἰληφότων σχολῆ ποτ' ἄν ἑαυτῷ θεῖτ' ἐμποδίσματα γράφων τοὺς λεχθέντας τούτους νόμους.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ἐκ τῶν νῦν γοῦν, ὧ ξένε, εἰρημένων.

 $\Xi E$ . Μάλλον δέ γε,  $\vec{\omega}$  βέλτιστε, ἐκ τῶν μελλόντων ρηθήσεσθαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τίνων δή:

ΞΕ. Τῶν τοιῶνδε. εἴπωμεν γὰρ δὴ πρός γε ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς ἰατρὸν μέλλοντα ἢ καί τινα γυμναστικὸν ἀποδημεῖν καὶ ἀπέσεσθαι τῶν θεραπευομένων συχνόν, ὡς οἴοιτο, χρόνον, μὴ μνημονεύσειν οἰηθέντα τὰ προσταχθέντα τοὺς γυμναζομένους ἢ τοὺς κάμνοντας, ὑπομνήματα γράφειν ἂν ἐθέλειν αὐτοῖς, ἢ πῶς;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Τί δ' εἰ παρὰ δόξαν ἐλάττω χρόνον ἀποδημήσας ἔλθοι πάλιν; ἆρ' οὐκ ἂν παρ' ἐκεῖνα τὰ γράμματα τολμήσειεν ἄλλ' ὑποθέσθαι, συμβαινόντων ἄλλων βελτιόνων τοῖς κάμνουσι διὰ πνεύματα ἤ τι καὶ ἄλλο παρὰ τὴν ἐλπίδα τῶν ἐκ Διὸς ἐτέρως πως τῶν εἰωθότων γενόμενα, καρτερῶν δ' ἂν ἡγοῖτο δεῖν μὴ ἐκβαίνειν τἀρχαῖά ποτε νομοθετηθέντα μήτε αὐτὸν προστάττοντα ἄλλα μήτε τὸν κάμνοντα ἔτερα τολμῶντα παρὰ τὰ γραφέντα δρᾶν, ὡς ταῦτα ὄντα ἰατρικὰ καὶ ὑγιεινά, τὰ

295

5

10

5

d

5

who will direct our herds in relation to justice and their contracts with one another – that he will never be capable, in his prescriptions for 295 everyone together, of assigning accurately to each individual what is appropriate for him.

Y.S.: What you say certainly sounds reasonable.

E.S: Instead he will, I think set down the law for each and every one according to the principle of 'for the majority of people, for the majority of cases, and roughly, somehow, like this', whether expressing it in writing or in unwritten form, legislating by means of ancestral customs.

Y.S.: Correct.

**a**5

Ы1

d1

d5

E.S.: Yes, it certainly is. For how would anyone ever be capable, Socrates, of sitting beside each individual perpetually throughout his life and accurately prescribing what is appropriate to him? Since in my view, if he were capable of this, any one of those who had really acquired the expert knowledge of kingship would hardly put obstacles in his own way by writing down these laws we talked about. b5

Y.S.: It certainly follows from what we have now said, Stranger.

E.S.: Yes, but more, my good friend, from the things that are going to be said.

Y.S.: And what are they?

E.S.: Things like the following. Are we to say, that is, between us, ь10 that if a doctor, or else some gymnastic trainer, were going to be out c1 of the country and to be away from his charges for what he thought would be a long time, and thought that the people being trained, or his patients, would not remember the instructions he had given them, he would want to write down reminders for them - or what are we to c5 say?

Y.S.: As you suggested.

E.S.: But what if he came back unexpectedly, having been away for less time than he thought he would be? Do you think he would not propose other prescriptions, contrary to the ones he had written down, when things turned out to be different, and better, for his patients because of winds or else some other of the things that come from Zeus which had turned out contrary to expectation, in some way differently from the usual pattern, and he would obstinately think that neither he nor the patient should step outside those ancient laws that had once been laid down, he himself by giving other instructions, the patient by daring to do different things contrary to what was written down, on the grounds that these were the rules of the art of medicine

δὲ ἐτέρως γιγνόμενα νοσώδη τε καὶ οὐκ ἔντεχνα· ἢ πᾶν τὸ τοιοῦτον ἔν γε ἐπιστήμη συμβαῖνον καὶ ἀληθεῖ τέχνη περὶ ἄπαντα παντάπασι γέλως ἄν ὁ μέγιστος γίγνοιτο τῶν τοιούτων νομοθετημάτων;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Παντάπασι μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Τῷ δὲ τὰ δίκαια δὴ καὶ ἄδικα καὶ καλὰ καὶ αἰσχρὰ καὶ ἀγαθὰ καὶ κακὰ γράψαντι καὶ ἄγραφα νομοθετήσαντι ταῖς τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἀγέλαις, ὁπόσαι κατὰ πόλιν ἐν ἑκάσταις νομεύονται κατὰ τοὺς τῶν γραψάντων νόμους, ἂν ὁ μετα τέχνης γράψας ἤ τις ἔτερος ὅμοιος ἀφίκηται, μὴ ἐξέστω δὴ παρὰ ταῦτα ἔτερα προστάττειν; ἢ καὶ τοῦτο τὸ ἀπόρρημα οὐδὲν ἦττον ἂν ἐκείνου τῆ ἀληθεία γελοῖον φαίνοιτο;

296

5

10

b

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν:

ΞΕ. Οἷοθ' οὖν ἐτιὶ τῷ τοιούτῳ λόγον τὸν παρὰ τῶν πολλῶν λεγόμενον;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἐννοῶ νῦν γ' οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν εὐπρεπής. φασὶ γὰρ δὴ δεῖν, εἴ τις γιγνώσκει παρὰ τοὺς τῶν ἔμπροσθεν βελτίους νόμους, νομοθετεῖν τὴν ἐαυτοῦ πόλιν ἔκαστον πείσαντα, ἄλλως δὲ μή.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν; οὐκ ὀρθώς;

ΞΕ. Ίσως. ἂν δ' οὖν μὴ πείθων τις βιάζηται τὸ βέλτιον, ἀπόκριναι, τί τοὔνομα τῆς βίας ἔσται; μὴ μέντοι πω, περὶ δὲ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν πρότερον.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποῖον δὴ λέγεις:

ΞΕ. "Αν τις ἄρα μὴ πείθων τὸν ἰατρευόμενον, ἔχων δὲ ὀρθῶς τὴν τέχνην, παρὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα τὸ βέλτιον ἀναγκάζη δρᾶν παῖδα ἤ τινα ἄνδρα ἢ καὶ γυναῖκα, τί τοὕνομα τῆς βίας ἔσται ταύτης; ἄρ' οὐ πᾶν μᾶλλον ἢ τὸ παρὰ τὴν τέχνην λεγόμενον άμάρτημα τὸ νοσῶδες; καὶ πάντα ὀρθῶς εἰπεῖν ἔστι πρότερον τῷ βιασθέντι περὶ τὸ τοιοῦτον πλὴν ὅτι νοσώδη καὶ ἄτεχνα πέπονθεν ὑπὸ τῶν βιασαμένων ἰατρῶν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα λέγεις.

ΞΕ. Τί δὲ ἡμῖν δὴ τὸ παρὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν τέχνην 5

and of health, and that things that happened differently were unhealthy and not part of his expertise; or would all things of this kind, if they happened in the context of truly expert knowledge, in all spheres cause altogether the greatest ridicule, for acts of legislation of this sort?

Y.S.: Absolutely right.

e1

a5

E.S.: And the person who has written down what is just and unjust, fine and shameful, good and bad or has laid down unwritten laws on these subjects for all those herds of human beings that graze, city by city, according to the laws of those who wrote them down in each case – if the person who wrote them on the basis of expertise, or someone else resembling him, arrives, is it really not to be permitted

him to give different instructions contrary to these? Or would not this prohibition appear in truth no less ridiculous than the other one?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Well then, do you know what is said by the majority of people in such a case?

Y.S.: It doesn't come to mind for the moment, just like that.

E.S.: Well, it sounds fine enough. What they say is that if someone recognizes laws that are better, contrary to those established by people before him, then he must bring them in by persuading his city to accept them in each case, but not otherwise.

a10 Y.S.: Well then? Is that not a correct view?

E.S.: Perhaps. But first things first: if someone forces through what is better without the use of persuasion, tell me, what will be the name to give to the use of force in this case? No – not yet; answer me first in relation to the previous cases.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: If then – to continue with our example – someone does not persuade his patient, but has a correct grasp of the relevant expertise, and forces child, or man, or woman, to do what is better, contrary to what has been written down, what will be the name to give to this use of force? Surely anything rather than what we called an unhealthy mistake contrary to the expertise in question? And the

c1 last thing the person who was the the object of such force can correctly say about such a thing is that he had unhealthy things done to him by the doctors who used force on him, things that did not belong to their expertise?

Y.S.: What you say is very true.

E.S.: And what do we suppose is the mistake we're talking about, the

άμάρτημα λεγόμενόν έστιν; άρ' οὐ τὸ αἰσχρὸν καὶ τὸ κακὸν καὶ ἄδικον:

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Παντάπασί γε.

Τῶν δὴ βιασθέντων παρὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα καὶ πάτρια ΞE. δράν έτερα δικαιότερα καὶ ἀμείνω καὶ καλλίω τῶν ἔμπροσθεν. φέρε, τὸν τῶν τοιούτων αὖ ψόγον περὶ τῆς τοιαύτης βίας, άρ', εὶ μέλλει μὴ καταγελαστότατος εἶναι πάντων, πάντ' αὐτῶ μᾶλλον λεκτέον έκάστοτε πλὴν ώς αἰσχρὰ καὶ ἄδικα καὶ κακὰ πεπόνθασιν οί βιασθέντες ὑπὸ τῶν βιασαμένων;

10

d

5

297

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα λέγεις.

ΞE. 'Αλλ' ἄρα ἐὰν μὲν πλούσιος ὁ βιασάμενος ἣ, δίκαια, ἂν δ' ἄρα πένης, ἄδικα τὰ βιασθέντα ἐστίν; ἢ κἂν πείσας κἂν μὴ πείσας τις, πλούσιος ἢ πένης, ἢ κατὰ γράμματα ἢ παρὰ γράμματα, δρᾶ μὴ σύμφορα ἢ σύμφορα, τοῦτον δεῖ καὶ περὶ ταῦτα τὸν ὅρον εἶναι τόν γε ἀληθινώτατον ὀρθῆς πόλεως διοικήσεως, ον ό σοφος καὶ ἀγαθὸς ἀνὴρ διοικήσει τὸ τῶν άρχομένων: ώσπερ ὁ κυβερνήτης, τὸ τῆς νεώς καὶ ναυτών ἀεὶ συμφέρον παραφυλάττων, οὐ γράμματα τιθείς ἀλλὰ τὴν τέχνην νόμον παρεχόμενος σώζει τους συνναύτας, ούτω καὶ κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον τοῦτον παρὰ τῶν οὕτως ἄρχειν δυναμένων ὀρθή γίγνοιτ' ἂν πολιτεία, τὴν τῆς τέχνης ῥώμην τῶν νόμων παρεχομένων κρείττω; καὶ πάντα ποιοῦσι τοῖς ἔμφροσιν ἄρχουσιν οὐκ ἔστιν ἁμάρτημα, μέχριπερ ἂν ἒν μέγα φυλάττωσι, τὸ μετὰ νοῦ καὶ τέχνης δικαιότατον ἀεὶ b διανέμοντες τοῖς ἐν τῆ πόλει σώζειν τε αὐτοὺς οἶοί τε ὧσιν καὶ ἀμείνους ἐκ χειρόνων ἀποτελεῖν κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν;

Οὐκ ἔστ' ἀντειπεῖν παρά γε ἃ νῦν εἴρηται. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .

ΞĒ. Καὶ μὴν πρὸς ἐκεῖνα οὐδὲ ἀντιρρητέον.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τὰ ποῖα εἶπες:

'Ως οὐκ ἄν ποτε πλήθος οὐδ' ώντινωνοῦν τὴν τοιαύτην ΞE. λαβὸν ἐπιστήμην οἶόν τ' ἂν γένοιτο μετὰ νοῦ διοικεῖν πόλιν, άλλὰ περὶ σμικρόν τι καὶ ὀλίγον καὶ τὸ ἔν ἐστι ζητητέον την μίαν έκείνην πολιτείαν την όρθην, τάς δ' άλλας μιμήματα θετέον, ώσπερ καὶ ὀλίγον πρότερον ἐρρήθη, τὰς

one that is in contravention of the expertise of the statesman? Isn't it what is shameful, what is bad, and unjust?

Y.S.: I agree, absolutely.

c10

d1

d5

e1

297

a5

ь1

b5

cl

E.S.: Then those who have been forced, contrary to what has been written down and ancestral custom, to do different things that are more just, better and finer than the things they did before – tell me, if people in this kind of situation for their part censure this kind of use of force, isn't it the case that, if their censure isn't to be the most laughable of all, they must say anything on each occasion rather than that those who have been forced have had shameful, unjust and bad things done to them by those who did the forcing?

Y.S.: What you say is very true.

E.S.: But are the things forced on them just, if the person who did the forcing is rich, and unjust if he happens to be poor? Or if, whether by using persuasion or not, rich or poor, or according to written law or contrary to it, he does what is not to the benefit of the citizens or what is, must this be the criterion, and in relation to these things – the truest criterion of correct government of a city, the one according to which the wise and good man will govern what belongs to the ruled? Just as a steersman, always watching out for what is to the benefit of the ship and the sailors, preserves his fellow-sailors not by putting things down in writing but offering his expertise as law, so too in this same manner a constitution would be correct, would it not, if it issued from those who are able to rule in this way, offering the strength of their expertise as more powerful than the laws? And is it not the case that there is no mistake for wise rulers, whatever they do, provided that they watch for one great thing, that by always distributing to those in the city what is most just as judged by the intelligent application of their expertise they are able both to preserve them and so far as they

Y.S.: It is certainly not possible to contradict what has just been said.

E.S.: And neither should one contradict those other things we said.

can to bring it about that they are better than they were?

Y.S.: What are you referring to?

E.S.: That a mass of any people whatsoever would never be able to acquire this kind of expert knowledge and so govern a city with intelligence, but we must look for that one constitution, the correct one, in relation to a small element in the population, few in number, or even one, and that we must put down the other constitutions as

μèν ἐπὶ τὰ καλλίονα, τὰς δ' ἐπὶ τὰ αἰσχίω μιμουμένας ταύτην.

NE. ΣΩ. Πῶς τί τοῦτ' εἴρηκας; οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄρτι ἡηθὲν κατέμαθον τὸ περὶ τῶν μιμημάτων.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν οὐ φαῦλόν γε, ἂν κινήσας τις τοῦτον τὸν λόγον αὐτοῦ καταβάλη καὶ μὴ διελθῶν ἐνδείξηται τὸ νῦν γιγνόμενον ἀμάρτημα περὶ αὐτό.

d

10

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποῖον δή;

ΞΕ. Τοιόνδε τι δεῖ γε ζητεῖν, οὐ πάνυ σύνηθες οὐδὲ ῥάδιον ἰδεῖν· ὅμως μὴν πειρώμεθα λαβεῖν αὐτό. φέρε γάρ· ὀρθῆς ἡμῖν μόνης οὕσης ταύτης τῆς πολιτείας ἣν εἰρήκαμεν, οἶσθ' ὅτι τὰς ἄλλας δεῖ τοῖς ταύτης συγγράμμασι χρωμένας οὕτω σώζεσθαι, δρώσας τὸ νῦν ἐπαινούμενον, καίπερ οὐκ ὀρθότατον ὄν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Τὸ παρὰ τοὺς νόμους μηδέν μηδένα τολμᾶν ποιεῖν τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει, τὸν τολμῶντα δὲ θανάτῳ ζημιοῦσθαι καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἐσχάτοις. καὶ τοῦτ' ἔστιν ὀρθότατα καὶ κάλλιστ' ἔχον ὡς δεύτερον, ἐπειδὰν τὸ πρῶτόν τις μεταθῆ τὸ νυνδὴ ἡηθέν δὲ τρόπῳ γεγονός ἐστι τοῦτο ὃ δὴ δεύτερον ἐφήσαμεν, διαπερανώμεθα. ἦ γάρ;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Εἰς δὴ τὰς εἰκόνας ἐπανίωμεν πάλιν, αἶς ἀναγκαῖον ἀπεικάζειν ἀεὶ τοὺς βασιλικοὺς ἄρχοντας.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποίας:

 $\Xi E$ . Τὸν γενναῖον κυβερνήτην καὶ τὸν ἑτέρων πολλῶν 10 ἀντάξιον ἰατρόν. κατίδωμεν γὰρ δή τι σχῆμα ἐν τούτοις αὐτοῖς πλασάμενοι.

ΝΕ, ΣΩ, Ποῖόν τι;

ΞΕ. Τοιόνδε οίον εὶ πάντες περὶ αὐτῶν διανοηθεῖμεν ὅτι δεινότατα ὑπ' αὐτῶν πάσχομεν. ὅν μὲν γὰρ ἄν ἐθελήσωσιν ἡμῶν τούτων ἑκάτεροι σώζειν, ὁμοίως δὴ σώζουσιν, ὅν δ' ἄν λωβᾶσθαι βουληθῶσιν, λωβῶνται τέμνοντες καὶ κάοντες καὶ προστάττοντες ἀναλώματα φέρειν παρ' ἑαυτοὺς οίον φόρους,

c 6 δηθέν e: δήθεν m, O

imitations, just as was said a little earlier, some of them imitating this one for the better, the others for the worse.

Y.S.: What do you mean by this? What are you saying? For I did not understand the point about imitations when it was made just now either.

E.S.: And it's no small matter, if one stirs up this subject and then discards it where it is, without going through it and showing the mistake that now occurs in relation to it.

Y.S.: What mistake is that?

c5

d1

d5

E.S.: This sort of thing we must look for, since it is not altogether what we are used to or easy to see; but all the same let's try to grasp it. Tell me: given that this constitution we have talked about is on our view the only correct one, do you recognize that the others ought to use the written documents that belong to this one, and save themselves in this way, doing what is now praised, although it is not the most correct thing to do?

Y.S.: What are you referring to?

thing contrary to the laws, and that the person who dares to do so should be puished by death and all the worst punishments. And this is very correct and fine as a second choice, when one changes the principle we discussed just now, which is our first choice; but let us go through the way in which what we have called 'second-best' has come about.

Do you agree?

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, let's go back to the likenesses to which we must always compare our kingly rulers.

Y.S.: Which likenesses?

E.S.: The noble steersman and the doctor who is 'worth many others'. Let us look at the matter by fashioning a kind of figure, using these as material.

Y.S.: Of what kind?

298 E.S.: Of the following sort: let's suppose that we all thought of them as doing the most terrible things to us. For the one as much as the other saves whichever of us he wishes to save, and whichever of us they wish to mutilate, they do it by cutting and burning us and

ών σμικρά μεν είς τον κάμνοντα και οὐδεν ἀναλίσκουσιν, (298)τοῖς δ' ἄλλοις αὐτοί τε καὶ οἱ οἰκέται χρώνται καὶ δὴ καὶ τελευτώντες ἢ παρὰ συγγενών ἢ παρά τινων ἐχθρών τοῦ κάμνοντος χρήματα μισθὸν λαμβάνοντες ἀποκτεινύασιν. οί τ' μυρία έτερα τοιαῦτα κυβερνῆται έργάζονται, καταλείποντές τε έκ τινος ἐπιβουλῆς ἐν ταῖς ἀναγωγαῖς έρήμους, καὶ σφάλματα ποιοῦντες ἐν τοῖς πελάγεσιν έκβάλλουσιν είς τὴν θάλατταν, καὶ ἔτερα κακουργοῦσιν. εἰ δὴ ταῦτα διανοηθέντες βουλευσαίμεθα περί αὐτῶν βουλήν τινα, τούτων τῶν τεχνῶν μηκέτι ἐπιτρέπειν ἄρχειν αὐτοκράτορι μηδετέρα μήτ' οὖν δούλων μήτ' ἐλευθέρων, συλλέξαι δ' έκκλησίαν ήμων αὐτων, ἢ σύμπαντα τὸν δῆμον ἢ τοὺς πλουσίους μόνον, έξειναι δὲ καὶ ἰδιωτῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων δημιουργών περί τε πλού καὶ περὶ νόσων γνώμην ξυμβαλέσθαι καθ' ότι χρη τοῖς φαρμάκοις ήμας καὶ τοῖς λατρικοίς ὀργάνοις πρὸς τοὺς κάμνοντας χρῆσθαι, καὶ δὴ καὶ τοῖς πλοίοις τε αὐτοῖς καὶ τοῖς ναυτικοῖς ὀργάνοις εἰς τὴν τῶν πλοίων χρείαν καὶ περὶ τοὺς κινδύνους τούς τε πρὸς αὐτὸν τὸν πλοῦν ἀνέμων καὶ θαλάττης πέρι καὶ πρὸς τὰς τοις λησταις έντεύξεις, και έαν ναυμαχείν άρα δέη που μακροίς πλοίοις πρὸς έτερα τοιαῦτα τὰ δὲ τῷ πλήθει δόξαντα περί τούτων, είτε τινών ιατρών και κυβερνητών είτ' άλλων ίδιωτῶν συμβουλευόντων, γράψαντας ἐν κύρβεσί τισι καὶ στήλαις, τὰ δὲ καὶ ἄγραφα πάτρια θεμένους ἔθη, κατὰ ταῦτα ἤδη πάντα τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον ναυτίλλεσθαι καὶ τὰς τῶν καμνόντων θεραπείας ποιεῖοθαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κομιδη γε είρηκας άτοπα.

ΞΕ. Κατ' ἐνιαυτὸν δέ γε ἄρχοντας καθίστασθαι τοῦ πλήθους, εἴτε ἐκ τῶν πλουσίων εἴτε ἐκ τοῦ δήμου παντός, ὃς ἂν κληρούμενος λαγχάνη τοὺς δὲ καταστάντας ἄρχοντας ἄρχειν κατὰ τὰ γράμματα κυβερνῶντας τὰς ναῦς καὶ τοὺς κάμνοντας ἰωμένους.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ταῦτ' ἔτι χαλεπώτερα.

ΞΕ. Θεῶ δὴ καὶ τὸ μετὰ ταῦτα ἐπόμενον. ἐπειδὰν γὰρ δὴ

10

directing us to pay them expenses as if they were taxes, of which they (298)spend little or none on the patient, while they themselves and their ы household use the rest; and the final step is for them to take money from relatives or some enemies of the patient as pay for killing him. And steersmen, in their turn, work at a thousand other things of a similar kind, leaving people stranded on voyages as a result of some **b**5 conspiracy or other, causing shipwrecks on the seas and throwing people overboard, and doing other malicious things. Let's then suppose that we thought this about them, and came to a conclusion in a kind of council, no longer to allow either of these kinds of expertise c1 to have autonomous control either of slaves or of free men, but to call together an assembly consisting of ourselves, either the people all together or only the rich, and that it be permitted both to laymen and to the other craftsmen to contribute an opinion both about sailing and с5 about diseases, about the basis according to which we should employ drugs and the tools of the doctor's art on patients, and also both ships d1 themselves and the tools of the sailor's art for using the ships, in relation both to the dangers affecting the voyage itself from winds and sea and to encounters with pirates, and if it should turn out to be necessary, perhaps, to fight a sea battle with long ships against others d5 of the same type; and that having written down on kurbeis or blocks of stone of some sort what the majority has decided, whether with the advice of some doctors and steersmen or of others who are laymen, e1 and having also established other things as unwritten ancestral customs, we should then do all our sailing and caring for patients for all future time according to these.

Y.S.: What you've said is distinctly odd.

E.S.: Yes – and that we should set up officers annually who belong to the mass of people, whether from the rich or from the whole people, whoever has office assigned to him by lot; and that those who take office should execute their office according to the written rules, in steering the ships and healing patients.

e<sub>10</sub> · Y.S.: This is even harder to take.

E.S.: Then consider too what follows after this. When the year ends

τῶν ἀρχόντων ἐκάστοις ὁ ἐνιαυτὸς ἐξέλθη, δεήσει δικαστήρια καθίσαντας ἀνδρῶν, ἢ τῶν πλουσίων ἐκ προκρίσεως ἢ σύμπαντος αὖ τοῦ δήμου τοὺς λαχόντας, εἰς τούτους εἰσάγειν τοὺς ἄρξαντας καὶ εὐθύνειν, κατηγορεῖν δὲ τὸν βουλόμενον ὡς οὐ κατὰ τὰ γράμματα τὸν ἐνιαυτὸν ἐκυβέρνησε τὰς ναῦς οὐδὲ κατὰ τὰ παλαιὰ τῶν προγόνων ἔθη· ταὐτὰ δὲ ταῦτα καὶ περὶ τῶν τοὺς κάμνοντας ἰωμένων· ὧν δ' ἂν καταψηφισθῆ τιμᾶν ὅτι χρὴ παθεῖν αὐτῶν τινας ἢ ἀποτίνειν.

299

b

5

d

5

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Οὐκοῦν ὅ γ' ἐθέλων καὶ ἑκὼν ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις ἄρχειν δικαιότατ' ἂν ὁτιοῦν πάσχοι καὶ ἀποτίνοι.

Καὶ τοίνυν ἔτι δεήσει θέσθαι νόμον ἐπὶ πᾶσι τούτοις. άν τις κυβερνητικήν καὶ τὸ ναυτικὸν ή τὸ ύγιεινὸν καὶ lατρικής αλήθειαν περί πνεύματά τε και θερμά και ψυχρά ζητών φαίνηται παρά τὰ γράμματα καὶ σοφιζόμενος ότιοῦν περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα, πρῶτον μὲν μήτε ἰατρικὸν αὐτὸν μήτε κυβερνητικὸν ὀνομάζειν ἀλλὰ μετεωρολόγον, ἀδολέσχην τινα σοφιστήν, είθ' ώς διαφθείροντα άλλους νεωτέρους καὶ άναπείθοντα ἐπιτίθεσθαι κυβερνητική καὶ ἰατρική μὴ κατὰ νόμους, ἀλλ' αὐτοκράτορας ἄρχειν τῶν πλοίων καὶ τῶν νοσούντων, γραψάμενον εἰσάγειν τὸν βουλόμενον οἶς ἔξεστιν είς δή τι δικαστήριον αν δε παρά τους νόμους και τά γεγραμμένα δόξη πείθειν είτε νέους είτε πρεσβύτας, κολάζειν τοῖς ἐσχάτοις. οὐδὲν γὰρ δεῖν τῶν νόμων εἶναι σοφώτερον οὐδένα γὰρ ἀγνοεῖν τό τε ἰατρικὸν καὶ τὸ ύγιεινὸν οὐδὲ τὸ κυβερνητικὸν καὶ ναυτικόν ἐξεῖναι γὰρ τῷ βουλομένω μανθάνειν γεγραμμένα καὶ πάτρια έθη κείμενα. ταῦτα δὴ περί τε ταύτας τὰς ἐπιστήμας εἰ γίγνοιτο οὕτως ώς λέγομεν, ὧ Σώκρατες, καὶ στρατηγικῆς καὶ συμπάσης ήστινοσοῦν θηρευτικής καὶ γραφικής ἢ συμπάσης μέρος ότιοῦν μιμητικής καὶ τεκτονικής καὶ συνόλης όποιασοῦν σκευουργίας ἢ καὶ γεωργίας καὶ τῆς περὶ τὰ φυτὰ συνόλης τέχνης, ἢ καί τινα ίπποφορβίαν αὖ κατὰ συγγράμματα θεασαίμεθα γιγνομένην η σύμπασαν άγελαιοκομικήν η for each and every one of the officers, there will be a requirement to set up courts, either of rich men on the basis of preselection or again those chosen by lot from the whole people together, and to bring before these judges those who have held office in order to examine their conduct, and for anyone who wishes to charge an officer that he failed to steer the ships during the year according to the written rules or according to the ancient customs of their ancestors, and there will be these same requirements also in the case of those healing the sick; and for any of them who are condemned by the vote, the judges will have to assess what penalty they should suffer or what financial restitution they should make.

299

a5

ы

b5

c1

с5

d1

**d5** 

Y.S.: Well, anyone who would be willing and voluntarily undertakes to hold office under such conditions would fully deserve to suffer any penalty whatever and to pay back any amount.

E.S.: And further still it will be necessary to establish a law against all the following things: if anyone is found looking into steersmanship and scafaring, or health and truth in the doctor's art, in relation to winds and heat and cold, above and beyond the written rules, and making clever speculations of any kind in relation to such things, in the first place one must not call him an expert doctor or an expert steersman but a star-gazer, some babbling sophist; and then that anyone who wishes of those permitted to do so should indict him and bring him before some court or other as corrupting other people younger than himself and inducing them to engage in the arts of the steersman and the doctor not in accordance with the laws, but rather to take autonomous control of ships and patients; and if he is found guilty of persuading anyone, whether young or old, contrary to the laws and the written rules, that the most extreme penalties must be imposed on him. For it will be laid down that there must be nothing wiser than the laws; for no one is ignorant about what belongs to the art of the doctor, or about health, or what belongs to the art of the steersman, or seafaring, since it is possible for anyone who wishes to understand things that are written down and things established as ancestral customs. If then these things came about, Socrates, in the way we say, both in relation to these kinds of expert knowledge, and generalship, and all the art of hunting, of whatever kind, painting, or any part whatever of all the art of imitation, carpentry, the whole of tool-making, of whatever kind, or again farming and the whole kind of expertise that deals with plants – or if again we imagined a kind of

μαντικὴν ἢ πᾶν ὅτι μέρος διακονικὴ περιείληφεν, ἢ πεττείαν, ἢ σύμπασαν ἀριθμητικὴν ψιλὴν εἴτε ἐπίπεδον εἴτ' ἐν βάθεσιν εἴτ' ἐν τάχεσιν οὖσάν που — περὶ ἄπαντα ταῦτα οὕτω πραττόμενα τί ποτ' ἂν φανείη, κατὰ συγγράμματα γιγνόμενα καὶ μὴ κατὰ τέχνην:

5

300

10

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον ὅτι πᾶσαί τε αἱ τέχναι παντελῶς ἂν ἀπόλοιντο ἡμῖν, καὶ οὐδ' εἰς αὖθις γένοιντ' ἄν ποτε διὰ τὸν ἀποκωλύοντα τοῦτον ζητεῖν νόμον ὥστε ὁ βίος, ὢν καὶ νῦν χαλεπός, εἰς τὸν χρόνον ἐκεῖνον ἀβίωτος γίγνοιτ' ἂν τὸ παράπαν.

ΞΕ. Τί δὲ τόδε; εἰ κατὰ συγγράμματα μὲν ἀναγκάζοιμεν ἔκαστον γίγνεσθαι τῶν εἰρημένων καὶ τοῖς συγγράμμασιν ἡμῶν ἐπιστατεῖν τὸν χειροτονηθέντα ἢ λαχόντα ἐκ τύχης, οὖτος δὲ μηδὲν φροντίζων τῶν γραμμάτων ἢ κέρδους ἕνεκέν τινος ἢ χάριτος ἰδίας παρὰ ταῦτ' ἐπιχειροῖ δρᾶν ἔτερα, μηδὲν γιγνώσκων, ἄρα οὐ τοῦ κακοῦ τοῦ πρόσθεν μεῖζον ἄν ἔτι τοῦτο γίγνοιτο κακόν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατά γε.

ΞΕ. Παρὰ γὰρ οἶμαι τοὺς νόμους τοὺς ἐκ πείρας πολλῆς κειμένους καί τινων συμβούλων ἔκαστα χαριέντως συμβουλευσάντων καὶ πεισάντων θέσθαι τὸ πλῆθος, ὁ παρὰ ταῦτα τολμῶν δρᾶν, ἀμαρτήματος ἀμάρτημα πολλαπλάσιον ἀπεργαζόμενος, ἀνατρέποι πᾶσαν ἂν πρᾶξιν ἔτι μειζόνως τῶν συγγραμμάτων.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὐ μέλλει;

ΣΕ. Διὰ ταῦτα δὴ τοῖς περὶ ότουοῦν νόμους καὶ συγγράμματα τιθεμένοις δεύτερος πλοῦς τὸ παρὰ ταῦτα μήτε ε ἔνα μήτε πλῆθος μηδὲν μηδέποτε ἐᾶν δρᾶν μηδ' ὁτιοῦν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθῶς.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν μιμήματα μὲν ἂν ἐκάστων ταῦτα εἴη τῆς ἀληθείας, τὰ παρὰ τῶν εἰδότων εἰς δύναμιν εἶναι γεγραμμένα;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς δ' οὔ;

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν τόν γε εἰδότα ἔφαμεν, τὸν ὄντως πολιτικόν,

α 10 άληθέστατά γε η: άληθέστατα η, Ο

horse-rearing that took place according to written rules, or all of herdkeeping, or the art of divination, or every part that is encompassed by the
business of carrying out the instructions of others, or *petteia*, or all the
science of numbers, whether – I imagine – dealing with them on their
own, or plane, or in solids, or in speeds – in relation to all of these
things, practised in this way, what on earth would be the result that
would appear, if they were done on the basis of written rules and not
on the basis of expertise?

Y.S.: It's clear both that we should see all the kinds of expertise completely destroyed, and that they would never be restored, either, because of this law prohibiting research; so that life, which even now is difficult, in that time would be altogether unliveable.

E.S.: But what about the following consideration? If we were to compel each of the things we have mentioned to be done according to written rules, and the person who has been elected or has been appointed to office by lot, on the basis of chance, to oversee these written rules of ours, but this person were to take no notice of what is written down, for the sake either of profiting in some way or of doing some personal favour, and were to undertake to do different things, contrary to these, when he possesses no knowledge, would this not be an evil still greater than the previous one?

a10 Y.S.: Yes, very true.

300

a5

c1

c5

E.S.: Yes, for if, I imagine, contrary to the laws that have been established on the basis of much experiment, with some advisers or other having given advice on each subject in an attractive way, and having persuaded the majority to pass them – if someone dared to act contrary to these, he would be committing a mistake many times greater than the other, and would overturn all expert activity to a still greater degree than the written rules.

Y.S.: Yes – how would he not?

E.S.: For these reasons, then, the second-best method of proceeding, for those who establish laws and written rules about anything whatever, is to allow neither individual nor mass ever to do anything contrary to these, anything whatsoever.

Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: Well, imitations of the truth of each and every thing would be these, wouldn't they – the things issuing from those who know, which have been written down so far as they can be?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Now we said - if we remember - that the knowledgeable

εὶ μεμνήμεθα, ποιήσειν τῆ τέχνη πολλὰ εἰς τὴν αὐτοῦ πρᾶξιν τῶν γραμμάτων οὐδὲν φροντίζοντα, ὁπόταν ἄλλ' αὐτῷ βελτίω δόξη παρὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα ὑφ' αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐπεσταλμένα ἀποῦσίν τισιν.

10 d

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εφαμεν γάρ.

ΣΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἀνὴρ ὁστισοῦν εἶς ἢ πλῆθος ὁτιοῦν, οἶς ἂν νόμοι κείμενοι τυγχάνωσι, παρὰ ταῦτα ὅτι ἂν ἐπιχειρήσωσι ποιεῖν ὡς βέλτιον ἔτερον ὄν, ταὐτὸν δρῶσι κατὰ δύναμιν ὅπερ ὁ ἀληθινὸς ἐκεῖνος;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. ⁴Αρ' οὖν εἰ μὲν ἀνεπιστήμονες ὄντες τὸ τοιοῦτον δρῷεν, μιμεῖοθαι μὲν ἀν ἐπιχειροῖεν τὸ ἀληθές, μιμοῖντ' ἀν μέντοι παγκάκως· εἰ δ' ἔντεχνοι, τοῦτο οὐκ ἔστιν ἔτι μίμημα ἀλλ' αὐτὸ τὸ ἀληθέστατον ἐκεῖνο;

10 e

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάντως που.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ἔμπροσθέ γε ώμολογημένον ἡμῖν κεῖται μηδὲν πλῆθος μηδ' ἡντινοῦν δυνατὸν εἶναι λαβεῖν τέχνην.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κεῖται γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν εἰ μὲν ἔστι βασιλική τις τέχνη, τὸ τῶν πλουσίων πλήθος καὶ ὁ σύμπας δῆμος οὐκ ἄν ποτε λάβοι τὴν πολιτικὴν ταύτην ἐπιστήμην.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς γὰρ ἄν;

10

5

ΣΕ. Δεῖ δὴ τὰς τοιαύτας γε ὡς ἔοικε πολιτείας, εἰ μέλλουσι καλῶς τὴν ἀληθινὴν ἐκείνην τὴν τοῦ ἑνὸς μετὰ τέχνης ἄρχοντος πολιτείαν εἰς δύναμιν μιμήσεσθαι, μηδέποτε κειμένων αὐτοῖς τῶν νόμων μηδὲν ποιεῖν παρὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα καὶ πάτρια ἔθη.

301

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστ' εἴρηκας.

ΞΕ. "Όταν ἄρα οἱ πλούσιοι ταύτην μιμῶνται, τότε ἀριστοκρατίαν καλοῦμεν τὴν τοιαύτην πολιτείαν ὁπόταν δὲ τῶν νόμων μὴ φροντίζωσιν, ὀλιγαρχίαν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύει.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ὁπόταν αὖθις εἶς ἄρχη κατὰ νόμους, μιμούμενος τὸν ἐπιστήμονα, βασιλέα καλοῦμεν, οὐ Ι

e l παγκάκως e: πανκακώς n: πᾶν. κακώς n: πᾶν κακώς e  $\mid$  a 9 αὖθις m: αὖ τις e

person, the one who really possesses the art of statesmanship, will do
many things with his expertise in relation to his own activity without
taking any notice of the written laws, when other things appear to him
better, contrary to the things that have been written down by him and
given as orders to some people who are not currently with him.

Y.S.: Yes, that's what we said.

E.S.: Well, any individual whatever or any large collection of people whatever, for whom there are actually written laws established, whatever they undertake to do that is different, contrary to these, on the grounds that it is better, do, don't they, the same thing, so far as they can, as that true expert?

Y.S.: Absolutely.

**d**5

E.S.: Well then, if they were to do such a thing without having expert knowledge, they would be undertaking to imitate what is true, but would imitate it altogether badly; but if they did it on the basis of expertise, this is no longer imitation but that very thing that is most truly what it sets out to be?

Y.S.: I agree completely – I think.

E.S.: But it is established as agreed between us – we agreed to it before, at any rate – that no large collection of people is capable of aquiring any kind of expertise whatever.

Y.S.: Yes, it remains agreed.

E.S.: Then if some kind of kingly expertise exists, the collection of people consisting of the rich, and all the people together, could never acquire the expert knowledge of statesmanship.

e10 Y.S.: How could they?

E.S.: The requirement, then, as it seems, for all constitutions of this sort, if they are going to imitate well that true constitution of one man ruling with expertise, so far as they can, is that they must never – given that they have their laws – do anything contrary to what is written and ancestral customs.

Y.S.: Very well said.

E.S.: In that case, when the rich imitate it, then we shall call such a constitution an 'aristocracy'; when they take no notice of the laws, we shall call it an 'oligarchy'.

Y.S.: Possibly.

E.S.: And, in turn, when one person rules according to laws, so imitating the person with expert knowledge, we shall call him a king,

διορίζοντες ὀνόματι τὸν μετ' ἐπιστήμης ἢ δόξης κατὰ (301) νόμους μοναρχοῦντα.

10

5

d

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύομεν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν κἄν τις ἄρα ἐπιστήμων ὄντως ὢν εἶς ἄρχη, πάντως τό γε ὄνομα ταὐτὸν βασιλεὺς καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον προσρηθήσεται· δι' ἃ δὴ τὰ πέντε ὀνόματα τῶν νῦν λεγομένων πολιτειῶν ἕν μόνον γέγονεν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . "Εοικε γοῦν.

ΞΕ. Τί δ' ὅταν μήτε κατὰ νόμους μήτε κατὰ ἔθη πράττη τις εἶς ἄρχων, προσποιῆται δὲ ὥσπερ ὁ ἐπιστήμων ὡς ἄρα παρὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα τό γε βέλτιστον ποιητέον, ἢ δέ τις ἐπιθυμία καὶ ἄγνοια τούτου τοῦ μιμήματος ἡγουμένη; μῶν οὐ τότε τὸν τοιοῦτον ἕκαστον τύραννον κλητέον;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν:

ΞΕ. Οὕτω δὴ τύραννός τε γέγονε, φαμέν, καὶ βασιλεὺς καὶ ὀλιγαρχία καὶ ἀριστοκρατία καὶ δημοκρατία, δυσχερανάντων τῶν ἀνθρώπων τὸν ἔνα ἐκεῖνον μόναρχον, καὶ ἀπιστησάντων μηδένα τῆς τοιαύτης ἀρχῆς ἄξιον ἂν γενέσθαι ποτέ, ὥστε ἐθέλειν καὶ δυνατὸν εἶναι μετ' ἀρετῆς καὶ ἐπιστήμης ἄρχοντα τὰ δίκαια καὶ ὅσια διανέμειν ὀρθῶς πᾶσιν, λωβᾶσθαι δὲ καὶ ἀποκτεινύναι καὶ κακοῦν ὃν ἂν βουληθῆ ἐκάστοτε ἡμῶν ἐπεὶ γενόμενόν γ' ἂν οἷον λέγομεν ἀγαπᾶσθαί τε ἂν καὶ οἰκεῖν διακυβερνῶντα εὐδαιμόνως ὀρθὴν ἀκριβῶς μόνον πολιτείαν.

ΝΕ, ΣΩ, Πῶς δ' οὔ:

ΞΕ. Νῦν δέ γε ὁπότε οὐκ ἔστι γιγνόμενος, ὡς δή φαμεν, ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι βασιλεὺς οἶος ἐν σμήνεσιν ἐμφύεται, τό τε σῶμα εὐθὺς καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν διαφέρων εἶς, δεῖ δὴ συνελθόντας συγγράμματα γράφειν, ὡς ἔοικεν, μεταθέοντας τὰ τῆς ἀληθεστάτης πολιτείας ἵχνη.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύει.

ΞΕ. Θαυμάζομεν δήτα, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἐν ταῖς τοιαύταις πολιτείαις ὅσα συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι κακὰ καὶ ὅσα συμβήσεται, τοιαύτης τῆς κρηπίδος ὑποκειμένης αὐταῖς, τῆς

b 7 δι' ἃ δὴ c: διὰ δὴ m: διὰ δὴ 
τοῦτο> c | τὰ πέντε m: τὰ
πάντα c | b 8 ἔν m: πέντε c (see also c 7) | c 7 (-9) δημοκρατία, <διὰ
δὲ <pre>
⟨τοῦτο> τὰ πάντα ὀνόματα ... πέντε μόνον γέγονεν,> c
⟨transposed from b 6-7) | d 4 λέγομεν n: λέγωμεν n

(301) not distinguishing by name the one ruling on his own with expert knowledge or the one doing so on the basis of opinion, according to laws.

Y.S.: Possibly we shall.

E.S.: Well then, if in fact some one person rules who really possesses expert knowledge, in every case he will be called by the same name, 'king', and not by any other one; and as a result of this the five names of what are now called constitutions are now only one.

Y.S.: It seems so, at any rate.

E.S.: And what of when some one ruler acts neither according to laws nor according to customs, but pretends to act like the person with expert knowledge, saying that after all one must do what is contrary to what has been written down if it is best, and there is some desire or other combined with ignorance controlling this imitation? Surely in those circumstances we must call every such person a tyrant?

c5 Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Then it is in this way that the tyrant has come about, we say, and king, and oligarchy, and aristocracy, and democracy, because people found themselves unable to put up with the idea of that single person of ours as monarch, and refused to believe that there would ever come to be anyone who deserved to rule in such a way, so as to be willing and able to rule with virtue and expert knowledge, distributing what is just and right correctly to all, but they think that on every occasion such a person mutilates, kills and generally maltreats whichever of us he wishes; although if there were to come to be someone of the kind we are describing, he would be prized and would govern a constitution that would alone be correct in the strict sense, steering it through in happiness.

Y.S.: Quite.

E.S.: But as things are, when it is not the case – as we say – that a king comes to be in cities as a king-bee is born in a hive, one individual immediately superior in body and mind, it becomes necessary – as it seems – for people to come together and write things down, chasing after the traces of the truest constitution.

e5 Y.S.: Possibly.

E.S.: Do we wonder, then, Socrates, at all the evils that turn out to occur in such constitutions, and all those that will turn out for them,

κατὰ γράμματα καὶ ἔθη μὴ μετὰ ἐπιστήμης πραττούσης τὰς πράξεις, ‹ἦ› ἑτέρα προσχρωμένη παντὶ κατάδηλος ὡς πάντ' ἄν διολέσειε τὰ ταύτη γιγνόμενα; ἢ ἐκεῖνο ἡμῖν θαυμαστέον μᾶλλον, ὡς ἰσχυρόν τι πόλις ἐστὶ φύσει; πάσχουσαι γὰρ δὴ τοιαῦτα αἱ πόλεις νῦν χρόνον ἀπέραντον, ὅμως ἔνιαί τινες αὐτῶν μόνιμοί τέ εἰσι καὶ οὐκ ἀνατρέπονται πολλαὶ μὴν ἐνίστε καθάπερ πλοῖα καταδυόμεναι διόλλυνται καὶ διολώλασι καὶ ἔτι διολοῦνται διὰ τὴν τῶν κυβερνητῶν καὶ ναυτῶν μοχθηρίαν τῶν περὶ τὰ μέγιστα μεγίστην ἄγνοιαν εἰληφότων, οἳ περὶ τὰ πολιτικὰ κατ' οὐδὲν γιγνώσκοντες ἡγοῦνται κατὰ πάντα σαφέστατα πασῶν ἐπιστημῶν ταύτην εἰληφέναι.

302

10

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα.

ΞΕ. Τίς οὖν δὴ τῶν οὐκ ὀρθῶν πολιτειῶν τούτων ἥκιστα χαλεπὴ συζῆν, πασῶν χαλεπῶν οὐσῶν, καὶ τίς βαρυτάτη; δεῖ τι κατιδεῖν ἡμᾶς, καίπερ πρός γε τὸ νῦν προτεθὲν ἡμῖν πάρεργον λεγόμενον; οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' εἴς γε τὸ ὅλον ἴσως ἄπανθ' ἔνεκα τοῦ τοιούτου πάντες δρῶμεν χάριν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}$  πῶς δ' οὔ;

ΞΕ. Τὴν αὐτὴν τοίνυν φάθι τριῶν οὐσῶν χαλεπὴν ο διαφερόντως ἄμα γίγνεσθαι καὶ ῥάστην.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς φής;

ΞΕ. Οὐκ ἄλλως, πλὴν μοναρχίαν φημὶ καὶ ὀλίγων ἀρχὴν καὶ πολλῶν, εἶναι τρεῖς ταύτας ἡμῖν λεγομένας τοῦ νῦν 5 ἐπικεχυμένου λόγου κατ' ἀρχάς.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. ٵσαν γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. Ταύτας τοίνυν δίχα τέμνοντες μίαν έκάστην έξ ποιῶμεν, τὴν ὀρθὴν χωρὶς ἀποκρίναντες τούτων έβδόμην.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. Ἐκ μὲν τῆς μοναρχίας βασιλικὴν καὶ τυραννικήν, ἐκ δ' αὖ τῶν μὴ πολλῶν τήν τε εὐώνυμον ἔφαμεν εἶναι ἀριστοκρατίαν καὶ ὀλιγαρχίαν ἐκ δ' αὖ τῶν πολλῶν τότε μὲν ἁπλῆν ἐπονομάζοντες ἐτίθεμεν δημοκρατίαν, νῦν δ' αὖ καὶ ταύτην ἡμῖν θετέον ἐστὶ διπλῆν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δή; καὶ τίνι διαιροῦντες ταύτην;

α 1 προσχρωμένη <τέχνη>  $c \mid a$  2 ταύτη m: ὑπ' αὐτῆ  $c \mid a$  6 καθάπερ n: καὶ καθάπερ n,  $O \mid c$  2 διαφερόντως ἄμα γίγνεσθαι n: διαφερόντως γίγνεσθαι  $n \mid d$  2 ἔφαμεν εἶναι n: ἔφαμεν n, O

when a foundation of this kind underlies them, one of carrying out their functions according to written rules and customs without knowledge, which if used by another expertise would manifestly 302 destroy everything that comes about through it? Or should we rather wonder at something else, namely at how strong a thing a city is by its nature? For in fact cities have suffered such things now for an unlimited time, but nevertheless some particular ones among them are a5 enduring and are not overturned; yet many from time to time sink like ships, and perish, and have perished, and will perish in the future through the depravity of their steersmen and sailors, who have acquired the greatest ignorance about the greatest things, and who bl although they in no respect have knowledge about what belongs to the art of statesmanship, think that they have acquired this art in every respect, most clearly of all kinds of expert knowledge.

Y.S.: Very true.

E.S.: So which of these 'incorrect' constitutions is least difficult to live with, given that they are all difficult, and which the heaviest to bear? Should we take a brief look at this, although a discussion of it will be a side-issue in relation to the subject now set before us? And yet, at any rate in general, perhaps everything that all of us do is for the sake of this sort of thing.

Y.S.: We should, certainly.

E.S.: Well then, what you should say is that, if there are three sorts of constitution, the same one is at the same time exceptionally difficult and easiest.

Y.S.: What are you saying?

e5 E.S.: Just that monarchy, rule by a few and rule by many – that there were these three sorts of constitution we were talking about at the beginning of the discussion with which we have now been deluged.

Y.S.: Yes, there were.

E.S.: Well then, let's divide these, each single one into two, and make six, separating off the correct one from these on its own, as a seventh.

c10 Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: Out of monarchy let's make kingly and tyrannical rule, and in turn, out of the sort that doesn't involve many, we said there was the auspiciously named aristocracy, and oligarchy; and in turn, out of the sort that does involve many, then we called democracy single and put it down as such, but now in turn we must put this too down as double.

Y.S.: How, then? And dividing it by what criterion?

ΞΕ. Οὐδὲν διαφέροντι τῶν ἄλλων, οὐδ' εἰ τοὕνομα ἤδη διπλοῦν ἐστι ταύτης· ἀλλὰ τό γε κατὰ νόμους ἄρχειν καὶ παρανόμως ἔστι καὶ ταύτη καὶ ταῖς ἄλλαις.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Έστι γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. Τότε μὲν τοίνυν τὴν ὀρθὴν ζητοῦσι τοῦτο τὸ τμῆμα οὐκ ἦν χρήσιμον, ὡς ἐν τοῖς πρόσθεν ἀπεδείξαμεν ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἐξείλομεν ἐκείνην, τὰς δ' ἄλλας ἔθεμεν ἀναγκαίας, ἐν ταύταις δὴ τὸ παράνομον καὶ ἔννομον ἑκάστην διχοτομεῖ τούτων.

5

10

303

5

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εοικεν τούτου νῦν ἡηθέντος τοῦ λόγου.

ΣΕ. Μοναρχία τοίνυν ζευχθεῖσα μὲν ἐν γράμμασιν ἀγαθοῖς, οῧς νόμους λέγομεν, ἀρίστη πασῶν τῶν ἔξ· ἄνομος δὲ χαλεπὴ καὶ βαρυτάτη συνοικῆσαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύει.

ΞΕ. Τὴν δέ γε τῶν μὴ πολλῶν, ὤσπερ ἐνὸς καὶ πλήθους τὸ ὀλίγον μέσον, οὕτως ἡγησώμεθα μέσην ἐπ' ἀμφότερα· τὴν δ' αὖ τοῦ πλήθους κατὰ πάντα ἀσθενῆ καὶ μηδὲν μήτε ἀγαθὸν μήτε κακὸν μέγα δυναμένην ὡς πρὸς τὰς ἄλλας διὰ τὸ τὰς ἀρχὰς ἐν ταύτη διανενεμῆσθαι κατὰ σμικρὰ εἰς πολλούς. διὸ γέγονε πασῶν μὲν νομίμων τῶν πολιτειῶν οὐσῶν τούτων χειρίστη, παρανόμων δὲ οὐσῶν συμπασῶν βελτίστη· καὶ ἀκολάστων μὲν πασῶν οὐσῶν ἐν δημοκρατία νικά ζῆν, κοσμίων δ' οὐσῶν ἤκιστα ἐν ταύτη βιωτέον, ἐν τῆ πρώτη δὲ πολὺ πρῶτόν τε καὶ ἄριστον, πλὴν τῆς ἑβδόμης· πασῶν γὰρ ἐκείνην γε ἐκκριτέον, οἷον θεὸν ἐξ ἀνθρώπων, ἐκ τῶν ἄλλων πολιτειῶν.

ΝΕ. Σ $\Omega$ . Φαίνεται ταῦθ' οὕτω συμβαίνειν τε καὶ γίγνεσθαι, καὶ ποιητέον ἡπερ λέγεις.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν δὴ καὶ τοὺς κοινωνοὺς τούτων τῶν πολιτειῶν πασῶν πλὴν τῆς ἐπιστήμονος ἀφαιρετέον ὡς οὐκ ὄντας πολιτικοὺς ἀλλὰ στασιαστικούς, καὶ εἰδώλων μεγίστων προστάτας ὄντας καὶ αὐτοὺς εἶναι τοιούτους, μεγίστους δὲ ὄντας μιμητὰς καὶ γόητας μεγίστους γίγνεσθαι τῶν σοφιστῶν σοφιστάς.

d 7-e 1 ήδη διπλοῦν m: μὴ διπλοῦν e: ήδη (or δὴ) άπλοῦν e

E.S.: By one that is no different from the other cases, even if now its name is double; but at any rate ruling according to laws and contrary el to laws belongs both to this and to the others.

Y.S.: Yes, it does.

E.S.: Well, at the time when we were looking for the correct constitution, this cut was not useful, as we demonstrated in what we e5 said before; but since we have now set that one aside, and have put down the rest as necessary, in the case of these the criterion of contrary to laws and abiding by laws cuts each of them in two.

Y.S.: It seems so, given what has now been said.

E.S.: Well then, when monarchy is yoked in good written rules, which e10 we call laws, it is best of all six; but if it is without laws, it is difficult and heaviest to live with.

Y.S.: Possibly. 303

E.S.: And the rule of those who are not many, just as few is in the middle between one and a large number, let's suppose to be middling in both ways; while that of the mass, in its turn, we may suppose to be

weak in all respects and capable of nothing of any importance either a5 for good or for bad as judged in relation to the others, because of the fact that under it offices are distributed in small portions among many people. For this reason, if all the types of constitution are law-

abiding, it turns out to be the worst of them, but if all are contrary to bl law, the best; and if all are uncontrolled, living in a democracy takes the prize, but if they are ordered, life in it is least liveable, and in first place and best by far will be life in the first, except for the seventh; for of all, that one we must separate out, like a god from men, from **b**5

the other constitutions.

Y.S.: This seems both to follow, and to be, as you say; and we must do as you suggest.

E.S.: So then we must also remove those who participate in all these constitutions, except for the knowledgeable one, as not being c1 statesmen but experts in faction, and we must say that as presiding over insubstantial images, on the largest scale, they are themselves too of the same sort, and that as the greatest imitators and magicians they turn out the be the greatest sophists among sophists. с5

ΝΕ.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Κινδυνεύει τοῦτο εἰς τοὺς πολιτικοὺς λεγομένους περιεστράφθαι τὸ ῥῆμα ὀρθότατα.

ΞΕ. Εἷεν τοῦτο μὲν ἀτεχνῶς ἡμῖν ὥσπερ δρᾶμα, καθάπερ ἐρρήθη νυνδὴ Κενταυρικὸν ὁρᾶσθαι καὶ Σατυρικόν τινα θίασον, ὃν δὴ χωριστέον ἀπὸ πολιτικῆς εἴη τέχνης νῦν δ' οὕτω πάνυ μόγις ἐχωρίσθη.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φαίνεται.

ΞΕ. Τούτου δέ γ' ἔτερον ἔτι χαλεπώτερον λείπεται τῷ συγγενές τε ὁμοῦ τ' εἶναι μᾶλλον τῷ βασιλικῷ γένει καὶ δυσκαταμαθητότερον· καί μοι φαινόμεθα τοῖς τὸν χρυσὸν καθαίρουσι πάθος ὅμοιον πεπονθέναι.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. Γῆν που καὶ λίθους καὶ πόλλ' ἄττα ἔτερα ἀποκρίνουσι καὶ ἐκεῖνοι πρῶτον οἱ δημιουργοί μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα λείπεται συμμεμειγμένα τὰ συγγενῆ τοῦ χρυσοῦ τίμια καὶ πυρὶ μόνον ἀφαιρετά, χαλκὸς καὶ ἄργυρος, ἔστι δ' ὅτε καὶ ἀδάμας, «ἃ> μετὰ βασάνων ταῖς ἐψήσεσι μόγις ἀφαιρεθέντα τὸν λεγόμενον ἀκήρατον χρυσὸν εἴασεν ἡμᾶς ἰδεῖν αὐτὸν μόνον ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ.

10

5

10

304

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγεται γὰρ οὖν δὴ ταῦτα οὕτω γίγνεσθαι.

ΞΕ. Κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τοίνυν λόγον ἔοικε καὶ νῦν ἡμῖν τὰ μὲν ἔτερα καὶ ὁπόσα ἀλλότρια καὶ τὰ μὴ φίλα πολιτικῆς ἐπιστήμης ἀποκεχωρίσθαι, λείπεσθαι δὲ τὰ τίμια καὶ συγγενῆ. τούτων δ' ἐστί που στρατηγία καὶ δικαστικὴ καὶ ὅση βασιλικῆ κοινωνοῦσα ἡητορεία πείθουσα τὸ δίκαιον συνδιακυβερνᾳ τὰς ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι πράξεις. ἃ δὴ τίνι τρόπω ἡᾳστά τις ἀπομερίζων δείξει γυμνὸν καὶ μόνον ἐκεῖνον καθ' αὐτὸν τὸν ζητούμενον ὑφ' ἡμῶν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δῆλον ὅτι τοῦτό πη δρᾶν πειρατέον.

ΞΕ. Πείρας μὲν τοίνυν ἔνεκα φανερὸς ἔσται· διὰ δὲ μουσικῆς αὐτὸν ἐγχειρητέον δηλῶσαι. καί μοι λέγε.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τὸ ποῖον;

ΞΕ. Μουσικῆς ἔστι πού τις ἡμῖν μάθησις, καὶ ὅλως τῶν ਖ περὶ χειροτεχνίας ἐπιστημῶν;

c 9-d 1 Σατυρικόν τινα θίασον m: Σατυρικός τις θίασος  $e\mid d$  1-2 νῦν δ' οὕτω n: νῦν οὕτω  $n\mid d$  5 ὁμοῦ  $\tau$ ' n: ὁμοῦ n

Y.S.: This term looks as if it has been only too correctly turned round against the so-called experts in statesmanship.

E.S.: So: this is our play, as it were — as we said just now that there was some band of centaurs and satyrs in view, one that we had to set apart from the expertise of the statesman; and now it has been set apart, as we have seen, with great difficulty.

Y.S.: It appears so.

dl

d5

e10

304

E.S.: Yes, but there is something else remaining that is still more difficult than this, by reason of its being both more akin to the kingly class, and closer to it, and harder to understand; and we seem to me to be in a situation similar to that of those who refine gold.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: I imagine that these craftsmen also begin by separating out earth, and stones, and many different things; and after these, there remain commingled with the gold those things that are akin to it, precious things and only removable with the use of fire: copper, silver, and sometimes *adamas*, the removal of which through repeated smelting and testing leaves the 'unalloyed' gold that people talk about there for us to see, itself alone by itself.

Y.S.: Yes, they certainly do say these things happen in this way.

E.S.: Well, it seems that in the same way we have now separated off those things that are different from the expert knowledge of statesmanship, and those that are alien and hostile to it, and that there remain those that are precious and related to it. Among these, I think, are generalship, the art of the judge, and that part of rhetoric which in partnership with kingship persuades people of what is just and so helps in steering through the business of cities; as for these, in what way will one most easily portion them off and show, stripped and alone by himself, that person we are looking for?

25 Y.S.: It's clear that we must try to do this somehow.

E.S.: Well, if it depends on our trying, we'll find him; music will help us reveal him. Answer me this.

Y.S.: What?

E.S.: I imagine we recognize such a thing as the learning of music, and in general of the kinds of expert knowledge involving work with the hands?

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εστιν.	(304)
ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τὸ δ' αὖ τούτων ἡντινοῦν εἴτε δεῖ μανθάνειν	
ήμᾶς εἴτε μή, πότερα φήσομεν ἐπιστήμην αὖ καὶ ταύτην	5
εἷναί τινα περὶ αὐτὰ ταῦτα, ἢ πῶς;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὕτως, εἶναι φήσομεν.	
ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ἐτέραν ὁμολογήσομεν ἐκείνων εἶναι ταύτην;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	
ΞΕ. Πότερα δὲ αὐτῶν οὐδεμίαν ἄρχειν δεῖν ἄλλην ἄλλης, ἢ	10
έκείνας ταύτης, ἢ ταύτην δεῖν ἐπιτροπεύουσαν ἄρχειν	С
συμπασῶν τῶν ἄλλων;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ταύτην ἐκείνων.	
ΞΕ. <Τὴν> εἰ δεῖ μανθάνειν ἢ μὴ τῆς μανθανομένης καὶ	
διδασκούσης ἄρα σύ γε ἀποφαίνη δεῖν ἡμῖν ἄρχειν;	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Σφόδρα γε.	
ΞΕ. Καὶ τὴν εἰ δεῖ πείθειν ἄρα ἢ μὴ τῆς δυναμένης	
πείθειν;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ;	
ΞΕ. Εἷεν· τίνι τὸ πειστικὸν οὖν ἀποδώσομεν ἐπιστήμη	10
πλήθους τε καὶ ὄχλου διὰ μυθολογίας ἀλλὰ μὴ διὰ διδαχῆς;	d
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Φανερὸν οἷμαι καὶ τοῦτο ῥητορικῆ δοτέον ὄν.	
ΞΕ. Τὸ δ' εἴτε διὰ πειθοῦς εἴτε καὶ διά τινος βίας δεῖ	
πράττειν πρός τινας ότιοῦν ἢ καὶ τὸ παράπαν «ἡσυχίαν»	
ἔχειν, τοῦτ' αὖ ποία προσθήσομεν ἐπιστήμη;	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τῆ τῆς πειστικῆς ἀρχούση καὶ λεκτικῆς.	
ΞΕ. Εἴη δ' ἄν οὐκ ἄλλη τις, ώς οἷμαι, πλὴν ἡ τοῦ	
πολιτικοῦ δύναμις.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστ' εἴρηκας.	
ΞΕ. Καὶ τοῦτο μὲν ἔοικε ταχὺ κεχωρίσθαι πολιτικῆς τὸ	10
ρητορικόν, ώς έτερον είδος ὄν, ύπηρετοῦν μὴν ταύτη.	e
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	
ΞΕ. Τί δὲ περὶ τῆς τοιᾶσδ' αὖ δυνάμεως διανοητέον;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποίας;	
	5
πολεμεῖν, εἴτε αὐτὴν ἄτεχνον εἴτε ἔντεχνον ἐροῦμεν;	

(304) Y.S.: We do.

**b**5

E.S.: And what of this? The matter of whether we should learn any one of these or not – shall we say that this too, in its turn, is a kind of knowledge, concerned with these very things, or what shall we say?

Y.S.: Yes, we'll say that it is.

E.S.: Then shall we agree that this kind of knowledge is distinct from those?

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: And shall we agree that no one of them should control any other, or that the others should control this one, or that this one should manage and control all the others together?

Y.S.: This one should control them.

E.S.: In that case you, at any rate, declare it to be your opinion that the one that decides whether one should learn or not should be in

control, so far as we are concerned, over the one that is the object of learning and teaches?

Y.S.: Very much so.

E.S.: And also, in that case, that the one which decides whether one should persuade or not should control the one which is capable of persuading?

Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: Well then: to which kind of expert knowledge shall we assign what is capable of persuading mass and crowd, through the telling of stories, and not through teaching?

Y.S.: This too is clear, I think: it must be given to rhetoric.

E.S.: And the matter of whether to do whatever it may be in relation to some people or other through persuasion, or else by the use of force

of some kind, or indeed to do nothing at all: to what sort of expert knowledge shall we attach this?

Y.S.: To the one that controls the art of persuasion and speaking.

E.S.: It would be none other, I think, than the capacity of the statesman.

Y.S.: Very well said.

E.S.: This matter of rhetoric too seems to have been separated quickly from statesmanship, as a distinct kind of thing, but subordinate to it.

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: What should we think about the following sort of capacity, in its turn?

Y.S.: Which one?

E.S.: The one that decides how to make war against each group of people against whom we choose to make war – whether we shall say

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .

Έοικέν γε.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Καὶ πῶς ἂν ἄτεχνον διανοηθεῖμεν, ήν γε ἡ στρατηγική καὶ πάσα ή πολεμική πράξις πράττει; Τὴν δὲ εἴτε πολεμητέον εἴτε διὰ φιλίας ἀπαλλακτέον οίαν τε καὶ ἐπιστήμονα διαβουλεύσασθαι, ταύτης ἐτέραν 10 ύπολάβωμεν ἢ τὴν αὐτὴν ταύτη; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τοῖς πρόσθεν ἀναγκαῖον ἐπομένοισιν ἐτέραν. Οὐκοῦν ἄρχουσαν ταύτης αὐτὴν ἀποφανούμεθα, εἴπερ ΞE. 305 τοῖς ἔμπροσθέν γε ὑποληψόμεθα ὁμοίως: NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Φημί. Τίν' οὖν ποτε καὶ ἐπιχειρήσομεν οὕτω δεινῆς καὶ ΞE. μεγάλης τέχνης συμπάσης της πολεμικης δεσπότιν 5 ἀποφαίνεσθαι πλήν γε δὴ τὴν ὄντως οὖσαν βασιλικήν; NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $O\dot{v}\delta \in \mu (\alpha \nu \ \ \dot{\alpha}\lambda \lambda \eta \nu)$ Οὐκ ἄρα πολιτικὴν θήσομεν, ὑπηρετικήν γε οὖσαν, τὴν ΞE. τῶν στρατηγῶν ἐπιστήμην. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Οὐκ εἰκός. 10 \*Ιθι δή, καὶ τὴν τῶν δικαστῶν τῶν ὀρθῶς δικαζόντων ΞE. b θεασώμεθα δύναμιν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πάνυ μὲν οὖν. \*Αρ' οὖν ἐπὶ πλέον τι δύναται τοῦ περὶ τὰ συμβόλαια πάνθ' ὁπόσα κεῖται νόμιμα παρὰ νομοθέτου βασιλέως 5 παραλαβοῦσα, κρίνειν εἰς ἐκεῖνα σκοποῦσα τά τε δίκαια ταχθέντ' είναι καὶ ἄδικα, τὴν αύτῆς ἰδίαν ἀρετὴν παρεχομένη τοῦ μήθ' ὑπό τινων δώρων μήθ' ὑπὸ φόβων μήτε οίκτων μήθ' ὑπό τινος ἄλλης ἔχθρας μηδὲ φιλίας ἡττηθεῖσα παρά την του νομοθέτου τάξιν έθέλειν αν τάλληλων έγκλήματα διαιρείν: Οὕκ, ἀλλὰ σχεδὸν ὅσον εἴρηκας ταύτης ἐστὶ τῆς NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . δυνάμεως ἔργον. 5 Καὶ τὴν τῶν δικαστῶν ἄρα ῥώμην ἀνευρίσκομεν οὐ

βασιλικήν οὖσαν ἀλλὰ νόμων φύλακα καὶ ὑπηρέτιν ἐκείνης.

ΞΕ. Τόδε δὴ κατανοητέον ἰδόντι συναπάσας τὰς ἐπιστήμας αι είρηνται, ὅτι πολιτική γε αὐτῶν οὐδεμία ἀνεφάνη. τὴν

10

that it is not a matter of expertise, or does involve expertise?

Y.S.: And how could we suppose it not to involve expertise: a capacity which is exercized by generalship and all activity concerned with war?

E.S.: And are we to understand as different from this the one that is able and knows how to reach a considered decision about whether we should make war or should withdraw in friendly fashion; or as the same as this?

Y.S.: Anyone who was following what was said before must suppose that it is distinct.

E.S.: Shall we then declare our view that it controls it, if in fact we are going to take things in line with what we said before?

Y.S.: I say yes.

E.S.: Then what mistress will we even try to propose for so terrifying and important an expertise, the whole of that concerned with war, except the true art of kingship?

Y.S.: No other.

e10

a5

с5

E.S.: In that case we shall not set down the expert knowledge of generals as statesmanship, since it is subordinate.

a10 Y.S.: It seems unlikely that we shall.

E.S.: Come on then; let's look at the capacity that belongs to those judges who judge correctly.

Y.S.: Absolutely.

E.S.: Well then, does its capacity extend to anything more than taking over from the legislator-king all those things that are established as lawful in relation to contracts, and judging by reference to these the things that have been prescribed as just and unjust, providing its own individual excellence by virtue of the fact that it would not be willing

to decide the complaints of one citizen against another contrary to the prescription of the legislator through being overcome by presents of some sort, or fears, or feelings of compassion, or again by any enmity or friendship?

Y.S.: No, the function of this capacity is roughly speaking as extensive as you have said.

E.S.: In that case we discover the power of judges too not to be that belonging to the king, but to be a guardian of the laws and subordinate of that other capacity.

Y.S.: It seems so, at any rate.

E.S.: If then one looks at all the kinds of expert knowledge that have been discussed, it must be observed that none of them has been

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἔμαθον.

ξν άρετης ήμιν είναι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ γε.

γὰρ ὄντως οὖσαν βασιλικὴν οὐκ αὐτὴν δεῖ πράττειν ἀλλ' άρχειν τῶν δυναμένων πράττειν, γιγνώσκουσαν τὴν ἀρχήν τε καὶ ὁρμὴν τῶν μεγίστων ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἐγκαιρίας τε πέρι καὶ ἀκαιρίας, τὰς δ' ἄλλας τὰ προσταχθέντα δρᾶν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθώς. 5 Διὰ ταῦτα ἄρα ἃς μὲν ἄρτι διεληλύθαμεν, οὕτ' ἀλλήλων ΞE. ούθ' αύτῶν ἄρχουσαι, περὶ δέ τινα ἰδίαν αύτῆς οὖσα έκάστη πράξιν, κατὰ τὴν ἰδιότητα τῶν πράξεων τοὔνομα δικαίως είληφεν ίδιον. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Εἴξασι γοῦν. Τὴν δὲ πασῶν τε τούτων ἄρχουσαν καὶ τῶν νόμων καὶ συμπάντων των κατά πόλιν ἐπιμελουμένην καὶ πάντα συνυφαίνουσαν ὀρθότατα, τοῦ κοινοῦ τῆ κλήσει περιλαβόντες τὴν δύναμιν αὐτῆς, προσαγορεύοιμεν δικαιότατ' ἄν, ώς ἔοικε, πολιτικήν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Παντάπασι μέν οὖν. Οὐκοῦν δὴ καὶ κατὰ τὸ τῆς ὑφαντικῆς παράδειγμα βουλοίμεθ' αν ἐπεξελθεῖν αὐτὴν νῦν, ὅτε καὶ πάντα τὰ γένη τὰ κατὰ πόλιν δῆλα ἡμῖν γέγονε; 10 NE,  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Καὶ σφόδρα γε. Τὴν δὴ βασιλικὴν συμπλοκήν, ώς ἔοικε, λεκτέον ποία 306 τέ ἐστι καὶ τίνι τρόπω συμπλέκουσα ποῖον ἡμῖν ὕφασμα ἀποδίδωσιν. NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Δῆλον. \*Η χαλεπὸν ἐνδείξασθαι πρᾶγμα ἀναγκαῖον ἄρα γέγονεν, ώς φαίνεται. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάντως γε μὴν ῥητέον. Τὸ γὰρ ἀρετῆς μέρος ἀρετῆς εἴδει διάφορον εἶναί τινα τρόπον τοῖς περὶ λόγους ἀμφισβητητικοῖς καὶ μάλ' εὐεπίθετον πρὸς τὰς τῶν πολλῶν δόξας. 10

'Αλλ' ὧδε πάλιν. ἀνδρείαν γὰρ οἶμαί σε ἡγεῖσθαι μέρος

b

declared to be statesmanship. For what is really kingship must not itself perform practical tasks, but control those with the capacity to perform them, because it knows when it is the right time to begin and set in motion the most important things in cities and when it is the wrong time; and the others must do what has been prescribed for them.

d5 Y.S.: Correct.

E.S.: For this reason, then, the kinds of expertise we have just examined control neither each other nor themselves, but each is concerned with some individual kind of practical activity of its own, and in accordance with the individual nature of the activities in question has appropriately acquired a name that is individual to it.

e1 Y.S.: That seems so, at any rate.

E.S.: Whereas the one that controls all of these, and the laws, and cares for every aspect of things in the city, and weaves everything together in the most correct way – this, embracing its capacity with

the appellation belonging to the whole, we would, it seems, most appropriately call statesmanship.

Y.S.: Yes, absolutely.

E.S.: We will want, won't we, to pursue it further now by reference to the model of the art of weaving, now that all the classes of things in the city have become clear to us?

Y.S.: Yes, very much so.

E.S.: Then it seems that we should discuss the intertwining that belongs to kingship – of what kind it is, and in what way it intertwines to render us what sort of fabric.

Y.S.: Clearly.

a10

b1

E.S.: What it seems in that case that we have to deal with is certainly a difficult thing to show.

Y.S.: But in any case we have to discuss it.

E.S.: To say that part of virtue is in a certain sense different in kind from virtue provides an all too easy target for those expert in disputing statements, if we view things in relation to what the majority of people think.

Y.S.: I don't understand.

E.S.: I'll put it again, like this. I imagine you think that courage, for us, constitutes one part of virtue.

Y.S.: Certainly.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν σωφροσύνην γε ἀνδρείας μὲν ἕτερον, εν δ' οὖν	(306)
καὶ τοῦτο μόριον ής κἀκεῖνο.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	5
ΞΕ. Τούτων δὴ πέρι θαυμαστόν τινα λόγον ἀποφαίνεσθαι	
τολμητέον.	
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποῖον;	
ΞΕ. Ώς ἐστὸν κατὰ δή τινα τρόπον εὖ μάλα πρὸς ἀλλήλας	
έχθρὰ καὶ στάσιν ἐναντίαν ἔχετον ἐν πολλοῖς τῶν ὄντων.	10
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς λέγεις;	
ΞΕ. Οὐκ εἰωθότα λόγον οὐδαμῶς πάντα γὰρ οὖν δὴ	
άλλήλοις τά γε τῆς ἀρετῆς μόρια λέγεταί που φίλια.	c
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.	
ΞΕ. Σκοπῶμεν δὴ προσσχόντες τὸν νοῦν εὖ μάλα πότερον	
ούτως άπλοῦν ἐστι τοῦτο, ἢ παντὸς μᾶλλον αὐτῶν ἔχει	
<ἔνια> διαφορὰν τοῖς συγγενέσιν ἔς τι;	5
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί, λέγοις ἂν πῆ σκεπτέον.	
ΞΕ. Ἐν τοῖς σύμπασι χρὴ ζητεῖν ὅσα καλὰ μὲν λέγομεν,	
εὶς δύο δὲ αὐτὰ τίθεμεν ἐναντία ἀλλήλων εἴδη.	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγ' ἔτι σαφέστερον.	
ΞΕ. 'Οξύτητα καὶ τάχος, εἴτε κατὰ σώματα εἴτ' ἐν ψυχαῖς	10
εἴτε κατὰ φωνῆς φοράν, εἴτε αὐτῶν τούτων εἴτε ἐν εἰδώλοις	d
όντων, όπόσα μουσική μιμουμένη καὶ ἔτι γραφική μιμήματα	
παρέχεται, τούτων τινὸς ἐπαινέτης εἴτε αὐτὸς πώποτε	
γέγονας εἴτε ἄλλου παρων ἐπαινοῦντος ἤσθησαι;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;	5
ΞΕ. ⁴Η καὶ μνήμην ἔχεις ὅντινα τρόπον αὐτὸ δρῶσιν ἐν	
έκάστοις τούτων;	
ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς.	
ΞΕ. *Αρ' οὖν δυνατὸς αὐτὸ ἂν γενοίμην, ὤσπερ καὶ	
διανοοῦμαι, διὰ λόγων ἐνδείξασθαί σοι;	10
NE. $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τί δ' οὕ;	e
ΞΕ. Ῥάδιον ἔοικας ἡγεῖσθαι τὸ τοιοῦτον σκοπώμεθα δ' οὖν	
αὐτὸ ἐν τοῖς ὑπεναντίοις γένεσι. τῶν γὰρ δὴ πράξεων ἐν	
πολλαῖς καὶ πολλάκις ἐκάστοτε τάχος καὶ σφοδρότητα καὶ	
h 10 2 0 2 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

b 10 έχθρα c: ἔχθραν m | ἔχετον m: ἔχοντε n, O | c 5 ἔς τι c: ἐστί(ν) m | c 3 ἐν τοῖς ὑπεναντίοις γένεσι m: ἐν ταῖς ὑπεναντίαις γενέσεσι c

(306) E.S.: And also that moderation is something distinct from courage, but at the same time that this too is one part of what the other is part of.

b5 Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: Well, we must dare to declare something astonishing in relation to these two.

Y.S.: What?

E.S.: That, in some sort of way, they are extremely hostile to each other and occupy opposed positions in many things.

Y.S.: What do you mean?

E.S.: Not in any way the kind of thing we're used to saying; for certainly, I imagine, all the parts of virtue are said to be amicably disposed towards each other, if anything is.

Y.S.: Yes.

с5

E.S.: Then should we look with extremely close attention to see whether this is unqualifiedly the case, or whether emphatically some aspects of them admit of dissent in some respect with what is related to them?

Y.S.: Yes; please say how we should do so.

E.S.: We should look at it in relation to all those things we call fine, but then go on to place them into two classes which are opposed to each other.

Y.S.: Put it still more clearly.

e10 E.S.: Quickness and speed, whether in bodies, or in minds, or in the
d1 movement of the voice, whether of these themselves or of them as
represented in images, all those imitations that music, and painting
too, provide – have you ever either praised any of these yourself or
been present to hear someone else praising them?

d5 Y.S.: Of course.

E.S.: And do you remember how they do it in every one of these cases?

Y.S.: I don't at all.

E.S.: Then would I be able, I wonder, to show it to you in words just as I have it before my mind?

el Y.S.: Why not?

E.S.: You seem to think this kind of thing easy; but in any case let's consider it in the opposite kinds of case. Often and in many activities,

e5 whenever we admire speed and vigour and quickness, of mind and

ὀξύτητα διανοήσεώς τε καὶ σώματος, ἔτι δὲ καὶ φωνῆς, ὅταν ἀγασθῶμεν, λέγομεν αὐτὸ ἐπαινοῦντες μιᾳ χρώμενοι προσρήσει τῆ τῆς ἀνδρείας.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. `Οξὺ καὶ ἀνδρεῖον πρῶτόν πού φαμεν, καὶ ταχὺ καὶ ἀνδρικόν, καὶ σφοδρὸν ὡσαύτως καὶ πάντως ἐπιφέροντες τοὔνομα ὁ λέγω κοινὸν πάσαις ταῖς φύσεσι ταύταις ἐπαινοῦμεν αὐτάς.

10

5

10

b

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ναί.

ΞΕ. Τί δέ; τὸ τῆς ἠρεμαίας αὖ γενέσεως εἶδος ἆρ' οὐ 307 πολλάκις ἐπηνέκαμεν ἐν πολλαῖς τῶν πράξεων;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Καὶ σφόδρα  $\gamma \epsilon$ .

ΞΕ. Μῶν οὖν οὐ τἀναντία λέγοντες ἢ περὶ ἐκείνων τοῦτο Φθεγγόμεθα;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. Ώς ἡσυχαῖά πού φαμεν έκάστοτε καὶ σωφρονικά, περί τε διάνοιαν πραττόμενα ἀγασθέντες καὶ κατὰ τὰς πράξεις αὖ βραδέα καὶ μαλακά, καὶ ἔτι περὶ φωνὰς γιγνόμενα λεῖα καὶ βαρέα, καὶ πᾶσαν ἡυθμικὴν κίνησιν καὶ ὅλην μοῦσαν ἐν καιρῷ βραδυτῆτι προσχρωμένην, οὐ τὸ τῆς ἀνδρείας ἀλλὰ τὸ τῆς κοσμιότητος ὄνομα ἐπιφέρομεν αὐτοῖς σύμπασιν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα.

ΞΕ. Καὶ μὴν ὁπόταν αὖ γε ἀμφότερα γίγνηται ταῦθ' ἡμῖν ἄκαιρα, μεταβάλλοντες ἐκάτερα αὐτῶν ψέγομεν ἐπὶ τἀναντία πάλιν ἀπονέμοντες τοῖς ὀνόμασιν.

NE. ΣΩ. Πῶς;

ΣΕ. 'Όξύτερα μὲν αὐτὰ γιγνόμενα τοῦ καιροῦ καὶ θάττω καὶ σκληρότερα φαινόμενα [καὶ] ὑβριστικὰ καὶ μανικὰ λέγοντες, τὰ δὲ βαρύτερα καὶ βραδύτερα καὶ μαλακώτερα δειλὰ καὶ βλακικά καὶ σχεδὸν ὡς τὸ πολὺ ταῦτά τε καὶ τὴν σώφρονα φύσιν καὶ τὴν ἀνδρείαν τὴν τῶν ἐναντίων, οἶον πολεμίαν διαλαχούσας στάσιν ἰδέας, οὕτ' ἀλλήλαις μειγνυμένας ἐφευρίσκομεν ἐν ταῖς περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα πράξεσιν, ἔτι τε τοὺς ἐν ταῖς ψυχαῖς αὐτὰς ἴσχοντας διαφερομένους ἀλλήλοις

body, and again of voice, we speak in praise of it by using a single appellation, that of 'courage'.

Y.S.: How so?

E.S.: I think we say 'quick and courageous' – that's a first example; and 'fast and courageous', and similarly with 'vigorous'; and in every case it's by applying the name I'm talking about in common to all these kinds of thing that we praise them.

Y.S.: Yes.

E.S.: But again – in many activities, don't we often praise the kind of things that happen gently?

Y.S.: Yes, very much so.

E.S.: Well then, don't we express this by saying the opposite of what we say of the other things?

Y.S.: How?

a5

a10

bl

E.S.: In that, I think, we say on each occasion that they are 'quiet and moderate', admiring things done in the sphere of the mind and again in that of actions themselves that are slow and soft, and then too things in the sphere of the voice that turn out smooth and deep, and all rhythmic movement and the whole of music which employs slowness at the right time – we apply to them all the name, not of courage, but of orderliness.

Y.S.: Very true.

E.S.: And when, conversely, both of these sets of qualities occur at the wrong time, we change round and censure each of them, assigning them to opposite effect by the names we use.

Y.S.: How?

E.S.: By calling them 'excessive and manic' when they turn out quicker than is timely, and appear too fast and hard, and calling things that are too deep and slow and soft 'cowardly and lethargic'; and it's pretty much a general rule that we find that these qualities, and the moderate type as a whole, and the 'courage' of the opposite qualities do not mix with each other in the activities concerned with things of this sort, as if they were types of thing that had a warring stance allotted to them, and moreover we shall see that those who possess

όψόμεθα ἐὰν μεταδιώκωμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Ποῦ δὴ λέγεις;

ΞΕ. Έν πασί τε δὴ τούτοις οἶς νῦν εἴπομεν, ὡς εἰκός τε ἐν ἐτέροις πολλοῖς. κατὰ γὰρ οἶμαι τὴν αὑτῶν ἑκατέροις συγγένειαν τὰ μὲν ἐπαινοῦντες ὡς οἰκεῖα σφέτερα, τὰ δὲ τῶν διαφόρων ψέγοντες ὡς ἀλλότρια, πολλὴν εἰς ἔχθραν ἀλλήλοις καὶ πολλῶν πέρι καθίστανται.

5

10

е

5

308

b

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύουσιν.

ΞΕ. Παιδιὰ τοίνυν αὕτη γέ τις ἡ διαφορὰ τούτων ἐστὶ τῶν εἰδῶν· περὶ δὲ τὰ μέγιστα νόσος συμβαίνει πασῶν ἐχθίστη γίγνεσθαι ταῖς πόλεσιν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Περὶ δὴ ποῖα φής;

ΞΕ. Περὶ ὅλην, ώς γε εἰκός, τὴν τοῦ ζῆν παρασκευήν. οἱ μὲν γὰρ δὴ διαφερόντως ὄντες κόσμιοι τὸν ἥσυχον ἀεὶ βίον ἔτοιμοι ζῆν, αὐτοὶ καθ' αὑτοὺς μόνοι τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν πράττοντες, οἴκοι τε αὖ πρὸς ἄπαντας οὕτως ὁμιλοῦντες, καὶ πρὸς τὰς ἔξωθεν πόλεις ώσαὐτως ἔτοιμοι πάντα ὄντες τρόπον τινὰ ἄγειν εἰρήνην καὶ διὰ τὸν ἔρωτα δὴ τοῦτον ἀκαιρότερον ὄντα ἢ χρή, ὅταν ἃ βούλονται πράττωσιν, ἔλαθον αὐτοί τε ἀπολέμως ἴσχοντες καὶ τοὺς νέους ώσαὐτως διατιθέντες, ὄντες τε ἀεὶ τῶν ἐπιτιθεμένων, ἐξ ὧν οὐκ ἐν πολλοῖς ἔτεσιν αὐτοὶ καὶ παῖδες καὶ σύμπασα ἡ πόλις ἀντ' ἐλευθέρων πολλάκις ἔλαθον αὐτοὺς γενόμενοι δοῦλοι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Χαλεπὸν εἶπες καὶ δεινὸν πάθος.

ΞΕ. Τί δ' οἱ πρὸς τὴν ἀνδρείαν μᾶλλον ῥέποντες; ἆρ' οὐκ ἐπὶ πόλεμον ἀεί τινα τὰς αὐτῶν συντείνοντες πόλεις διὰ τὴν τοῦ τοιούτου βίου σφοδροτέραν τοῦ δέοντος ἐπιθυμίαν εἰς ἔχθραν πολλοῖς καὶ δυνατοῖς καταστάντες ἢ πάμπαν διώλεσαν ἢ δούλας αὖ καὶ ὑποχειρίους τοῖς ἐχθροῖς ὑπέθεσαν τὰς αὑτῶν πατρίδας;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. "Εστι καὶ ταῦτα.

ΞΕ. Πῶς οὖν μὴ φῶμεν ἐν τούτοις ἀμφότερα ταῦτα τὰ γένη πολλὴν πρὸς ἄλληλα ἀεὶ καὶ τὴν μεγίστην ἴσχειν ἔχθραν καὶ στάσιν;

d 3 δικεια <και> e | d 6 αύτη γ' έτι e

them in their souls are at odds with each other, if we go looking for them.

Y.S.: Where do you mean us to look?

E.S.: Both in all the spheres we mentioned just now, and no doubt in many others. For I think because of their affinity to either set of qualities, they praise some things as belonging to their own kin, and censure those of their opponents as alien, and engage in a great deal of hostility towards each other, and about a great many things.

Y.S.: Very likely.

E.S.: Well, this disagreement, of these sorts of people, is a kind of play; but in relation to the most important things, it turns out to be a disease which is the most hateful of all for cities.

Y.S.: In relation to what, do you mean?

E.S.: In relation to the organization of life as a whole. For those who are especially orderly are always ready to live the quiet life, carrying on their private business on their own by themselves, both associating with everyone in their own city on this basis, and similarly with cities outside their own, being ready in any way to preserve peace of some kind; and because of this passion of theirs, which is less timely than it should be, when they do what they want nobody notices that they are being unwarlike and making the young men the same, and that they are perpetually at the mercy of those who attack them, with the result that within a few years they themselves, their children, and the whole city together often become slaves instead of free men before they

Y.S.: What you describe is a painful and terrifying thing to go through.

E.S.: But what about those who incline more towards courage? Isn't it the case that they are always drawing their cities into some war or other because of their desire for a life of this sort, which is more vigorous than it should be, and that they make enemies of people who are both numerous and powerful, and so either completely destroy their own fatherlands or else make them slaves and subjects of their enemies?

b1 Y.S.: This too is true.

have noticed it.

E.S.: How then can we deny that in these things both of these kinds of people always admit of much hostility and dissent between them, even to the greatest degree?

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς ὡς οὐ φήσομεν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν ὅπερ ἐπεσκοποῦμεν κατ' ἀρχὰς ἀνηυρήκαμεν, ὅτι μόρια ἀρετῆς οὐ σμικρὰ ἀλλήλοις διαφέρεσθον φύσει καὶ δὴ καὶ τοὺς ἴσχοντας δρᾶτον τὸ αὐτὸ τοῦτο; 5

10

5

5

5

10

309

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Κινδυνεύετον.

ΞΕ. Τόδε τοίνυν αὖ λάβωμεν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τὸ ποῖον:

ΞΕ. Εἴ τίς που τῶν συνθετικῶν ἐπιστημῶν πρᾶγμα ὁτιοῦν τῶν αὑτῆς ἔργων, κὰν εἰ τὸ φαυλότατον, ἑκοῦσα ἐκ μοχθηρῶν καὶ χρηστῶν τινων συνίστησιν, ἢ πᾶσα ἐπιστήμη πανταχοῦ τὰ μὲν μοχθηρὰ εἰς δύναμιν ἀποβάλλει, τὰ δὲ ἐπιτήδεια καὶ τὰ χρηστὰ ἔλαβεν, ἐκ τούτων δὲ καὶ ὁμοίων καὶ ἀνομοίων ὄντων, πάντα εἰς ἕν αὐτὰ συνάγουσα, μίαν τινὰ δύναμιν καὶ ἰδέαν δημιουργεῖ.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί μήν;

ΞΕ. Οὐδ' ἄρα ἡ κατὰ φύσιν ἀληθῶς οὖσα ἡμῖν πολιτικὴ μή ποτε ἐκ χρηστῶν καὶ κακῶν ἀνθρώπων ἑκοῦσα εἶναι συστήσηται πόλιν τινά, ἀλλ' εὕδηλον ὅτι παιδιᾳ πρῶτον βασανιεῖ, μετὰ δὲ τὴν βάσανον αὖ τοῖς δυναμένοις παιδεύειν καὶ ὑπηρετεῖν πρὸς τοῦτ' αὐτὸ παραδώσει, προστάττουσα καὶ ἐπιστατοῦσα αὐτή, καθάπερ ὑφαντικὴ τοῖς τε ξαίνουσι καὶ τοῖς τἆλλα προπαρασκευάζουσιν ὅσα πρὸς τὴν πλέξιν αὐτῆς συμπαρακολουθοῦσα προστάττει καὶ ἐπιστατεῖ, τοιαῦτα ἐκάστοις ἐνδεικνῦσα τὰ ἔργα ἀποτελεῖν οἷα ἂν ἐπιτήδεια ἡγῆται πρὸς τὴν αὑτῆς εἶναι συμπλοκήν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΣΕ. Ταὐτὸν δή μοι τοῦθ' ἡ βασιλικὴ φαίνεται πᾶσι τοῖς κατὰ νόμον παιδευταῖς καὶ τροφεῦσιν, τὴν τῆς ἐπιστατικῆς αὐτὴ δύναμιν ἔχουσα, οὐκ ἐπιτρέψειν ἀσκεῖν ὅτι μή τις πρὸς τὴν αὑτῆς σύγκρασιν ἀπεργαζόμενος ἡθός τι πρέπον ἀποτελεῖ, ταῦτα δὲ μόνα παρακελεύεσθαι παιδεύειν καὶ τοὺς μὲν μὴ δυναμένους κοινωνεῖν ἤθους ἀνδρείου καὶ σώφρονος ὅσα τε ἄλλα ἐστὶ τείνοντα πρὸς ἀρετήν, ἀλλ' εἰς ἀθεότητα καὶ ὕβριν καὶ ἀδικίαν ὑπὸ κακῆς βία φύσεως ἀπωθουμένους,

c 4–5 καὶ τὰ χρηστὰ  $\mathbf{n}$ : καὶ χρηστὰ  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $O \mid \mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{l}$  ἀπωθουμένους  $\mathbf{e}$ : ἀπωθούμενα  $\mathbf{m}$ 

by Y.S.: There's no way we shall deny it.

E.S.: Then we have found, haven't we, what we were originally looking into, that parts of virtue of no small importance are by nature at odds with each other, and moreover cause those who possess them to be in this same condition?

Y.S.: Very likely they do.

E.S.: Then let's take the following point in its turn.

Y.S.: What's that?

E.S.: Whether any, I suppose, of the kinds of expert knowledge that involve putting things together voluntarily puts together any whatever of the things it produces, even of the lowliest kind, out of bad and good things, or whether every kind of expert knowledge everywhere

throws away the bad so far as it can, and takes what is suitable and good, and from these, both like and unlike, bringing them all together into one, crafts some single kind of thing with a single capacity.

Y.S.: Of course.

d1 E.S.: In that case, neither will what we have decided is by nature truly the art of statesmanship ever voluntarily put together a city out of good and bad human beings, but very clearly it will first put them to the test in play, and after the test it will in turn hand them over to

those with the capacity to educate them and serve it towards this particular end, itself laying down prescriptions for the educators and directing them, just as weaving follows along with the carders and those who prepare the other things needed for its twining, and

prescribes for and directs them, giving indications to each group to finish their products in whatever way it thinks suitable for its own intertwining.

Y.S.: Yes, absolutely.

E.S.: In just this very way, it seems to me that the art of kingship, since it is this that itself possesses the capacity belonging to the directing art, will not permit the educators and tutors, who function according to law, to do anything in the exercise of their role the working out of which will not result in some disposition which is fitting in relation to the mixing that belongs to the directing art, and calls on them to teach these things alone; and those that are unable to share in a disposition that is courageous and moderate, and the other things that belong to the sphere of virtue, but are thrust forcibly away by an evil nature into godlessness, excess and injustice, it throws out

θανάτοις τε ἐκβάλλει καὶ φυγαῖς καὶ ταῖς μεγίσταις (309) κολάζουσα ἀτιμίαις.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Λέγεται γοῦν πως οὕτως.

ΞΕ. Τοὺς δὲ ἐν ἀμαθία τε αὖ καὶ ταπεινότητι πολλῆ κυλινδουμένους εἰς τὸ δουλικὸν ὑποζεύγνυσι γένος.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Ορθότατα.

ΞΕ. Τοὺς λοιποὺς τοίνυν, ὅσων αἱ φύσεις ἐπὶ τὸ γενναῖον ἱκαναὶ παιδείας τυγχάνουσαι καθίστασθαι καὶ δέξασθαι μετὰ τέχνης σύμμειξιν πρὸς ἀλλήλας, τούτων τὰς μὲν ἐπὶ τὴν ἀνδρείαν μᾶλλον συντεινούσας, οἶον στημονοφυὲς νομίσασ' αὐτῶν εἶναι τὸ στερεὸν ἡθος, τὰς δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ κόσμιον πίονί τε καὶ μαλακῷ καὶ κατὰ τὴν εἰκόνα κροκώδει διανήματι προσχρωμένας, ἐναντία δὲ τεινούσας ἀλλήλαις, πειρᾶται τοιόνδε τινὰ τρόπον συνδεῖν καὶ συμπλέκειν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ποῖον δή;

ΞΕ. Πρώτον μὲν κατὰ τὸ συγγενὲς τὸ ἀειγενὲς ὂν τῆς ψυχῆς αὐτῶν μέρος θείω συναρμοσαμένη δεσμῷ, μετὰ δὲ τὸ θεῖον τὸ ζωργενὲς αὐτῶν αὖθις ἀνθρωπίνοις.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Πῶς τοῦτ' εἶπες αὖ;

ΞΕ. Τὴν τῶν καλῶν καὶ δικαίων πέρι καὶ ἀγαθῶν καὶ τῶν τούτοις ἐναντίων ὄντως οὖσαν ἀληθῆ δόξαν μετὰ βεβαιώσεως, ὁπόταν ἐν ταῖς ψυχαῖς ἐγγίγνηται, θείαν φημὶ ἐν δαιμονίω γίγνεσθαι γένει.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πρέπει γοῦν οὕτω.

ΞΕ. Τὸν δὴ πολιτικὸν καὶ τὸν ἀγαθὸν νομοθέτην ἆρ' ἴσμεν , ὅτι προσήκει μόνον δυνατὸν εἶναι τῆ τῆς βασιλικῆς μούση τοῦτο αὐτὸ ἐμποιεῖν τοῖς ὀρθῶς μεταλαβοῦσι παιδείας, οῦς ἐλέγομεν νυνδή;

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Τὸ γοῦν εἰκός.

 $\Xi E$ . "Ος δ' ἂν δρᾶν γε, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀδυνατῆ τὸ τοιοῦτον, μηδέποτε τοῖς νῦν ζητουμένοις ὀνόμασιν αὐτὸν προσαγορεύωμεν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Ὁρθότατα.

ΞΕ. Τί οὖν; ἀνδρεία ψυχὴ λαμβανομένη τῆς τοιαύτης 10

 $b6[8\grave{\epsilon}]c \mid c7\grave{\epsilon}v$  taîs ψυχαῖς n:  $\grave{\epsilon}v$  ψυχαῖς n, O

(309) by killing them, sending them into exile, and punishing them with the most extreme forms of dishonour.

Y.S.: At least it is put something like this.

E.S.: And again those who wallow in great ignorance and baseness it brings under the yoke of the class of slaves.

Y.S.: Quite correct.

E.S.: Then as for the others, whose natures are capable of becoming composed in the direction of what is noble, if they acquire education, and, with the help of expertise, of admitting commingling with each other – of these, it tries to bind together and intertwine the ones who strain more towards courage, its view being that their firm disposition is as it were like the warp, and the ones who incline towards the moderate, who employ an ample, soft, and – to continue the image –

wooflike thread, two natures with opposite tendencies; and it does so in something like the following way.

Y.S.: What way is that?

el E.S.: First, by fitting together that part of their soul that is eternal with a divine bond, in accordance with its kinship with the divine, and after the divine, in turn fitting together their mortal aspect with human bonds.

Y.S.: Again, what do you mean by this?

e5 E.S.: That opinion which is really true about what is fine, just and good, and the opposites of these, and is guaranteed, when it comes to be in souls, I call divine, belonging to the class of what is more than human.

Y.S.: It's certainly a fitting view to take.

E.S.: Then do we recognize that it belongs to the statesman and the good legislator alone to be capable of bringing this very thing about, by means of the music that belongs to the art of kingship, in those who have had their correct share of education – the people we were speaking of just now?

d5 Y.S.: That's certainly reasonable.

E.S.: Yes, and anyone who is incapable of doing this sort of thing – let's never call him by the names we are now investigating.

Y.S.: Quite correct.

E.S.: Well then – is a 'courageous' soul that grasps this sort of truth

άληθείας ἆρ' οὐχ ἡμεροῦται καὶ τῶν δικαίων μάλιστα οὕτω κοινωνεῖν ἂν ἐθελήσειεν, μὴ μεταλαβοῦσα δὲ ἀποκλίνει μᾶλλον πρὸς θηριώδη τινὰ φύσιν;

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δ' οὔ:

ΞΕ. Τί δὲ τὸ τῆς κοσμίας φύσεως; ἆρ' οὐ τούτων μὲν μεταλαβὸν τῶν δοξῶν ὄντως σῶφρον καὶ φρόνιμον, ὥς γε ἐν πολιτείᾳ, γίγνεται, μὴ κοινωνῆσαν δὲ ὧν λέγομεν ἐπονείδιστόν τινα εὐηθείας δικαιότατα λαμβάνει φήμην; ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν.

ΞΕ. Οὐκοῦν συμπλοκὴν καὶ δεσμὸν τοῦτον τοῖς μὲν κακοῖς πρὸς σφᾶς αὐτοὺς καὶ τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς πρὸς τοὺς κακοὺς μηδέποτε μόνιμον φῶμεν γίγνεσθαι, μηδέ τινα ἐπιστήμην αὐτῷ σπουδῆ πρὸς τοὺς τοιούτους ἂν χρῆσθαί ποτε;

10

310

5

ь

5

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γάρ;

ΞΕ. Τοῖς δ' εὐγενέσι γενομένοις τε ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἤθεσι θρεφθεῖσί τε κατὰ φύσιν μόνοις διὰ νόμων ἐμφύεσθαι, καὶ ἐπὶ τούτοις δὴ τοῦτ' εἶναι τέχνη φάρμακον, καὶ καθάπερ εἴπομεν τοῦτον θειότερον εἶναι τὸν σύνδεσμον ἀρετῆς μερῶν φύσει ἀνομοίων καὶ ἐπὶ τὰ ἐναντία φερομένων.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. 'Αληθέστατα.

ΞΕ. Τοὺς μὴν λοιπούς, ὄντας ἀνθρωπίνους δεσμούς, ὑπάρχοντος τούτου τοῦ θείου σχεδὸν οὐδὲν χαλεπὸν οὔτε ἐννοεῖν οὔτε ἐννοήσαντα ἀποτελεῖν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς δή, καὶ τίνας;

ΞΕ. Τοὺς τῶν ἐπιγαμιῶν καὶ παίδων κοινωνήσεων καὶ τῶν περὶ τὰς ἰδίας ἐκδόσεις καὶ γάμους, οἱ γὰρ πολλοὶ τὰ περὶ ταῦτα οὐκ ὀρθῶς συνδοῦνται πρὸς τὴν τῶν παίδων γέννησιν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Τί δή:

ΞΕ. Τὰ μὲν πλούτου καὶ δυνάμεων ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις διώγματα τί καί τις ἂν ὡς ἄξια λόγου σπουδάζοι μεμφόμενος:

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Οὐδέν.

ΞΕ. Μᾶλλον δέ γε δίκαιον τῶν περὶ τὰ γένη ποιουμένων 10 ἐπιμέλειαν τούτων πέρι λέγειν, εἴ τι μὴ κατὰ τρόπον c

e 2 ἀποκλίνει m: ἀποκλινεῖ e,  $O \mid a \mid a \mid c$  e: γε m  $\mid a \mid a \mid a \mid c$  φύσει e: φύσεως m,  $O \mid b \mid 0$  γένη m: ήθη c

on tamed, and wouldn't it be especially willing as a result to share in what is just, whereas if it fails to get a share of it, doesn't it rather slide away towards becoming like some kind of beast?

Y.S.: Ouite.

E.S.: And what of the case of the 'moderate' sort of nature? If it gets a share of these opinions, doesn't it become genuinely moderate and wise, so far as wisdom goes in the context of life in a city, while if it fails to get a portion of the things we're talking about, doesn't it very appropriately acquire a disgraceful reputation, for simplemindedness? Y.S.: Absolutely.

e10 E.S.: And let's not say, shall we, that this intertwining and bonding, in the case of vicious men in relation to each other and good men in relation to the vicious, ever turns out to be lasting, nor that any kind of expert knowledge would ever seriously use it in relation to people like this?

Y.S.: No; how would it?

E.S.: What I propose we should say is that it is only in those dispositions that were both born noble in the first place and have been nurtured in accordance with their nature that it takes root through laws, and that it is for them that this remedy exists by means of expertise; and that, as we said, this bonding together is more divine,

uniting parts of virtue that are by nature unlike each other, and go in opposite directions.

Y.S.: Very true.

E.S.: Yes, and the remaining bonds, which are human, once this divine one exists, are perhaps not difficult at all either to understand, or to effect once one has understood them.

b1 Y.S.: How then, and what are they?

E.S.: Those that consist in intermarriages and sharing of children, and in those matters relating to private giving-away in marriage. For most people, in what relates to these things, do not bind themselves together correctly with respect to the procreation of children.

b5 Y.S.: Why so?

E.S.: Why would anyone seriously concern themselves with censuring the pursuit of wealth and forms of influence in such contexts, as if it were worth discussing?

Y.S.: There would be no reason.

E.S.: No; it would be more appropriate to discuss those people who pay attention to family-types, whether they are acting erroneously in

πράττουσιν.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Εἰκὸς γὰρ οὖν.

ΞΕ. Πράττουσι μὲν δὴ οὐδ' ἐξ ένὸς ὀρθοῦ λόγου, τὴν ἐν τῷ παραχρῆμα διώκοντες ῥαστώνην καὶ τῷ τοὺς μὲν προσομοίους αὐτοῖς ἀσπάζεοθαι, τοὺς δ' ἀνομοίους μὴ στέργειν, πλεῖστον τῆ δυσχερεία μέρος ἀπονέμοντες.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ .  $\Pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. Οἱ μέν που κόσμιοι τὸ σφέτερον αὐτῶν ἦθος ζητοῦσι, καὶ κατὰ δύναμιν γαμοῦσί τε παρὰ τούτων καὶ τὰς ἐκδιδομένας παρ' αὐτῶν εἰς τοιούτους ἐκπέμπουσι πάλιν· ὡς δ' αὕτως τὸ περὶ τὴν ἀνδρείαν γένος δρᾳ, τὴν αύτου μεταδιῶκον φύσιν, δέον ποιεῖν ἀμφότερα τὰ γένη τούτων τοὐναντίον ἄπαν.

10

5

10

10

311

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς, καὶ διὰ τί;

ΞΕ. Διότι πέφυκεν ἀνδρεία τε ἐν πολλαῖς γενέσεσιν ἄμεικτος γεννωμένη σώφρονι φύσει κατὰ μὲν ἀρχὰς ἀκμάζειν ἡώμη, τελευτῶσα δὲ ἐξανθεῖν παντάπασι μανίαις.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Εἰκός.

ΞΕ. Ἡ δὲ αἰδοῦς γε αὖ λίαν πλήρης ψυχὴ καὶ ἀκέραστος τόλμης ἀνδρείας, ἐπὶ δὲ γενεὰς πολλὰς οὕτω γεννηθεῖσα, νωθεστέρα φύεσθαι τοῦ καιροῦ καὶ ἀποτελευτῶσα δὴ παντάπασιν ἀναπηροῦσθαι.

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοῦτ' εἰκὸς οὕτω συμβαίνειν.

ΞΕ. Τούτους δὴ τοὺς δεσμοὺς ἔλεγον ὅτι χαλεπὸν οὐδὲν συνδεῖν ὑπάρξαντος τοῦ περὶ τὰ καλὰ κἀγαθὰ μίαν ἔχειν ἀμφότερα τὰ γένη δόξαν. τοῦτο γὰρ ἕν καὶ ὅλον ἐστι βασιλικῆς συνυφάνσεως ἔργον, μηδέποτε ἐᾶν ἀφίστασθαι σώφρονα ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνδρείων ἤθη, συγκερκίζοντα δὲ ὁμοδοξίαις καὶ τιμαῖς καὶ ἀτιμίαις καὶ δόξαις καὶ ὁμηρειῶν ἐκδόσεσιν εἰς ἀλλήλους, λεῖον καὶ τὸ λεγόμενον εὐήτριον ὕφασμα συνάγοντα ἐξ αὐτῶν, τὰς ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἀρχὰς ἀεὶ κοινῆ τούτοις ἐπιτρέπειν.

NE.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . Π $\hat{\omega}_{S}$ ;

ΞΕ. Οὖ μὲν ἂν ἑνὸς ἄρχοντος χρεία συμβαίνη, τὸν ταῦτα

d 1 τοιούτους n: τούτους n, O

some way.

c5

Y.S.: Yes, that's reasonable.

E.S.: Well, they act out of no correct sort of consideration whatever, going for what is immediately easiest, and by welcoming those who are much like them, and not liking those who are unlike them, assigning the largest part of their decisions to their feelings of antipathy.

Y.S.: How?

E.S.: The moderate, I think, look out for people with the disposition they themselves possess, and so far as they can they both marry from among these and marry off the daughters they are giving away back to people of this sort; and the type related to courage does just the same thing, seeking after the nature that belongs to itself, when both types ought to do completely the opposite of this.

d5 Y.S.: How, and why?

E.S.: Because it is in the nature of courage that when it is reproduced over many generations without being mixed with a moderate nature, it comes to a peak of power at first, but in the end it bursts out completely in fits of madness.

Y.S.: That's likely.

d10 E.S.: And in its turn the soul that is too full of reserve and has no admixture of courageous daring, and is reproduced over many generations in this way, by nature grows more sluggish than is timely and then in the end is completely crippled.

Y.S.: It's likely that this too turns out as you say.

E.S.: It was these bonds that I meant when I said that there was no difficulty at all in tying them together once the situation existed in which both types had a single opinion about what was fine and good. For this is the single and complete task of kingly weaving-together, never to allow moderate dispositions to stand away from the courageous, but by working them closely into each other as if with a

shuttle, through sharing of opinions, through honours, dishonour, esteem, and the giving of pledges to one another, drawing together a

smooth and 'fine-woven' fabric out of them, as the expression is, always to entrust offices in cities to these in common.

Y.S.: How?

E.S.: By choosing the person who has both qualities to put in charge

άμφότερα ἔχοντα αἱρούμενον ἐπιστάτην· οὖ δ' ἂν πλειόνων, τούτων μέρος ἑκατέρων συμμειγνύντα. τὰ μὲν γὰρ σωφρόνων ἀρχόντων ἤθη σφόδρα μὲν εὐλαβῆ καὶ δίκαια καὶ σωτήρια, δριμύτητος δὲ καί τινος ἰταμότητος ὀξείας καὶ πρακτικῆς ἐνδεῖται.

(311) 6

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Δοκεῖ γοῦν δὴ καὶ τάδε.

10

ΞΕ. Τὰ δ' ἀνδρεῖά γε αὖ πρὸς μὲν τὸ δίκαιον καὶ εὐλαβὲς ἐκείνων ἐπιδεέστερα, τὸ δὲ ἐν ταῖς πράξεσι ἰταμὸν διαφερόντως ἴσχει. πάντα δὲ καλῶς γίγνεσθαι τὰ περὶ τὰς πόλεις ἰδία καὶ δημοσία τούτοιν μὴ παραγενομένοιν ἀμφοῖν ἀδύνατον.

b

ΝΕ. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οὔ;

5

5

ΞΕ. Τοῦτο δὴ τέλος ὑφάσματος εὐθυπλοκία συμπλακὲν γίγνεσθαι φῶμεν πολιτικῆς πράξεως τὸ τῶν ἀνδρείων καὶ σωφρόνων ἀνθρώπων ἦθος, ὁπόταν ὁμονοία καὶ φιλία κοινὸν συναγαγοῦσα αὐτῶν τὸν βίον ἡ βασιλικὴ τέχνη, πάντων μεγαλοπρεπέστατον ὑφασμάτων καὶ ἄριστον ἀποτελέσασα [ὥστ' εἶναι κοινόν] τούς τ' ἄλλους ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι πάντας δούλους καὶ ἐλευθέρους ἀμπίσχουσα, συνέχη τούτω τῷ πλέγματι, καὶ καθ' ὅσον εὐδαίμονι προσήκει γίγνεσθαι πόλει τούτου μηδαμῆ μηδὲν ἐλλείπουσα ἄρχη τε καὶ ἐπιστατῆ. ΣΩ. Κάλλιστα αὖ τὸν βασιλικὸν ἀπετέλεσας ἄνδρα ἡμῖν, ὧ ξένε, καὶ τὸν πολιτικόν.

- wherever there turns out to be a need for a single officer, and by mixing together a part of each of these groups where there is a need for more than one. For the dispositions of moderate people when in office are markedly cautious, just, and conservative, but they lack bite, and a certain sharp and practical keenness.
- Y.S.: This too certainly seems to be the case.
- E.S.: And the dispositions of the courageous, in their turn, are inferior to the others in relation to justice and caution, but have an exceptional degree of keenness when it comes to action. Everything in cities cannot go well, either on the private or on the public level, unless both of these groups are there to give their help.

Y.S.: Quite.

- E.S.: Then let us say that this marks the completion of the fabric which is the product of the art of statesmanship, the weaving together, with regular intertwining, of the disposition of brave and moderate people, when the expertise belonging to the king, bringing their life together in agreement and friendship and making it common between
- together in agreement and friendship and making it common between them, completing the most magnificent and best of all fabrics and covering all the other inhabitants of cities, both slave and free, holds them together with this twining and, so far as it belongs to a city to be
- happy, not falling short of this in any respect, rules and directs.

  Old Socrates: Another most excellent portrait, Stranger, this one that you have completed for us, of the the man who possesses the art of kingship: the statesman.