Also published in this series

De Anima Books II and III
D. W. HAMLYN

New impression with supplementary material by Christopher Shields

De Generatione et Corruptione
C. J. F. WILLIAMS

De Partibus Animalium I and De Generatione Animalium I
D. M. BALME
New impression with supplementary material by Allan Gotthelf
Eudemian Ethics Books I, II, and VIII

MICHAEL WOODS
Second edition
Metaphysics Books T, A, and E
CHRISTOPHER KIRWAN

Metaphysics Books M and N
JULIA ANNAS

Second edition

Physics Books I and II

WILLIAM CHARLTON

New impression with supplementary material

Physics Books III and IV

EDWARD HUSSEY

New impression with supplementary material

Posterior Analytics
IONATHAN BARNES
Second edition

Other volumes are in preparation

ARISTOTLE Categories and De Interpretatione

Translated with Notes
by
J. L. ACKRILL

CLARENDON PRESS · OXFORD

CATEGORIES

CHAPTER I

tal. When things have only a name in common and the definition of being which corresponds to the name is different, they are called homonymous. Thus, for example, both a man and a picture are animals. These have only a name in common and the definition of being which corresponds to the name is different; for if one is to say what being an animal is for each of them, one will give two distinct definitions.

ra6. When things have the name in common and the definition of being which corresponds to the name is the same, they are called *synonymous*. Thus, for example, both a man and an ox are animals. Each of these is called by a common name, 'animal', and the definition of being is also the same; for if one is to give the definition of each—what being an animal is for each of them—one will give the same definition.

1*12. When things get their name from something, with a difference of ending, they are called *paronymous*. Thus, for example, the grammarian gets his name from grammar, the brave get theirs from bravery.

CHAPTER 2

rai6. Of things that are said, some involve combination while others are said without combination. Examples of those involving combination are 'man runs', 'man wins'; and of those without combination 'man', 'ox', 'runs', 'wins'.

subject, the soul, but is not said of any subject; and the a subject, the individual man, but is not in any subject. a part, and cannot exist separately from what it is in.) For but are not in any subject. For example, man is said of is in a body), but is not said of any subject. (c) Some are example, the individual knowledge-of-grammar is in a some of them from being in a subject-the individual not said of any subject, but there is nothing to prevent individual and numerically one are, without exception, either in a subject or said of a subject. Things that are dual man or individual horse—for nothing of this sort is in a subject nor said of a subject, for example, the indivia subject, knowledge-of-grammar. (d) Some are neither knowledge is in a subject, the soul, and is also said of both said of a subject and in a subject. For example, individual white is in a subject, the body (for all colour (By 'in a subject' I mean what is in something, not as (b) Some are in a subject but are not said of any subject. knowledge-of-grammar is one of the things in a subject. 1ª20. Of things there are: (a) some are said of a subject

CHAPTER 3

rbio. Whenever one thing is predicated of another as of a subject, all things said of what is predicated will be said of the subject also. For example, man is predicated of the individual man, and animal of man; so animal will be predicated of the individual man also—for the individual man is both a man and an animal.

Ib16. The differentiae of genera which are different and not subordinate one to the other are themselves different in kind. For example, animal and knowledge: footed, winged, aquatic, two-footed, are differentiae of animal,

1 Read τῶν ἐτέρων γενῶν.

but none of these is a differentia of knowledge; one sort of knowledge does not differ from another by being two-footed. However, there is nothing to prevent genera sub-ordinate one to the other from having the same differentiae. For the higher are predicated of the genera below them, so that all differentiae of the predicated genus will be differentiae of the subject also.

CHAPTER 4

tb25. Of things said without any combination, each signifies either substance or quantity or qualification or a relative or where or when or being-in-a-position or having or doing or being-affected. To give a rough idea, examples of substance are man, horse; of quantity: four-foot, five-foot; of qualification: white, grammatical; of a relative: double, half, larger; of where: in the Lyceum, in the market-place; of when: yesterday, last-year; of being-in-a-position: is-lying, is-sitting; of having: has-shoes-on, has-armour-on; of doing: cutting, burning; of being-affected: being-cut, being-burned.

affirmation, but by the combination of these with one another an affirmation is produced. For every affirmation, it seems, is either true or false; but of things said without any combination none is either true or false (e.g. 'man', 'white', 'runs', 'wins').

CHAPTER 5

strictly, primarily, and most of all—is that which is neither said of a subject nor in a subject, e.g. the individual man or the individual horse. The species in which the things primarily called substances are, are called secondary

substances, as also are the genera of these species. For example, the individual man belongs in a species, man, and animal is a genus of the species; so these—both man and animal—are called secondary substances.

are necessarily predicated of the subject. For example, thing is said of a subject both its name and its definition man is also a man). Thus both the name and the definiof the individual man), and also the definition of man will is of course predicated (since you will be predicating man man is said of a subject, the individual man, and the name subject (the body), is predicated of the subject; for a body which are in a subject, in most cases neither the name tion will be predicated of the subject. But as for things tion to be predicated. For example, white, which is in a cases there is nothing to prevent the name from being nor the definition is predicated of the subject. In some be predicated of the individual man (since the individual predicated of the body. is called white. But the definition of white will never be predicated of the subject, but it is impossible for the defini-2ª19. It is clear from what has been said that if some-

2*34. All the other things are either said of the primary substances as subjects or in them as subjects. This is clear from an examination of cases. For example, animal is predicated of man and therefore also of the individual man; for were it predicated of none of the individual men it would not be predicated of man at all. Again, colour is in body and therefore also in an individual body; for were it not in some individual body it would not be in body at all. Thus all the other things are either said of the primary substances as subjects or in them as subjects. So if the primary substances did not exist it would be impossible for any of the other things to exist.

substance. For if one is to say of the primary substance substance than the genus, since it is nearer to the primary what it is, it will be more informative and apt to give the of the individual man while the other is more general); than that he is an animal (since the one is more distinctive informative to say of the individual man that he is a man species than the genus. For example, it would be more it is a tree than that it is a plant. Further, it is because the and more informative to say of the individual tree that all the other things are predicated of them or are in them, stands to the genus: the species is a subject for the genus that they are called substances most of all. But as the primary substances are subjects for all the other things and reason too the species is more a substance than the genus are not predicated reciprocally of the genera). Hence for this primary substances stand to the other things, so the species (for the genera are predicated of the species but the species 2b7. Of the secondary substances the species is more a

not genera—one is no more a substance than another: It is no more apt to say of the individual man that he is a man than to say of the individual horse that it is a horse. And similarly of the primary substances one is no more a substance than another: the individual man is no more a substance than the individual ox.

their species and genera should be the only other things milled (secondary) substances. For only they, of things medicated, reveal the primary substance. For if one is to may of the individual man what he is, it will be in place the species or the genus (though more informative man than animal); but to give any of the other things would be out of place—for example, to say 'white'

or 'runs' or anything like that. So it is reasonable that these should be the only other things called substances. Further, it is because the primary substances are subjects for everything else that they are called substances most strictly. But as the primary substances stand to everything else, so the species and genera of the primary substances stand to all the rest: all the rest are predicated of these. For if you will call the individual man grammatical it follows that you will call both a man and an animal grammatical; and similarly in other cases.

not to be in a subject. For a primary substance is neither said of a subject nor in a subject. And as for secondary substances, it is obvious at once that they are not in a subject. For man is said of the individual man as subject but is not in a subject: man is not in the individual man. Similarly, animal also is said of the individual man. Further, while there is nothing to prevent the name of what is in a subject from being sometimes predicated of the subject, it is impossible for the definition to be predicated. But the definition of the secondary substances, as well as the name, is predicated of the subject: you will predicate the definition of man of the individual man, and also that of animal. No substance, therefore, is in a subject.

3°21. This is not, however, peculiar to substance; the differentia also is not in a subject. For footed and two-footed are said of man as subject but are not in a subject; neither two-footed nor footed is in man. Moreover, the definition of the differentia is predicated of that of which the differentia is said. For example, if footed is said of man the definition of footed will also be predicated of man; for man is footed.

3*29. We need not be disturbed by any fear that we may be forced to say that the parts of a substance, being in a subject (the whole substance), are not substances. For when we spoke of things in a subject we did not mean things belonging in something as parts.

and of the genera, and the species admits that of the no subject; and as for secondary substances, the species is either of the individuals or of the species. (For from a mously. For all the predicates from them are predicated that all things called from them are so called synonysynonymously. name in common and the same definition. Hence all the synonymous things were precisely those with both the individuals admit the definition of the differentiae. But of the subject also. Similarly, both the species and the genus; for everything said of what is predicated will be said dicated both of the species and of the individuals.) And and of the individual. Similarly, differentiae too are preprimary substance there is no predicate, since it is said of things called from substances and differentiae are so called the primary substances admit the definition of the species predicated of the individual, the genus both of the species 3ª33. It is a characteristic of substances and differentiae

As regards the primary substances, it is indisputably true that each of them signifies a certain 'this'; for the thing revealed is individual and numerically one. But as regards the secondary substances, though it appears from the form of the name—when one speaks of man or animal—that secondary substance likewise signifies a certain 'this', when, for the subject is not, as the primary substance likewise, it signifies a certain qualification, but man and animal are said of many things.

CATEGORIE

However, it does not signify simply a certain qualification, as white does. White signifies nothing but a qualification, whereas the species and the genus mark off the qualification of substance—they signify substance of a certain qualification. (One draws a wider boundary with the genus than with the species, for in speaking of animal one takes in more than in speaking of man.)

3^b24. Another characteristic of substances is that there is nothing contrary to them. For what would be contrary to a primary substance? For example, there is nothing contrary to an individual man, nor yet is there anything contrary to man or to animal. This, however, is not peculiar to substance but holds of many other things also, for example, of quantity. For there is nothing contrary to fourfoot or to ten or to anything of this kind—unless someone were to say that many is contrary to few or large to small; but still there is nothing contrary to any definite quantity.

a thing is called more, or less, such-and-such than itself, one beautiful thing more beautiful than another. Again, which it is. For example, if this substance is a man, it will a substance than another (we have said that it is), but else that is a substance. Thus substance does not admit of is not called more a man now than before, nor is anything hot. Substance, however, is not spoken of thus. For a man another, as one pale thing is more pale than another and another man. For one man is not more a man than not be more a man or less a man either than itself or than that any given substance is not called more, or less, that a more and a less. than before, and the one that is hot is called more, or less, for example, the body that is pale is called more pale now less. I do not mean that one substance is not more 3b33. Substance, it seems, does not admit of a more and

q^a10. It seems most distinctive of substance that what is numerically one and the same is able to receive contraries. In no other case could one bring forward anything, numerically one, which is able to receive contraries. For example, a colour which is numerically one and the same will not be black and white, nor will numerically one and the same action be bad and good; and similarly with everything else that is not substance. A substance, however, numerically one and the same, is able to receive contraries. For example, an individual man—one and the same—becomes pale at one time and dark at another, and hot and cold, and bad and good. Nothing like this is to be seen in any other case.

sitting; after he has got up you will believe falsely if you seems to be both true and false. Suppose, for example, statements and beliefs are like this. For the same statement change that each thing is able to receive contraries. Statesimilarly in other cases too it is by itself undergoing of pale, or good instead of bad, has changed (has altered); selves changing that they are able to receive contraries are received. For in the case of substances it is by themto grant this, there is still a difference in the way contraries with beliefs. Suppose you believe truly that somebody is he has got up this same statement will be false. Similarly that the statement that somebody is sitting is true; after Mem. For the statement that somebody is sitting remains intual thing changes that the contrary comes to belong to sompletely unchangeable in every way; it is because the ments and beliefs, on the other hand, themselves remain For what has become cold instead of hot, or dark instead hold the same belief about him. However, even if we were the same; it is because of a change in the actual thing that 4ª22. But perhaps someone might object and say that

Chs. 5-6

stance that what is numerically one and the same is able and health, and paleness and darkness; and because it itself on the other hand, is said to be able to receive contraries changed at all by anything. So, since nothing happens in receive contraries. No statement, in fact, or belief is is said to be true or false, not because it is able itself to of what has happened to something else. For it is because self-would be distinctive of substance, even if we were it is able to receive contraries—through a change in it-Similarly with beliefs. Hence at least the way in which it comes to be true at one time and false at another to receive contraries. This brings to an end our discussion to receive contraries. It is, therefore, distinctive of subreceives the various things of this kind it is said to be able because it itself receives contraries. For it receives sickness them, they are not able to receive contraries. A substance, the actual thing exists or does not exist that the statement beliefs are said to be able to receive contraries, but because they themselves receive anything that statements and contraries. However, this is not true. For it is not because to grant that beliefs and statements are able to receive

HAPTER 6

4^b20. Of quantities some are discrete, others continuous; and some are composed of parts which have position in relation to one another, others are not composed of parts which have position.

4^b22. Discrete are number and language; continuous are lines, surfaces, bodies, and also, besides these, time and place. For the parts of a number have no common boundary at which they join together. For example, if five is a part of ten the two fives do not join together at any

number is one of the discrete quantities. Similarly, lancould you ever in the case of a number find a common the seven join together at any common boundary. Nor boundary of its parts, but they are always separate. Hence other hand, is a continuous quantity. For it is possible to short syllables; I mean here language that is spoken). For a quantity is evident, since it is measured by long and guage also is one of the discrete quantities (that language common boundary but are separate; nor do the three and point. And for a surface, a line; for the parts of a plane find a common boundary at which its parts join together, Join together, but each is separate in itself. A line, on the For there is no common boundary at which the syllables harts do not join together at any common boundary. the case of a body one could find a common boundary It a common boundary. So the parts of the place occupied oin together at some common boundary. Similarly in the same boundary at which the parts of the body do oun together. Time also and place are of this kind. For lin together at one common boundary. the various parts of the body, themselves join together marts of a body occupy some place, and they join together Mace, again, is one of the continuous quantities. For the present time joins on to both past time and future time. a line or a surface—at which the parts of the body Thus place also is a continuous quantity, since its parts

High have position in relation to one another, others are not composed of parts which have position. For example, the purts of a line have position in relation to one another; which them is situated somewhere, and you could dismit which one of the other parts it joins on to. Similarly,

alone—opposed affirmations and negations—that always one or the other of them is true or false.

CHAPTER II

13b36. What is contrary to a good thing is necessarily bad; this is clear by induction from cases—health and sickness, justice and injustice, courage and cowardice, and so on with the rest. But what is contrary to a bad thing is sometimes good but sometimes bad. For excess is contrary to deficiency, which is bad, and is itself bad; yet moderation as well is contrary to both, and it is good. However, though this sort of thing may be seen in a few cases, in most cases what is contrary to a bad thing is always a good.

14*6. With contraries it is not necessary if one exists for the other to exist too. For if everyone were well health would exist but not sickness, and if everything were white whiteness would exist but not blackness. Further, if Socrates's being well is contrary to Socrates's being sick, and it is not possible for both to hold at the same time of the same person, it would not be possible if one of the contraries existed for the other to exist too; if Socrates's being well existed Socrates's being sick would not.

14 a 15. It is clearly the nature of contraries to belong to the same thing (the same either in species or in genus)—sickness and health in an animal's body, but whiteness and blackness in a body simply, and justice and injustice in a soul.

14°19. All contraries must either be in the same genus or in contrary genera, or be themselves genera. For white and black are in the same genus (since colour is their genus), but justice and injustice are in contrary genera (since the genus of one is virtue, of the other vice), while good and bad are not in a genus but are themselves actually genera of certain things.

so that the implication of the other's existence does not is one whereas if there is one there are not necessarily two, two because if there are two it follows at once that there to implication of existence. For example, one is prior to or more ancient. Secondly, what does not reciprocate as is because the time is longer that it is called either older thing is called older or more ancient than another; for it First and most strictly, in respect of time, as when one 14.26. One thing is called prior to another in four ways. order, for the elements are prior in order to the diagrams plication of existence does not hold reciprocally is thought hold reciprocally from one; and that from which the imof those they specially value and love that they 'have syllables); likewise with speeches, for the introduction is demonstrative sciences there is a prior and posterior in some order, as with sciences and speeches. For in the to be prior. Thirdly, a thing is called prior in respect of priority'. This fourth way is perhaps the least proper. be prior by nature; quite ordinary people are wont to say mentioned what is better and more valued is thought to prior in order to the exposition. Further, besides the ways (and in grammar the sound-elements are prior to the

r4bg. There are, then, this many ways of speaking of the prior. There would seem, however, to be another manner of priority besides those mentioned. For of things which reciprocate as to implication of existence, that which is in some way the cause of the other's existence might reasonably be called prior by nature. And that there are some such cases is clear. For there being a man reciprocates as to implication of existence with the true statement about it: if there is a man, the statement whereby we say that there is a man is true, and reciprocally—since if the

statement whereby we say that there is a man is true, there is a man. And whereas the true statement is in no way the cause of the actual thing's existence, the actual thing does seem in some way the cause of the statement's being true; it is because the actual thing exists or does not that the statement is called true or false. Thus there are five ways in which one thing might be called prior to another.

CHAPTER 13

half. These reciprocate, since if there is a double there is if there is a fish there is an animal, but if there is an animal by nature those things which reciprocate as to implication there is not necessarily a fish. Thus we call simultaneous nature. Genera, however, are always prior to species since same division of the same genus will be simultaneous by they do not reciprocate as to implication of existence; e.g. and bird and fish); so there, too, those resulting from the a half and if there is a half there is a double, but neither might itself be further divided into species (I mean beast are thought to be simultaneous by nature. Each of these none of them is prior or posterior; and things of this kind is divided into these-into bird and beast and fish-and these are of the same genus and co-ordinate, since animal are called co-ordinate, e.g. bird and beast and fish. For nature. It is those resulting from the same division that species of the same genus are called simultaneous by is the cause of the other's existence. Also, co-ordinate cation of existence, provided that neither is in any way the cause of the other's existence, e.g. the double and the called simultaneous by nature which reciprocate as to implicalled simultaneous in respect of time. But those things are same time; for neither is prior or posterior. These are cation and most strictly which come into being at the 14^b24. Those things are called simultaneous without qualifi-

of existence provided that neither is in any way the cause of the other's existence; and also co-ordinate species of the same genus. And we call simultaneous without qualification things which come into being at the same time.

CHAPTER 14

altering would, as such, have to be increasing too or other such cases. Hence the changes are distinct from one gnomon but is not thereby altered; similarly, too, with altering, as a square is increased by the addition of a be altering. But there are things that increase without ing-or undergoing some other change-would have to follow; but this is not necessary. Equally, a thing increasdiminishing, or one of the other changes would have to from the other changes. For if it were the same, a thing wise with the others. Thus alteration would be distinct tion does not necessarily increase or diminish-and likeany of the other changes. For what changes as to an affecmost of them, we undergo alteration without partaking of this is not true. For in pretty well all the affections, or be altering in virtue of one of the other changes. However, whether it is not perhaps necessary for what is altering to the others too), but there is a question about alteration diminution,1 nor is change of place; and similarly with That the rest are distinct from one another is obvious tion, increase, diminution, alteration, change of place 15°13. There are six kinds of change: generation, destruc-(for generation is not destruction, nor yet is increase or

15^b1. Change in general is contrary to staying the same. As for the particular kinds, destruction is contrary to generation and diminution to increase, while change of place

¹ Read ή αὔξησις ⟨ἢ⟩ μείωσις